STATE OF CALIFORNIA

OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLE RECREATION COMMISSION

REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, January 25th, 2007

9: 10 a.m. to 5: 19 p.m.

held at

Red Lion Hotel 1401 Arden Way Sacramento, California

Commissioners Present: Anderson, Brissenden, McMillin, Prizmich, Spitler and Thomas

Commissioner Absent: Willard

Reported by CHERYL L. KYLE, CSR No. 7014

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING Certified Shorthand Reporters 2315 Capitol Avenue, Suite 1010 Sacramento, CA 95816

916-492-1010

877-453-1010

FAX 916-492-1222

2007-01-25 OHV C1 x 2 --000--CHAIR BRISSENDEN:

3 Good morning, welcome to the

- 4 Off-Highway Vehicle Commission meeting to discuss
- 5 grants and other wonderful things. Cheryl, thanks for
- transcribing all of this. So without further ado, I 6
- will look to Mardi to hold the flag and Phil to lead us 7
- 8 in the Pledge of Allegiance.
- 9 (Pledged the Flag.)
- 10 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you, Mardi. The agenda
- 11 says roll call. I will call out Michael Prizmich, who
- 12 is now the retired sheriff of Amador County.
- 13 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Here.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Mark McMillin from San Diego.
- 15 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Here.
- CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Judith Anderson from -- where 16
- 17 are you from?
- 18 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Montrose.
- 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: And Hal Thomas.
- 20 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Sacramento, here.
- 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Paul Spitler, all across the
- 22 And I'm here from Hope Valley. So thank you all
- 23 for being here. Obviously, Mardi, you need to do a
- 24 better job of selling tickets.
- 25 OHMVR STAFF STALLCOP: Can we move everybody to 263

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

1 the front?

- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: As in the past if you want to
- 3 be heard, please submit the appropriately colored
- cards. We will have an eleven o'clock public forum, 4

- 5 which I believe is the blue cards.
- 6 And I think, as in the meeting in November, we
- 7 were somewhat informal on the Consent items, but we
- 8 will go over that and Phil will fill us in, and Ken
- 9 will go over the procedure for comments on Consent when
- 10 it is time.
- 11 Do I have approval of the agenda?
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: So moved.
- 13 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Second.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded.
- 15 All those in favor?
- 16 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: And I will dispense with my
- 18 mantra about being respectful, as I think that's gotten
- 19 across, and we have had some successful meetings with
- 20 limited sources of funds and a limited amount of time,
- 21 we just want to proceed with the most civil process
- 22 possi bl e.
- 23 So without any other things to do, I know the
- 24 Deputy Director is dispensing with her report this
- 25 morning. We will have that on Saturday morning; is

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 that correct? So our unfinished business this morning
- 2 is local assistance grants in the non-CESA category.
- 3 And who on staff will be guiding us through?
- 4 CHIEF JENKINS: Let me begin.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You know what, I did overlook
- 6 one thing. Can you introduce everybody that's
- 7 assisting the Division on staff and introduce them all,

- 8 pl ease?
- 9 CHIEF JENKINS: Good morning, Commissioners, so
- 10 nice to be here. This morning here at the table, let
- 11 me see who I'm looking down the table at. So I assume
- 12 you all know me, Phil Jenkins, Chief of the Division,
- 13 and, of course, Deputy Director Greene here. Tim
- 14 LaFranchi is with us today, of course, our chief
- 15 counsel. So then we have grant administrators who you
- 16 will be hearing from throughout the day as we go
- 17 through the projects. So John Pelonio is the first one
- 18 of our law enforcement officers at the Division.
- 19 Kelly, raise your hand there, Kelly. Kelly Roach, also
- 20 one of our law enforcement officers of the Division.
- 21 And Larry Bellucci is here with us today for the last
- 22 time. He is going to be moving on -- he received a new
- 23 position in another department, so it's going to be
- 24 quite a loss, Larry, he's been very good for us here.
- 25 And I want to thank you, Larry, for all of the good

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 work that you've done while you were here, and it's
- 2 going to be really hard to see you go.
- 3 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: Actually, we'd like to say
- 4 Larry has been gone. He went to State Lands
- 5 Commission. And I would just like to applaud Larry for
- 6 his dedication to this program because it was through
- 7 no push of our own, but Larry offered to come back. He
- 8 has been gone from the Division for a couple weeks now
- 9 and offered to come back to make sure that he provided
- 10 the input that he has had on the grants throughout the

- 11 entire last year. So, Larry, thank I do appreciate it.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: We get to pile on, too, and
- 13 say thanks from the Commission.
- 14 CHIEF JENKINS: And then Barbara Greenwood, who
- is becoming one of our longest term grant
- 16 administrators. Next to Barbara is Martha Ibarra, who
- 17 has been coming on very strong here this last several
- 18 months and has been helpful to us. And, of course,
- 19 Kenny Glaspie, who not only works on grants, also works
- 20 on the RTP program. If you do RTP grants, you would
- 21 have spoken with Kenny on those things.
- 22 Back in the back hiding over -- there how did
- 23 you get way over there in the back, Dan? Dan Kentfield
- 24 is our newest addition. Stand up, Dan. He actually
- 25 showed enough dedication that actually before he began

- 1 the job he was at the last Commission meeting to begin
- 2 getting the lay of the land and really understanding
- 3 how the process works. And I've got to say, just the
- 4 very short time he's been with us since the last
- 5 meeting to now, it's been very -- it's always great to
- 6 get fresh eyes, new ideas coming in. And Dan has been
- 7 a great addition to the team.
- 8 Across the room, of course, we all saw during
- 9 the salute Mardi over there helping us out looking like
- 10 Lady Liberty with that flag. Thank you, Mardi, for
- 11 that. Cannot forget Vicki Perez, our assistant to the
- 12 Deputy Director and myself. And helping us on all of
- 13 the AV stuff today -- is it just you today as far as

- 14 the AV stuff? Aaron Freitas and Joanna Parra are going
- 15 to be helping us on the AV stuff and keep those things
- 16 all in order.
- 17 Who do we have out in the audience? Tom
- 18 Bernardo out in the audience, one of the
- 19 superintendents of the Division that helps us on so
- 20 many things, specializes in a lot of the sound issues,
- 21 a whole variety of issues that he helping us and
- 22 advises us on. And, of course, Jennifer Buckingham
- 23 works on a lot of our environmental issues and whatnot,
- 24 very nice to have you here.
- Who else? Bob Williamson, the superintendent

267

916-492-1222 Fax

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 for Prairie City is here observing today, as well. So
- 2 have I missed anybody from our staff? That's it.
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. We have dispensed
- 4 with the federal agency reports today. They're welcome
- 5 to comment if there is anything special at the public
- 6 forum at eleven o'clock. We do respectfully request
- 7 that in the future, that the federal agencies do
- 8 provide us a written report for the binders before they
- 9 go out, as we discussed, I think, at the last meeting.
- 10 And any additional remarks can be made at the various
- 11 Commission meetings. So thank you for that.
- 12 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Mr. Chair, on the
- 13 Consent agenda, if we want items taken off, when would
- 14 the time frame be?
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That will be momentarily.
- 16 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Okay

17	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So we will move to that
18	Consent agenda, unless there are additional remarks or
19	advice from staff.
20	CHIEF JENKINS: Just if I could really briefly
21	describe. The spreadsheets look slightly different
22	from the last meeting, so I thought I would let you
23	know what you're looking at there. If you look at the
24	packet that was handed out today of the spreadsheets,
25	you'll see that the first portion are white 268
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	spreadsheets, then you have the buff color or yellow
2	colored sheets behind that.
3	What we were doing here is the projects that
4	were heard at the last two meetings and that you have
5	already done the final scoring and the funding
6	determinations have been made there, those are all
7	recorded on those white sheets, so that we wanted
8	everybody to be able to see. I know at the last
9	meeting people were asking us to put it up on the
10	screen as you finished going through various
11	categories. So that's what reflected on the white
12	sheets here.
13	If you go back through the packet to page eight
14	of 613, which would be the last page for the
15	restoration grants, you see we highlighted those last
16	two restoration projects that we had left as kind of
17	hanging unfinished decision on how to treat those at
18	the last meeting. So those still need to be dealt with
19	at this meeting. We can go into that whenever you're

- 20 ready.
- 21 And then behind that you have all of the buff
- 22 colored sheets, and all of those buff colored sheets
- 23 are what we need to go through today.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So the pleasure of the
- 25 Commission. I know there have been a couple of side

269

- 1 conversations, and I would appreciate that we not have
- 2 them at this time, the side conversations.
- With regards to how to proceed today, with which
- 4 category first, so I'll defer to my Commissioners to
- 5 give me guidance.
- 6 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, the reason
- 7 we're having side conversations is we are trying to get
- 8 oriented with the materials. Let me ask a couple of
- 9 questions so that we can put it on the record, as
- 10 opposed to merely just asking ourselves.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Perfect.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: The question was asked to
- 13 me, and I didn't have an answer, at what point did we
- 14 do the conservation projects. My recollection was we
- 15 spent the bulk of our time on law enforcement and
- 16 restoration. Was conservation items done first?
- 17 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: Conservation projects were
- 18 the first projects that you heard, Commissioners. And
- 19 so we took them in the order. So it was conservation,
- 20 law enforcement, restoration. The two outstanding
- 21 restoration projects, which will be heard today, are
- 22 highlighted in yellow on page eight.

23	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Thank you very much. That
24	helps my recollection, fading recollection.
25	Also, can you help us by providing the 270
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222
1	application volume number when we get to the two
2	restoration grants. Because in trying to retrieve that
3	original application, I am overwhelmed by the number of
4	different documents.
5	CHIEF JENKINS: If you look at page eight of 13,
6	the third or fourth column across, volume of pages
7	listed there for those two projects, and then in the
8	findings binder that page where you would find the
9	findings that we have are also listed as a reference
10	there.
11	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Eight of 13 is the sheet,
12	but I'm looking for the volumes; that's right. Six
13	one thank you very much. 6-1 and 4-1, 61. Thank
14	you. I will try to retrieve them.
15	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Do you need a movement for
16	retri eval ?
17	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Just go ahead.
18	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I'm reminded that we will
19	stay on Consent and then we'll go to how we want to
20	approach, in what order, the rest of the grants.
21	What's the pleasure of the Commission with regards to
22	Consent?
23	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Any order.
24	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I would assume that we're
25	going to do the entire Consent list. We will do the
	Page 9

Fax

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

1 entire Consent agenda first, and then we will move t	1	entire Consent	agenda	first,	and	then	we	wi I I	move	to
--	---	----------------	--------	--------	-----	------	----	--------	------	----

- 2 the actual consideration of grants that aren't on
- 3 Consent?
- CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That's the order as it's been 4
- 5 in the past. So do we have Commissioners wanting to
- pull or put on? So I'll start with Commissioner 6
- Prizmich. 7
- 8 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Yes, on Consent agenda
- 9 Item No. 23 of the first page of the yellow sheets,
- 10 Item No. 23, OR-795, that's San Bernardino County
- Sheriff, Victor Valley, I would like to have that 11
- 12 removed from Consent.
- 13 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Could you give me the
- 14 Line.
- 15 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Item No. 23.
- COMMISSIONER THOMAS: 23, I'm sorry. 16
- 17 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: And then two lines down
- from that, Item No. 25, OR-818, San Bernardino County 18
- Sheriff, Barstow, have that removed. These are 19
- 20 equipment grants I think.
- 21 And then line number 29, OR-785, Yucca Valley,
- 22 I'd like to have that removed. Thank you.
- 23 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I have line 58 on the
- 24 third page of those, Gold Rock Outdoor Schools.
- 25 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I have on the same page

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 lines 68 and 69, El Dorado County General Services; and
- 2 line 78 Yolo County Parks, Department Planning.
- 3 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: On that same page, I have
- 4 number 55.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any other Consent items that
- 6 should be removed or put on wishful thinking? Seeing
- 7 no other movement, are we still needing a little time,
- 8 Mr. Thomas?
- 9 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Just to clarify, will
- 10 requests from the public to remove items from the
- 11 Consent Calendar follow the Commission's request?
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That would be appropriate.
- 13 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Thank you.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So now that the Commission
- 15 has pulled their items, do we have -- okay. The
- 16 Consent list requests for removal do not have the line
- 17 item numbers it appears. So I will, with the help of
- 18 staff, just call these folks up at this time as to why
- 19 they want to be off of Consent. Is that what you're
- 20 suggesting, Commissioner Spitler?
- 21 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Yes.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So at this time I will hear
- 23 in this order. Bruce Cann, BLM Arcata, and then Bruce
- 24 Brazil following, Don Amador following Bruce.
- 25 BRUCE CANN: My name is Bruce Cann with BLM

273

- 1 Arcata Field Office, and I'd like to -- line 83, the
- 2 Arcata Field Office, Trail Maintenance, be removed from
- 3 the Consent.

- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So removed.
- 5 BRUCE BRAZIL: Bruce Brazil, California Enduro
- 6 Riders Association. And I've got, I believe, two items
- 7 on that list. The first one is line item one,
- 8 OR-1-NO-68. And I'd have to dig through a bunch of
- 9 these. Could you possibly call out the other one for
- 10 me?
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Yes, it's OR-1-CD-370.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: If you don't know the
- 13 line, how did you get the number?
- 14 BRUCE BRAZIL: Project number.
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Is it 95 perhaps, Bruce? Are
- 16 you concurring with that, it's number 95?
- 17 BRUCE BRAZIL: Yes, I am. Thank you.
- 18 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: That's the Ridgecrest.
- 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Ridgecrest Field Office.
- 20 DON AMADOR: Don Amador, Blue Ribbon Coalition.
- 21 Items 16, 41, and 91; 16, 41 and 91.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Next, I have Richard Collins,
- then behind Richard would be John Stewart.
- 24 RICHARD COLLINS: Richard Collins, County of
- 25 Yucca Valley Police Department, OR-75. I forget what

- 1 line number that is down near the bottom.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That item has been pulled.
- 3 RI CHARD COLLINS: Okay. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So John Stewart, you have
- 5 various grants, I suppose, specific.
- 6 JOHN STEWART: Yes, John Stewart, California Page 12

- 7 Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs, United 4-Wheel
- 8 Drive Associations and Teirra de Sol 4-Wheel Drive
- 9 Club. Let's see if I can get all of these here. It
- 10 should be line 45.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: While you're looking, John, I
- 12 just have to remind the general public that our
- 13 transcriber cannot hear what she needs to transcribe if
- 14 you're chatting in the background. So please keep your
- 15 comments to the outer spaces.
- 16 JOHN STEWART: Line 45, line 62, and line number
- 17 96. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Phil, who am I looking to to
- 19 keep track of all of these?
- 20 CHIEF JENKINS: Aaron is keeping an electronic
- 21 record of all of these.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Shepherding the meeting and
- 23 trying to keep track of all of these numbers is not
- 24 conducive to doing both at the same time.
- 25 Next, would be Sky; is that right? Sky

275

- 1 Zaffarano? How did I butcher that?
- 2 SKY ZAFFARANO: Pretty good.
- 3 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Pretty good butcher.
- 4 SKY ZAFFARANO: Sky Zaffarano, Redding BLM.
- 5 would like to remove Item 94.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: And Ray McCray followed by
- 7 Jim Keeler.
- 8 RAY McCRAY: Ray McCray, and I'd like to request
- 9 removal of line 101; it's OR-2-SR-35 and request Page 13

	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x
10	removal from Consent Calendar.
11	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
12	Mr. Keeler, this is why the BLM is having
13	trouble with their budget. You could have put
14	everything on one sheet, but you used three.
15	JIM KEELER: I apologize, sir.
16	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That's good.
17	JIM KEELER: And to make it even worse, you have
18	the three numbers that I wanted to pull.
19	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: 65, 88, and 43.
20	JIM KEELER: Thank you very much, sir.
21	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Is the list on the
22	screen the complete list so far?
23	OHMVR STAFF FREITAS: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Is the screen just the
25	ones that the public has been requesting?
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	OHMVR STAFF FREITAS: This is just the public.
2	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Chair?
3	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Yes, Commissioner Anderson.
4	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Excuse me, question
5	for
6	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: 65, 88 and 43.
7	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: 43 is the Plumas National
8	Forest. Mr. Keeler.
9	JIM KEELER: I'll have to check. I'm sorry.
10	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Will the BLM kindly remove

CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So under Fred Krueger, I can Page 14

the Forest Service?

11

- 13 save you coming up here if we want to do this, 43 and
- 14 93 is what you're requesting?
- 15 FRED KRUEGER: Thank you very much,
- 16 Mr. Chairman.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Tom Tammone wants OR-798. Do
- 18 you know which line item that is?
- 19 TOM TAMMONE: It's 58. I believe it's already
- 20 off Consent.
- 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I believe Mr. McMillin pulled
- 22 that.
- 23 TOM TAMMONE: Okay. I pass.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: And for the Tahoe, David
- 25 Michael would like line 102 removed from Consent; is 277

- 1 that correct?
- 2 Don Amador, I'm afraid I can't grant this all if
- 3 needed. He already spoke, okay.
- 4 Eric Hurtado, is there an Eric on the grounds?
- 5 OR-816 and OR-781. Do you have the lines on those?
- 6 ERIC HURTADO: Ni ne and 33.
- 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Nine and 33. Does that Leave
- 8 anything left on Consent? Okay. There are two more
- 9 yellow cards for Santa Clara County Parks, Lisa
- 10 Killough has requested 97 be taken off. And the
- 11 Angeles Forest has requested that number 82 be removed,
- 12 as well.
- 13 Any further comments from the Commission?
- 14 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: And I have one additional
- 15 request, number 46.

- 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Number 46.
- 17 I'm sorry, do you want to come forward?
- 18 MONA DANIELS: I should have had a form up
- 19 there. Palm Springs, line item 73. Mona Daniels, Palm
- 20 Springs.
- 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So noted.
- 22 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: Mona, can you make sure
- 23 that you actually submit a yellow form to Mardi,
- 24 pl ease?
- 25 MONA DANIELS: Yes.

278

- 1 KAREN McKINLEY: Karen McKinley, Los Padres,
- 2 Items 60 and 90. I handed my papers in early.
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Items 60 and 90, so noted.
- 4 Those last two individuals, if they could make out
- 5 yellow slips, I do not have them.
- 6 DOUG HUBBARD: I had turned in yellow -- Doug
- 7 Hubbard, San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department. I
- 8 had turned in yellow slips for 23 and 25, and Sheriff
- 9 Prizmich pulled those. I just wanted to reiterate our
- 10 request to have those removed from the calendar.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It can't get any better than
- 12 having Sheriff Prizmich pull them.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: We will remove them
- 14 agai n.
- 15 DOUG HUBBARD: Thank you.
- 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So seeing no further movement
- 17 in the audience and no further yellow slips, do I have
- 18 a motion on Consent?

19	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'll make that motion.
20	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved.
21	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: And seconded.
22	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: All those remaining on
23	Consent will be approved at this time by signaling aye.
24	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
25	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: We're all in favor. Good.
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222
1	Suggestions on how to handle the balance of the grants
2	in what order?
3	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I think we had two grants
4	that were carried over from the last meeting that seems
5	like we ought to dispatch of right off the bat.
6	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So those two would be line
7	items 26 and 27 under Restoration, the Mendocino
8	National Forest and the Eldorado National Forest. And
9	who do we have on staff, John? Do you want to review
10	those for us please, briefly?
11	CHIEF JENKINS: So I'll have John review those
12	in just a moment. I just wanted to say before we get
13	going here on these two, I had the questions about
14	those at that last meeting and needed to pull those off
15	and really take a hard look at them. We really
16	appreciate the patience that the National Forest
17	Service staff, both in those individual locations and
18	the regional office staff, has had with us going
19	through this process. We've had a number of
20	discussions with them since then seeking clarification
21	of exactly what was planned for these projects as they Page 17

Fax

- 22 moved forward.
- 23 And that's why after quite a bit of deliberation
- 24 with them and trying to sort through what was really
- 25 the intent of these projects, I feel comfortable with

- 1 that's why they're back here before us today. Just
- 2 wanted to say I really appreciate the Forest Service
- 3 working with us on this, and I think with the
- 4 additional clarification that they plan to provide
- 5 today, that everything is going to be -- hopefully what
- 6 they'll provide to you is sufficient information to
- 7 make these eligible restoration grants.
- 8 So with that, John, do you want to go through
- 9 numbers, and we will start from there?
- 10 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. There is a
- 11 question from Commissioner Thomas.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: One question. We have the
- 13 Thomas Creek staff report that was just handed to us.
- 14 I gather that is from our staff?
- 15 CHIEF JENKINS: No, that came from the Forest
- 16 Service staff.
- 17 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Would there also be one
- 18 for El Dorado?
- 19 CHIEF JENKINS: I don't know if Eldorado had
- 20 planned to do it verbally or in writing.
- 21 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: This looks like a very
- 22 good job. I would -- second one, I would like to look
- 23 at it a while...
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Can you move your mikes Page 18

1

20

21

22

23

24

25

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

COMMISSIONER THOMAS: The staff report for

2	Thomas Creek, or the national forest report, is
3	thorough on first look. If there is an Eldorado
4	report, I'd like to see that as well so I can analyze
5	the El dorado. Thank you.
6	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any further comments,
7	suggestions, before John reviews these two?
8	Go ahead, John.
9	OHMVR STAFF PELONIO: Page eight, line 26, U.S.
10	Forest Service Eldorado National Forest, restoration
11	project. Requested amount was \$162,186. The score was
12	18 for zero percent and zero dollars.
13	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I have Mendocino first.
14	OHMVR STAFF PELONIO: Li ne 26, Mendoci no
15	National Forest, Thomas Creek, Restoration. Requested
16	amount, \$75,680, a score of 23 for zero percent
17	fundi ng.
18	JACK HORNER: Good morning, Commissioners. My
19	name is Jack Horner. I'm the forest recreation officer

on the Mendocino National Forest. And we would like to

misunderstanding that we think the state had on whether

or not this fell into planning or restoration, that the

refer you also to the handout that we did with the

rescoring of the project. We believe that with the

scoring wasn't quite adequate.

1	We went through the process, and in summary,
2	number one, if you looked at the scoring under number
3	one, you would see that we actually do have key
4	wildlife habitat, Lake Successional Reserve for the
5	Northern Spotted owl in that area, Thomas Creek are.
6	We have suitable habitat for bald eagle, peregrine
7	falcon and Gass hawks, and a very high probability of
8	prehistoric sites in the area. The Thomas Creek itself
9	is eligible for wild and scenic river status. And in
10	our land management plan, we went through that process.
11	We have not carried that forward yet. And the proposed
12	Devil's Basin Research National Area also borders that
13	area.
14	What we found out during the inventory, the
15	inventory that we did for the route designation, was
16	that there were a number of routes that were being used
17	by motorized equipment in these back country areas. In
18	the application that we turned in, there is a color map
19	that shows all of the routes that were being used out
20	there.
21	And so we think that the score on number one
22	should be moved from zero, which the state had given
23	us, up to a 32. On number two, we would like to see it
24	moved from 10 points up to 15, based on the fact that
25	the forest has been a pioneer in using specialized 283
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

equipment, erosion control methods, native seed

- 2 collection, propagation, fertilization formulas, and
- 3 strategic cross slope tree falling and area camo to try
- 4 to disguise the use out there so that others won't come
- 5 and continue to use that.
- 6 We developed innovative no dig fencing and even
- 7 sandy -- the Forest Service San Demas Research Center
- 8 has come up to look at some of the restoration projects
- 9 we've done to try to give direction to other Forest
- 10 Service offices to help them. We've got many partners
- 11 that we use out there. That's why we think the points
- 12 should be up to 14 in that area.
- 13 Also, like to recommend number three changing
- 14 the score from five up to 12 out of 20. The
- 15 application identifies signs, barriers, restoration,
- 16 and LE patrols and monitoring that will be implemented
- 17 with our land management plan direction that we already
- 18 have, that says it will be a back country prescription
- 19 area.
- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Please, summarize.
- 21 JACK HORNER: Okay. Number four, we'd like to
- 22 see it at eight points, instead of the zero that was
- 23 gi ven.
- 24 (Audio equipment interference.)
- 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Can you translate the Morse

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 code for us?
- 2 JACK HORNER: That was a good one. I'm not too
- 3 good at Morse code.
- 4 The last one, 25, the Mendocino has already

- 5 received 56 grants from the state, and I guess because
- 6 we didn't list all of those 56 grants out on the
- 7 application, we got very few points for that, eight
- 8 points instead of the 14 or 15, like I'd like to see us
- 9 get. And so my plea to you would be to look at
- 10 increasing the scores. We have had 56 grants over a
- 11 24-year period for a total of about \$11 million. We've
- done a very good job of using that money on the ground
- 13 to provide opportunities for the public and protect the
- 14 environment. So thank you for your consideration.
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Don't you get
- 16 fewer points for the more money you get; isn't that it?
- 17 That doesn't explain it.
- 18 BRENT SCHORADT: Good morning, I'm Brent
- 19 Schoradt with the California Wilderness Coalition, and
- 20 we'd like to just support the good comment made by the
- 21 Mendocino National Forest. I think in category one, we
- 22 heard about the Lake Successional Reserves, which we
- 23 commonly refer to as old-growth forest, and something
- 24 that wasn't mentioned is the fact that Thomas Creek is
- 25 a tributary to the Sacramento River, which is an

285

- 1 important salmon fishery for the State of California.
- 2 And so I think they definitely deserve full points, 40
- 3 out of 40, for category one, which is the project which
- 4 provides critical environmental benefits.
- 5 And under category two, for efficient use of
- 6 funds, we've been sort of following up with the
- 7 Mendocino National Forest, and I can say of the forests

- 8 that we work with across the state, there are 18
- 9 national forests, that Mendocino National Forest is
- 10 leading the way in terms of good restoration work. And
- 11 I think they are using efficient use of funds, so they
- 12 deserve 15 out of 15.
- And for monitoring, which I believe is category
- 14 four -- yes, category four, I can attest to the fact
- 15 that these guys are really leading the way in
- 16 monitoring. I have a PowerPoint presentation on my
- 17 computer that has six or seven restoration projects
- 18 that these guys have been following since the mid
- 19 1980s, so 20 years, the last 20 years you can see the
- 20 impacts of this restoration work. And, you know, I've
- 21 heard the restoration program be criticized in saying
- 22 giving money to federal agencies is like pouring money
- 23 down a hole, but really I think this forest is leading
- 24 the way in terms of showing the critical benefits that
- 25 restoration provides. And you can see it by seeing the

- 1 photos that they've provided to the public really on
- 2 quick notice because they're proud of the work that
- 3 they do, and we're proud to be partners with them. So
- 4 we encourage as high of a score as we can possibly
- 5 grant in terms of that monitoring category. Thank you.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 7 KAREN SCHAMBACH: Karen Schambach, Center for
- 8 Sierra Nevada Conservation and PEER. I would just echo
- 9 Mr. Schoradt's comments, and I'm glad that the
- 10 Division, that the agency has straightened out the

- 11 confusion over these grants, and I would like to see
- 12 this particular one, as Mr. Schoradt said, Mendocino is
- 13 not only leading the way on providing opportunity and
- 14 maintenance and good management, but also on
- 15 restoration. I would like to see them funded. Thank
- 16 you.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. The comment in
- 18 the middle of that reminds me to remind everyone to
- 19 turn their cell phones off, please.
- 20 JOHN STEWART: Good morning, Commissioners, John
- 21 Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs,
- 22 United 4-Wheel Drive, and Tierra de Sol 4-Wheel Drive
- 23 Club. I've got to go on record with the community here
- 24 in saying that we believe the original scores reflected
- 25 are accurate. When you hear this, you hear a lot of

- 1 words such as suitable, probable, there is nothing that
- 2 really designates factual information. It's just all
- 3 highly questionable that something is being done;
- 4 therefore, this grant looks like it's based on a flawed
- 5 process with nothing definitive that will benefit. So
- 6 thank you.
- 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any further comments?
- 8 Commissioners?
- 9 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I have a question I
- 10 guess. I don't remember who made the comment, I
- 11 believe it was the first presenter, but there was a
- 12 question as to whether this was a planning or
- 13 restoration grant. And I've heard the same commentary

- 14 come up in the past, confusion from the applicants over
- whether their application should be placed in planning
- 16 or restoration. Can I have staff maybe make some
- 17 comments on how these categories are decided?
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I think there can be planning
- 19 within restoration grants.
- 20 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I understand. There
- 21 seems to be some confusion, and the confusion ends up
- 22 affecting the applicants' process if they put it in
- 23 there.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So John or Phil, whoever,
- 25 would like to respond to that.

288

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 CHIEF JENKINS: I'll try to be as concise as I
- 2 can on an admittedly confusing issue. First point is
- 3 that the projects are in planning or restoration based
- 4 on the criteria that the applicant has filled out. So
- 5 these two projects, the applicants filled out the
- 6 restoration criteria, and so that's why they are placed
- 7 in this bucket, this category.
- 8 The question that arose during the course of the
- 9 last meeting was does the work that's proposed to be
- 10 done, the deliverables, if you will, the activities to
- 11 be performed, qualify as actual restoration eligible
- 12 costs. And where planning fits together with
- 13 restoration, what we've tried to make clear in the
- 14 regulations, clearly something that we're trying to be
- 15 much better on next year with the applicants themselves
- 16 to remove this confusion, is that difference between if

- 17 there is actual work to be done and you need to have
- 18 some planning associated with that. So the planning
- 19 will definitely lead to restoration work to be
- 20 accomplished, then that is qualifying. If it is
- 21 planning that is much more general in nature where you
- 22 need to do some analysis of a project and there may or
- 23 may not at the end of the planning project be actual
- 24 restoration work occurring, then that would be looked
- 25 at as just a pure planning process because you don't 289

- 1 know that that's going to actually result in any
- 2 restoration work to be done.
- If the planning is a necessary first step in an
- 4 actual restoration effort moving forward, then that's
- 5 tied directly to some restoration activities, and
- 6 that's where these two projects, hopefully with the
- 7 information you're receiving from the applicants,
- 8 you'll be able to determine whether or not these two
- 9 projects are leading to actual -- you know, as a result
- 10 of these projects, restoration will be occurring. And
- 11 that's why they would qualify as planning for
- 12 restoration here.
- 13 I know that that is difficult concept, because
- 14 it's that line between what is and what isn't.
- 15 Perhaps, counsel or.
- 16 COUNSEL LaFRANCHI: If I could just add, I think
- 17 if you -- the way I've been looking at it is you need
- 18 to look at the work product, the deliverable, what is
- 19 it that the project is going to produce. If it's going

- 20 to produce, for example, an engineer of grading plans
- 21 specific to the area that shows how the earth is going
- 22 to be moved, if it's going to develop a landscape plan
- 23 to show what plants are going to be planted and
- 24 replaced, it's going to develop an engineering plan to
- 25 show what barriers are going to be placed, those sorts 290

- 1 of things, or it's going to do some project specific
- 2 CEQA or NEPA, which is maybe for that 20 acres of
- 3 restoration, they need to go out and do a few surveys
- 4 to be sure they know what species are there and what
- 5 needs to be done as they're going through the project,
- 6 that would be a project specific restoration planning
- 7 type work product or output deliverable.
- 8 If, however -- and as I look through some of
- 9 these applications, if, however, they've gone in and
- 10 this was closed in 1995, but they haven't yet decided
- 11 which routes are actually going to be restored, which
- 12 routes are going to be kept for a red -- a mention in
- one of the applications, they hadn't decided which were
- 14 going to be motorized versus non-motorized, they
- 15 haven't finished that decision process, that is the
- 16 work output then would be some sort of a decision
- 17 document or a planning document that says these trails
- 18 we're going to keep for some purpose, hiking or
- 19 equestrian, these trails we're going to close and
- 20 restore, that would be the work output, and there would
- 21 be general planning as opposed to the more project
- 22 specific and engineering site plan or design.

2007-01-25 OHV C1 x 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Mike, does that get to where 24 you needed to be in terms of explanation? 25 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Yes, I thank the staff SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 877-453-1010 1 for that. It just seems that this commentary comes up 2 often, and I guess what I'd like for us to get to, 3 particularly in this area, if an applicant seems to be 4 misguided in terms of their application, I don't know 5 if the staff -- and I'm assuming they do, but there seems to be a disconnect, to straighten that misguided 6 7 approach to their application out prior to submission. 8 We're going through all of this, and perhaps it 9 could have been corrected earlier in the process. I'm 10 assuming that does occur with staff, but it seems to me 11 it comes up pretty regularly that there is a disconnect 12 between planning and restoration applications, and 13 they're placed in the wrong box. They're put in the 14 wrong hand, and I don't know if there is a way of 15 straightening that out. Well, I think Phil's 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: 17 explanation at the front end of this conversation 18 probably should have been taped for the criteria 19 workshop for the applicants. 20 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: And we've gone over that 21 before. It seems it continues to come up. I'm just 22 trying to smooth the process out. 23 CHIEF JENKINS: And what we're doing, we have heard that loud and clear from the applicants and the 24 25 Commission and the public that we need to get a better 292

process in for that. So as we've been looking through

1

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

2 how we're going to do the intake process next year, first of all, we're going to back up and spend quite a 3 bit of time on this at our workshops, as we work with 4 5 the applicants before they ever put their projects together. And we're building in some check-off 6 7 procedures so that when things come through the door, 8 we spend a lot more time right at the front end really 9 evaluating to make sure that a project is in the 10 correct category so that we don't end up at this point 11 next year. 12 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I think when we started 13 this whole process, we really took a pretty 14 conservative approach to the competitive process, and I 15 think that got in our way at times. And I'm not laying I think it sometimes gets in the way of the 16 any blame. 17 process, if you haven't put this in the right box and 18 we're not going to look at it. And I'm glad to hear 19 that, you know, there are some efforts. 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I think the flexibility is 21 real important to make sure this stuff gets on the 22 ground in the right way. 23 So are there comments from Commissioner Thomas? 24 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I am concerned about the 25 statement about the distinction between planning and 293 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 restoration that's been proposed by staff, in that if
- 2 what you're saying is that segregating the decision to
- 3 proceed or not is planning, and restoration is the
- 4 actual act after you've decided to proceed, that runs
- 5 counter to NEPA. Because NEPA is inherently part of
- 6 the decision to act, it's the decision to either
- 7 evaluate an act in one way or evaluate an act in
- 8 another way, or a variant of a third way. But that all
- 9 precedes and is part of the decision to act, this
- 10 analysis. And if we put the analysis in a planning box
- and not in the acting box, we really contradict NEPA,
- 12 and we make it impossible to fund the NEPA decision
- 13 making it as part of our restoration program. And I
- 14 don't think we want to do that because that analysis is
- 15 the core part of the act.
- 16 So I would ask the staff to kind of reconsider
- 17 the distinction of the boxes that you've outlined.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: What I was hearing from the
- 19 chief was that there was flexibility now being put in
- 20 the process. Am I not hearing that correctly?
- 21 CHIEF JENKINS: I think we're all driving at the
- 22 same point. It's that thing -- it is that issue of --
- 23 very difficult to discuss these things sometimes in the
- 24 abstract because there are so many iterations of how
- 25 all of the different thoughts and issues can fit

NG

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 together. It's much clearer when you're looking at an
- 2 actual -- on this project, where are we, what would be
- 3 the result of the planning process. And so some of the Page 30

- 4 difficulty as we struggle forth, getting our heads
- 5 wrapped around where we're going with this stuff.
- 6 But just on the broadest terms, I hear what
- 7 you're saying. I understand that point. But on the
- 8 broadest terms, is this a planning for planning's sake,
- 9 in other words, what do we want to do in this area
- 10 generally or here's where we are going, now we need to
- 11 look at all of the alternatives and how to get there.
- 12 I mean it is a line there that we have to spend a lot
- 13 of time making sure that we're all talking about the
- 14 same thing. I know that is just a confusing concept
- 15 for I would imagine everybody in the room. It
- 16 certainly is for me.
- 17 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: My point was that you
- 18 can't make the decision to proceed until NEPA is
- 19 complete. I know as a practical matter people ignore
- 20 that on a regular basis. They say I want to do X, and
- 21 now let's go do NEPA, and they do it as a procedure
- 22 thing on the way to doing X. But that's not the way
- 23 the law is written, and that's not the way it's
- 24 intended, and that's why environmental groups spend
- 25 their lives litigating that issue because you can't

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 decide first, and then analyze second, and then fund.
- 2 You have to do the analysis and decision as part of the
- 3 same act, and that's why our restoration grants need to
- 4 give money to enable that act. And if you push the
- 5 decision into another box, I call it planning, we
- 6 disable the ability to restore, and that's my point. Page 31

7	COUNSEL LaFRANCHI: I would just like to add, I
8	understand, Commissioner Thomas, what you are saying,
9	and that's something that we're kind of struggling
10	with, how do we really fix that in a way that makes
11	some sense. And I think it goes back to how the
12	applicants are defining their project for NEPA or CEQA
13	purposes. This is just my current state of thinking.
14	If they define that as a restoration project, you know,
15	we've got all of these trails out there that need to be
16	closed or restored, and we need to do NEPA on that,
17	then we are defining the project as a restoration
18	project. As you know, as well as I do, both CEQA and
19	NEPA require the agencies to define their project in a
20	fairly good manner.
21	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: First, right.
22	COUNSEL LaFRANCHI: So the public knows what it
23	is that's being analyzed. If, however, as Phil was
24	saying, like on the route designation, they haven't
25	quite figured it out what they're going do with that 296
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 and their NEPA project for that purpose is we're going

2 out looking at trails, some we are going to close, some

3 we are going to keep open, and that's the project that

4 we're planning for, that could be something else.

5 And then you've got the hybrid, you could have a

6 little bit of both within a route designation project.

7 So we're struggling with that. So I think that's what

8 Phil and we've all been talking about is how do we get

9 that sorted out so that it makes some sense.

- 10 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Thank you. You're on top
- 11 of it. Thank you.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That was a compliment. That
- 13 was good. Unless you have something more to add to
- 14 this, I think this dialogue probably needs to keep
- 15 going through the next round of requests, and sort as
- 16 we go. And I'm sure they'll be little instances from
- 17 time to time that will crop up, and we will still
- 18 scratch our heads.
- 19 So I'm bringing it back to the Commission with
- 20 regards to how to proceed with this particular grant.
- 21 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, I would take
- 22 what is an excellent staff report from the forest and
- 23 adopt their numbers and rationale in its entirety. My
- 24 motion would adopt their numbers, and with the comments
- 25 from -- I think it was the Wilderness Coalition of

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 increasing the criteria number one to 40 points,
- 2 criteria number two to 15 points, criteria number four
- 3 to nine points, criteria number five to 15 points,
- 4 which gives us a total of 90 points, and that would be
- 5 my motion.
- 6 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Second that.
- 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded
- 8 to approve this grant at level of 90 points, which I
- 9 don't know what that translates to dollars.
- 10 OHMVR STAFF PEREZ: Commissioner Thomas, could
- 11 you repeat the numbers, please?
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Criteria number one, 40; Page 33

	2007 01 20 011 01 %
13	criteria number two, 15; criteria number four, nine.
14	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You missed three.
15	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I didn't increase.
16	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It should remain at five.
17	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'm sorry, criteria number
18	three is 12, which is the staff report from the forest.
19	Criteria number four is nine. Criteria number five is
20	a total of 15. And that gets us to 90.
21	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Did you capture that, Aaron?
22	So there is a motion and second on the floor.
23	Any discussion? All those in favor?
24	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
25	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: No.
2	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No.
3	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: For the record, the
4	rationale shown in the forest staff report is part of
5	my motion. I think that was clear, but I wanted it
6	clear in the record.
7	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That was clear in the motion.
8	So moving along to number 27.
9	OHMVR STAFF PELONIO: I have number 27, U.S.
10	Forest Service Eldorado National Forest, Restoration.
11	Requested amount \$162,186; score of 18 for zero percent
12	fundi ng.
13	LESTER LUBETKIN: Good morning, Commissioners.
14	Thank you very much for allowing us to present on this

15 grant application. My name is Lester Lubetkin, Page 34

- 16 Recreation Officer on the Eldorado National Forest.
- 17 This project is for NEPA compliance for
- 18 restoration. The product that we will produce would be
- 19 an environmental document and decision to specifically
- 20 describe how the restoration would be done on specific
- 21 trails. We're in the process of completing our route
- 22 designation process. That is not a part of this
- 23 proposal. This project would be for those routes that
- 24 are identified as unauthorized and not for motor
- 25 vehicle use, public motor vehicle use, how those would 299

- 1 be restored, and that motor vehicle use restricted.
- 2 That's the reason that we felt that it was a
- 3 restoration project. That complies with the
- 4 regulations which specify that NEPA compliance is an
- 5 appropriate cost, an eligible cost for restoration
- 6 projects.
- 7 Specifically, I handed out some recommended
- 8 scores. We feel strongly that the evaluation criteria,
- 9 as the project was looked at, they were very heavily
- 10 weighted against actually on-the-ground restoration
- 11 being accomplished. If you look at the staff scoring
- 12 and comments, it specifically says, "No actual
- 13 restoration work would occur under multiple items."
- 14 And so we recommend the score, and feel that
- 15 it's documented through the application, on criterion
- one, a score of 40; criterion two, would be a score of
- 17 15; criterion 3, a score of 20; criterion four, a score
- 18 of 10; and then criterion 5, a score of 15. The reason Page 35

- 19 this project was submitted was based on input and
- 20 information we were hearing from the public and a
- 21 desire for to us be moving forward once we complete the
- 22 route designation to address unauthorized routes.
- 23 Thank you very much.
- 24 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Question. Can you talk
- 25 some about the critical environmental resources that

- 1 the project will protect?
- 2 LESTER LUBETKIN: In particular, in various
- 3 areas obviously it would be reducing sedimentation and
- 4 erosion from specific areas. Since the route
- 5 designation decision has at this point not been
- 6 completed, we were not identifying the individual
- 7 routes; however, across the forest there are a number
- 8 of areas of -- variety of areas of critical
- 9 environmental resources, water quality, wildlife
- 10 habitat, sensitivity species such as spotted owl. We
- 11 have a significant issue with threatened and endangered
- 12 red-legged frog habitat across the lower portion of the
- 13 forest, a number of those items would be addressed
- 14 through the restoration of those unauthorized routes.
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 16 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Question for the forest
- 17 staff. Could you point to where in your application --
- 18 and I believe it's around page 87 -- and detail exactly
- 19 what areas in the ranger districts you intend to
- 20 restore and what process you intend to engage in in
- 21 that restoration to finalize the documentation for the Page 36

- 22 restoration; in other words, give us some facts so we
- 23 can. . .
- 24 LESTER LUBETKIN: I thought it was also
- 25 included. I'll try to keep my comments very brief.

301

- 1 That's why I handed out the data sheet. But
- 2 specifically in the application, in the maps following
- 3 page 92, it shows for each of the ranger districts some
- 4 of the key areas what we're been doing -- where we
- 5 would be focusing anyway some of the efforts. In the
- 6 past we have identified various watersheds that have an
- 7 emphasis, and that we would probably -- because this
- 8 would not be restoration of all unauthorized routes
- 9 across the forest. It would be focused in those areas
- 10 that are -- have the highest concern, and that's stated
- on page 86 where we talked to specific watersheds that
- 12 would be analyzed. Page 87, the second paragraph down,
- 13 under the Georgetown Ranger District, for instance,
- 14 spoke specifically to the South Fork American Chili Bar
- 15 watershed and portions of the Rubicon watershed. Same
- 16 page 87, under Pacific District, Rubicon and Silver
- 17 Creek watersheds; and under Placerville, it would be
- 18 north of Middle Fork Cosumnes watersheds spots that
- 19 have been identified as areas that we've had
- 20 assessments and identified specific areas.
- 21 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: In the Placerville
- 22 District, will there be analysis of the Strawberry
- 23 Canyon areas to the north and south of Strawberry
- 24 Canyon where there are adverse impacts currently being Page 37

25 seen on the ground?

302

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1	LESTER LUBETKIN: The intention is to identify
2	across the forest those locations, once the route
3	designation decision is made, of the highest priority
4	in those areas and the greatest need. Part of that
5	would come out of the analysis itself, the EIS for the
6	route designation, which would be identifying why we
7	wouldn't be designating certain routes, why they would
8	be unauthorized. And through that specifying under
9	which ones would be the highest priorities needing to
10	be addressed. And I thought that statement is in here,
11	and that's why I was just looking for it.
12	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: The reason I ask is that
13	while you have a general statement, I'm specifically
14	asking about an area that your route designation is
15	indicating will be closed, but it's not within the
16	North and Middle Fork Cosumnes. And so I'm saying
17	would you include within your application a priority on
18	route designation, closed areas post designation of the
19	areas within the Strawberry Canyon watershed?
20	LESTER LUBETKIN: Yes, we could.
21	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Would you? Is that a yes
22	you will?
23	LESTER LUBETKIN: I'm trying to make sure I
24	understand both the question and make sure that I'm not
25	making the commitments beyond the existing decisions 303

1	that we would be
2	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: My comments are
3	conditional on the decision-making process.
4	LESTER LUBETKIN: Yes, we would be looking in
5	the Strawberry area, the Sails Canyon area, and again
6	across the forest to identify those highest priority
7	areas to try to address first for the restoration to be
8	accompl i shed.
9	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: So if I move approval of
10	some of this funding, it's our understanding that you
11	are amending your priority list in the Placerville
12	District to include the Strawberry Canyon, as well as
13	the North and Middle Fork; is that my understanding?
14	LESTER LUBETKIN: Procedurally, I have no idea
15	if I can actually amend the application of the
16	proposal, but within the authority of a Commission, as
17	far as putting in control language that it include that
18	area that that be emphasized, that could be done. I
19	don't know that I can at this point change the
20	application.
21	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Okay. We'll go ahead with
22	the understanding.
23	LESTER LUBETKIN: Thank you.
24	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Commissioner Prizmich.
25	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'm hearing a lot of 304
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

identifying areas and not a lot of restoring areas, and

- 2 I'm wondering -- I'm right back into this planning
- 3 versus restoration. This sounds more like a planning
- 4 grant to me, so thus far, there is a lot of coaching
- 5 going on here trying to extract from you --
- 6 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'm not coaching. I'm not
- 7 coaching. I'm saying. I'm asking --
- 8 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I understand what you
- 9 want, and you're asking a series of questions that is
- 10 intended to get what you want out of that, which is
- 11 fine. That's what I call coaching. You don't have to
- 12 call it coaching, but you're not getting the answers.
- 13 It sounds more to me like planning, than restoration.
- 14 LESTER LUBETKIN: The application -- thank you
- 15 Commissioner, for the opportunity to speak to that.
- 16 The application is for the NEPA compliance and the
- 17 decision of the specific restoration work that will be
- 18 accomplished on individual routes. The route
- 19 designation process and the decision of which routes
- 20 would be designated for future use and which would be
- 21 unauthorized is a separate project that will be
- 22 accomplished. When the proposal was submitted last
- 23 summer, we expected actually the decision on that to be
- 24 completed by now.
- 25 At this point it looks like it will be

305

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 accomplished in August or so is what our expectation
- 2 is. Once that decision is made, we will have a list of
- 3 all of the unauthorized routes across the forest. This
- 4 funding is not to then sort those, it is -- we will be

- 5 through the work that we're doing, separate from this
- 6 grant, identifying the routes that we would feel have
- 7 the highest priority that need to be addressed
- 8 immediately and restored or closed to motor vehicle
- 9 use. And this funding would be solely for that
- 10 environmental analysis and the completion of the
- 11 decision documents to identify the actual work to close
- 12 individual routes. I can't specify the routes at this
- 13 point.
- 14 The other option was to submit this after the
- 15 route designation decision was complete; however, that
- 16 would have delayed the environmental analysis and
- 17 subsequent closure for another year and a half to two
- 18 years, and what we were hearing from the public was a
- 19 desire for us to both move quickly on completing the
- 20 route designation, putting out the maps and all of the
- 21 route designation elements, but also dealing with the
- 22 unauthorized routes that come out of that route
- 23 designation decision.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. If there is
- 25 further clarification, I would like to go to public

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

306

1 comment on this and come back to discussion.

- So those who wish to comment on this grant,
- 3 please come forward.
- 4 KAREN SCHAMBACH: Karen Schambach, Center for
- 5 Sierra Nevada Conservation and PEER. We certainly
- 6 support the increases requested by the Eldorado
- 7 National Forest for the reasons that they gave. We

- 8 also believe that the -- you know, the comments
- 9 throughout criteria one that no actual restoration work
- 10 would occur is -- you know, shows to me a
- 11 misunderstanding by the staff of what I believe is the
- 12 intent of the category for restoration.
- 13 The regs say planning for restoration is
- 14 legitimate use of restoration funds. Now, the
- 15 confusion regarding the grants for restoration planning
- 16 arose after the grants were submitted by the applicants
- 17 that submitted grants in the belief that they were
- 18 submitted according to the existing regulations. It's
- 19 unfair to penalize applicants now for lack of clarity
- 20 or omission of intent in the regs. The regs say
- 21 planning is legitimate use.
- 22 If staff wants to qualify those allowed uses,
- 23 then they should do so by changing the regs, but the
- 24 way that they read right now is planning. This doesn't
- 25 say planning with the qualifiers that we've heard from 307

- 1 Mr. LaFranchi, and, you know, maybe that was what was
- 2 intended, but it's not spelled out in the regs. And
- 3 the applicants can only go by what's in the regs.
- 4 There were no clarifications in the workshops about
- 5 this. So I would submit that the -- that planning for
- 6 restoration is legitimate. As I said at the last
- 7 meeting, nobody wants to see the funding for these
- 8 projects coming out of non-CESA.
- 9 So I don't see what purpose this served by
- 10 trying to take these out of the restoration category,

- and that would go for the previous grant and this one
- 12 but also for the Pacific Southwest Region Agency
- 13 Planning grant. As Mr. Thomas said, you know, it's
- 14 NEPA, and they have to start at some point. At what
- 15 point do you -- well, I guess I won't go any further.
- 16 I just say that the regs say planning for restoration
- 17 is legitimate use, and if they want to refine that
- 18 definition, they need to change the regs.
- 19 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Ms. Schambach, do you
- 20 have any comments on this specific grant?
- 21 KAREN SCHAMBACH: Yes, I support it with the
- 22 increases proposed by the forest.
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: She said that at the front
- 24 end. It would be helpful I think for Commissioner
- 25 Prizmich and myself to have those regulations where it 308

- 1 specifies under restoration that planning is indeed a
- 2 qualified expenditures of funds. It seems that what
- 3 has just been pointed out, this confusion seems to be
- 4 brought about by thin air.
- 5 CHIEF JENKINS: While counsel is going to dig
- 6 those up for you here in just a moment, but just in
- 7 general format. So in chapter three of the manual,
- 8 which incorporated by reference as part of the
- 9 regulations we talk about, both planning and
- 10 restoration are described there. And while he's
- 11 looking that up, one is described as general planning.
- 12 The other described as more project specific.
- 13 The question also arose during the application

- 14 process, a number of people called us up and we had
- 15 frequently asked questions posted on the internet. And
- 16 that very question was posed by a number of people
- 17 about I have a project, I don't know whether to put it
- 18 in planning or restoration. And we were much more
- 19 clear on those answers. We said if it's a planning
- 20 project, then it needs to go over into planning. So
- 21 the clarification that was sought, you know, we tried
- 22 to accomplish that through that frequently asked
- 23 question board which was posted on the internet.
- 24 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Excuse me, could I just
- 25 interject. We had a long discussion about this issue

- 1 at the last meeting. We had a discussion before we
- 2 started hearing these two grants. Now, we're in the
- 3 middle of public comment on one of the grants. I think
- 4 it's really inappropriate to have this discussion again
- 5 now. I think it's an important discussion. I think we
- 6 should have it. Maybe we need to agendize it in the
- 7 future or have it at some other point in today's
- 8 meeting, but I feel like the applicant has gotten up
- 9 and spoke, the public is here to speak. We've reached
- 10 a decision after much deliberation. We need to move
- 11 forward with this grant and get on with our day.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Let's hear the testimony.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Off-line, Mr. LaFranchi, if
- 14 you could bring those specific documents, that would be
- 15 great.
- 16 BRENT SCHORADT: My name is Brent Schoradt with

- 17 the California Wilderness Coalition, and I just want to
- 18 second the comments made by both the National Forest
- 19 Service, Eldorado National Forest and by the previous
- 20 speaker.
- In some of the research that we've done, on page
- 22 seven of chapter three of the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle
- 23 Recreation Division Grants and Cooperative Agreement
- 24 Project Administration Procedures, it says, quote,
- 25 "Eligible costs for restoration projects may include, 310

- 1 but are not limited, to the following," and there is a
- 2 whole list. And among them is says, "CEQA or NEPA
- 3 compliance requirements for the restoration project."
- 4 So I think with that in mind, I'd like to make
- 5 some specific comments on this grant in particular.
- 6 The Eldorado National Forest under the scope of this
- 7 project are going to be looking to restore illegal
- 8 routes that have been created through the designation
- 9 of the Mokelume wilderness. And I think that qualifies
- 10 as critical environmental benefits. And in and of
- 11 itself, just having the wilderness there, aside from
- 12 the fact that we heard the habitat of the red-legged
- 13 frog, which as many of us, you know, protecting our
- 14 habitat for endangered species in our national forest
- 15 is a critical policy that we should all to trying
- 16 pursue. So I think 40 out of 40 is appropriate for the
- 17 category one, critical environmental benefits.
- 18 And I think in terms of efficient use of OHV
- 19 funds, they've done excellent restoration projects in

- 20 the past, and, you know, we think this project is going
- 21 to continue in that fashion. And I think what we
- 22 really like about this grant is the fact that the
- 23 Eldorado National Forest is getting ahead of the curve
- 24 in terms of looking at what routes currently exist on
- 25 the ground that are not going to be designated through 311

- 1 the route designation process because, A, they either
- 2 violate existing laws like the wilderness, the
- 3 designation of the Mokelume wilderness or, B, they
- 4 violate the Forest Plan whether they're in riparian
- 5 areas or in semi-primitive non-motorized areas. So I
- 6 think there is a whole slough of routes in all of the
- 7 national forests that are violating the current Forest
- 8 Plan that won't be designated through the route
- 9 designation process. So there is no reason why the
- 10 forest should be waiting until that process is
- 11 complete. They are planning through the Forest Plan
- 12 process which already states that motorized use is not
- 13 appropriate in certain areas, and they're just simply
- 14 going forward and restoring those routes where their
- 15 planning has already stated that motorized use is
- 16 inappropriate. And I think doing NEPA for this project
- 17 is clearly laid out in the regulations, so we hope that
- 18 you can fund this project. Thank you.
- 19 TOM TAMMONE: Tom Tammone. I'm not very
- 20 familiar with this grant, but I have spent considerable
- 21 time with the staff people on this forest, and as
- 22 somebody that supports OHV recreation, I trust their

- 23 judgment if they need this money and it's a legitimate
- 24 use and support the scoring increase. I also would
- 25 like to echo concerns about the inability to expend the

- 1 CESA fund of the restoration category whenever we could
- 2 to get the funding grants for restoration under the
- 3 Restoration category should be done. There is a bad
- 4 precedence being stated here, if we can't get it
- 5 anywhere else it goes under non-CESA, so that needs to
- 6 be looked at. Thank you.
- 7 DON KLUSMAN: Don Klusman, California 4-Wheel
- 8 Drive Association. The grant itself doesn't bother me
- 9 as much as what we are talking about here, in that we
- 10 are putting money out there in a pot to do something
- 11 that has not been decided of what's going to be done.
- 12 The forest is going through route designation, along
- 13 with 17 other forests in this state, and they're asking
- 14 for money to do rehabilitation, or whatever you want to
- 15 call it at the present time, on routes that yet are to
- 16 be determined if they are going to be designated or
- 17 not. They did not specifically say which routes in the
- 18 wilderness areas. They did not say which routes.
- 19 They're using watersheds as part of what their
- 20 description is. Well, you do that, you're talking
- 21 about the whole State of California, one part or
- 22 another is a watershed for something. I just really
- 23 have a problem with putting this money out there, the
- 24 cart in front of the horse.
- 25 If we're going to do that, if we're going to

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010

877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 fund planning, you know, I hate to agree with some of
- 2 the people that have spoke earlier, but then we need to
- do planning for all of these. Planning is planning one 3
- way or the other. However we slice it or how you slice 4
- 5 it as a Commission, is going to affect how these
- Restoration funds are going to be used from now until 6
- 7 whenever. You can't have it both ways. We can't say,
- 8 no, we can't fund planning on this forest, but, oh yes,
- 9 we can fund it on this forest. Sorry, I don't think it
- 10 works that way.
- Nick Haris, American Motorcyclist 11 NICK HARIS:
- 12 Association. I guess, number one, I do think that this
- 13 grant application was in the wrong category, but more
- importantly, it seems like with the previous grant the 14
- 15 discussion was not to preplan and let NEPA tell you the
- 16 deci si on. On this one it seems like the decision is
- 17 made, and we're trying to figure out how to pay for the
- NEPA to get to that point. I just find that rather 18
- 19 disturbing. Thank you.
- 20 Thank you. Any other CHAIR BRISSENDEN:
- comments? Back to the Commission. 21
- 22 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: All right. I'll make a
- 23 I would move approval of the following motion.
- 24 criteria and scoring. Criteria one, 38 points, and I'm
- 25 relying upon the testimony and pages 87 and 88 of the

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 application -- actually, 87 through 90 of the
- 2 application, specifically the environmental resources
- 3 that were identified in terms of a habitat wilderness
- 4 areas, page 88 lists the wilderness areas; and the
- 5 elimination of routes inside of those wilderness areas.
- 6 Those are important environmental resources, and
- 7 resource damage that I am aware of on the Silver Lake
- 8 Pacific -- I'm sorry, on the Amador Ranger District,
- 9 there is an extensive amount of route damage on
- 10 designated four-wheel drive and two-wheel drive routes.
- 11 And we need to get that area restored so that the
- 12 existing designated routes are actually used because
- 13 they're eroding away. I walked out on there this
- 14 summer, and it was like walking in a stream bed. So I
- 15 know those routes are in bad shape and some of this
- 16 money will be able to assist in that effort.
- 17 So 38 out of 40 in criteria one; criteria two,
- 18 13 out of 15; criteria three, 18 out of 20; criteria
- 19 four, eight out of 10; criteria five, 13 out of 15 for
- 20 a total of 90.
- 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: There is a motion on the
- 22 floor. Do I have a second?
- 23 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Second.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and second.
- 25 Under discussion. Commissioner Anderson.

315

- 1 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I was particularly
- 2 persuaded by the context provided by the Forest
- 3 Service, that they were, in fact, thinking ahead, that Page 49

- 4 they had anticipated that the decision would have been
- 5 made at this time, and that they could or shortly
- 6 thereafter, and that they were thinking about moving
- 7 forward when the decision was made on these specific
- 8 routes.
- 9 I do see that there are some items that can be
- 10 done before that decision is made. Anticipating the
- 11 areas that Commissioner Thomas mentioned, for example,
- 12 restoration inside wilderness areas, and so it seems to
- 13 me that there are some obvious directions where the
- 14 decision is there, not only -- if not legally. I think
- 15 it probably is legally, as well.
- 16 So I see this as some forward thinking by the
- 17 forest, and I am inclined to encourage it. And as
- 18 Mr. Klusman was saying, I guess I would disagree that
- 19 these are planning, but I would encourage other forests
- 20 and agencies, as they're moving forward with route
- 21 designation, to not leave a gap between the finishing
- 22 of their route designation and decision-making on it in
- 23 an application for restoration funds to proceed. So I
- 24 would hope that other forests would be similarly
- 25 forward thinking in their application process.

316

- 1 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, just to
- 2 clarify my motion as to criteria five, there are
- 3 actually three subcriteria, each of which must be
- 4 ranked according to the restoration project rules that
- 5 I asked for and was given this morning. So I will do
- 6 so at this moment.

7	5(a) I would provide I would suggest a
8	ranking of five, that's completion of prior projects
9	within the time frame provided I'm sorry, with a
10	ranking of three for completion of prior projects.
11	History of fiscal accountability, I would do five; and
12	applicant proven track record, five. That gets to 13.
13	And that would be included in my motion if the second
14	will accept that.
15	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I will.
16	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So it's been moved and
17	seconded. Just as a comment, I would endorse
18	Commissioner Anderson's thoughtful comments as well for
19	direction to all of the forests for the future.
20	Commissioner Prizmich.
21	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: While I appreciate the
22	commentary for the U.S. Forest Service, it did help in
23	my evaluation. I don't agree with the total score. I
24	think there still needs to be more work done, so while
25	I would like to obviously help out here, I don't 317
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 believe the score is appropriate here.

2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any further comments?

3 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I won't read it all, but

4 I will note that the agency has provided information on

5 each of the categories to us which addresses each of

6 the criteria, which I won't read into the record, but

7 just mention it in the record.

8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: And I'll look to our staff

9 technician and mathematician. Are we correct in our Page 51

	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x
10	scoring? So we have a score of 90. All those in
11	favor?
12	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
13	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?
14	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No.
15	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'm going to abstain.
16	I'm confused.
17	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That's fair. We've all been
18	there before.
19	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'm very confused, and I
20	think we have a lot of smart people both up here and
21	out there, to my left, to my right. And I think
22	everybody is crying for clarity on what the process is
23	here, and this is just my first year, but I'm confused
24	on what the right thing is to do. But spending the
25	money is the right thing to do, that's for sure, but.
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fa

1 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: That's an aye in spirit. COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: An aye in spirit. 2 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: We do have one housekeeping 4 item other than the audio here. Aaron pointed out in the previous scoring, we actually have a 91 rather than 5 a 90 on OR-2-ME-58. It does not affect the funding 6 7 level. I'm not certain whether our counsel will tell me to go back and revisit that motion and make sure the 8 9 scores correctly reflect it. We had a 90 on the motion 10 from Commissioner Thomas, and I'm being told we have a 11 91 on the actual scoring. 12

COUNSEL LaFRANCHI: If it was just an adding Page 52

- 13 issue, I think whatever it is is correct.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So the housekeeping item has
- 15 been dealt with, and we'll go forward.
- 16 I'm going to take -- it's 10:35. There are a
- 17 couple of things. We're having real problems with our
- 18 audio, which is compounding problems here. We'll take
- 19 about a 15-minute break to see if they can address
- 20 that.
- 21 And while we're breaking, if you can get all of
- 22 your conversations outside so Cheryl can clearly hear
- 23 what's going on, that would be very helpful. We will
- 24 come back and get the ground rules for the -- how many
- 25 grants are we going to review -- 50 some grants, and 319

- 1 then go to public forum. So come back about ten to
- 2 11: 00, pl ease.
- 3 (Break taken in proceedings.)
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I've been reminded to tell
- 5 everyone again that the transcriber cannot hear when
- 6 there are side conversations out in the audience. And
- 7 I'm also told she has a novel that she's going to be
- 8 selling of Commissioners' remarks that are being shared
- 9 that they think is not being shared will be shared with
- 10 the public. So if you want to be incognito, you may
- 11 need to remove yourself from the dais to not be heard
- 12 by these very sensitive microphones. Is that clear
- 13 enough.
- 14 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: So what do we have to do
- 15 to clean the record?

- 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I think Cheryl has a little
- 17 college fund for somebody out there.
- 18 So Larry is back. What we will do is about an
- 19 eight-minute sketch of how we're going to go through
- 20 this, the non-CESA, maybe we'll get into one, and then
- 21 go into public forum; is that fair? It's been two
- 22 months since we've done these, and we're a little rusty
- 23 here.
- 24 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: As I understand it, we're
- 25 going to be discussing those non-CESA items which have

- 1 been pulled from Consent, and hopefully I took good
- 2 notes, and they're broken down into the project types,
- 3 starting with acquisition and development equipment and
- 4 so forth. And so at this point, I'll do as John did,
- 5 and read the grant number, the funding amount scores
- 6 and so forth.
- 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: There was one commissioner
- 8 who off-line suggested we start with trail maintenance,
- 9 and I don't know if there is any agreement or
- 10 disagreement on that suggestion from the Commission.
- 11 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Are we all in one pot?
- 12 There is no benefit to or detriment to anybody?
- 13 CHIEF JENKINS: That's correct. Everybody that
- 14 will be heard for the rest of today and tomorrow are
- 15 all going to be coming from the non-CESA pot, so
- 16 they're all in the common.
- 17 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: So one person's gain is
- 18 another person's loss; is that what you're telling me? Page 54

- 19 CHIEF JENKINS: That would be one way of saying
- 20 it, yes.
- 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So, Commissioner Spitler, do
- 22 you want to comment on the procedure here?
- 23 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I made the suggestion we
- 24 start with trail maintenance simply because I know
- 25 that's an area where a number of people are interested 321

- 1 and a number of people are probably here specifically
- 2 for that, so it seemed prudent to me to do that one
- 3 first and address the concerns of the public.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So hearing no argument with
- 5 that thoughtful suggestion for those keenly interested
- 6 in trail maintenance, Larry, you want to back up and we
- 7 will.
- 8 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Sure, I was reminded by
- 9 one of my colleagues, I didn't state my name. Larry
- 10 Bellucci, grant administrator.
- 11 And if we start with trail maintenance, I
- 12 believe Kenny Glaspie is going to be taking us through
- 13 that.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You're off the hook.
- 15 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: For now.
- 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: And I say again publicly,
- 17 thank for coming back to help us out here.
- So, Kenny, do you want to walk us through one,
- 19 and then we'll probably go to public forum.
- 20 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Good morning, Kenny
- 21 Glaspie, grant administrator. We will be starting off Page 55

- 22 trail maintenance, 82-OR-2-A-70, Angeles National
- 23 Forest, trail maintenance. They requested \$69,522.
- 24 They received a Division determination of 56 points,
- 25 for 50 percent score, resulting in a \$34,761

322

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

determi nati on.

1

- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Comment from the agency, then
- 3 we will go to public comment.
- 4 TOM KAULCHER: Tom Kaulcher, Angeles National
- 5 Forest, OHV Manager. I previously submitted a change
- 6 in scoring criteria, as for criteria one, I would
- 7 really like to emphasize the use of our hardener for
- 8 our trail maintenance. This use of controlling the
- 9 rolling dips from breakdown has been very successful.
- 10 This has actually reduced our maintenance cost about
- 11 \$20,000 in the last three submittals of our grants from
- 12 \$90,000 to approximately \$70,000. Also, going to
- 13 criteria three, as another applicant came up here
- 14 earlier, we do have a history of a number of grants
- 15 over the last 20 years. These grants have been very
- 16 successful in maintaining our ability to complete the
- 17 projects on time, requesting full funding in that
- 18 category there. Any questions with our submittal?
- 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any questions of the
- 20 applicant? We'll go to public comment, otherwise. Any
- 21 public comment on this? Commissioners, your pleasure.
- 22 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'll move staff
- 23 recommendations.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Been moved.
 Page 56

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Mr. McMillin seconded. All
2	those in favor?
3	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
4	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
5	Shall we try and squeak another one in in three
6	mi nutes?
7	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Second grant, line 83,
8	OR-1-NO-67, Arcata Field Office, Trail maintenance.
9	The request amount was \$13,500. The Division score was
10	57, for 50 percent funding determination of \$6,775.
11	This was another grant that a lot of new information
12	was added on there that was not in the original grant
13	at the subcommittee meeting.
14	BRUCE CANN: My name is Bruce Cann with BLM
15	Arcata Field Office, and I think the clarification, the
16	handout is being passed out right now.
17	I'm requesting a score of 71 from the previous
18	score of I think it was 57. That's Item No. One, I
19	think the score should be 35 instead of 30, based on
20	information on pages 28 and 38. And that's A.
21	And then on B, there is new information that ${\sf I}$
22	added on there. And on page 32, there's more
23	i nformati on.
24	Item No. C, maintain safe riding conditions. I
25	added new information on that, too. And then on page 324

- 1 53, the park provides information on that.
- 2 On D, there's a clarification. Page 40 explains
- 3 why that score should be up from seven to eight. Item
- 4 No. 2, efficient use of funds, I requested 18 out of 30
- 5 instead of 14. There is new information on that and
- 6 old information on page 26. And just to keep this
- 7 short, Item No. 3 on history of projects, I added new
- 8 information about the -- I have several examples and
- 9 then stated in there when we started work and when we
- 10 completed work, so they're all within the time frames,
- 11 so. Are there any questions?
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Any public
- 13 comment? Commissioners?
- 14 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I think the rationale --
- 15 I'm just looking over the rationale provided here by
- 16 the field office, and I think it looks pretty solid to
- 17 me. So I would move the BLM suggested score of 71 in
- 18 the categories suggested by BLM, and I'll second that.
- 19 OHMVR STAFF PEREZ: Could you state them for the
- 20 record, please?
- 21 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: For the record, it's a
- 22 score of 35 in the first category; second category,
- 23 score of nine; third category, score of eight; fourth
- 24 category, score of 10. I think that's it.
- 25 OHMVR STAFF FREITAS: I think that's only three 325

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

l categories.

2	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x COMMISSIONER THOMAS: You did one, and then
3	subsets.
4	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: For category one, score
5	of 35; category two, score of 18; category three, score
6	of 18.
7	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'll concur on that.
8	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So there's a motion and
9	second on concurrence, Judith Anderson for the record
10	seconded. You need to get a little closer to your
11	mi crophone.
12	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. I concur.
13	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: All those in favor?
14	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
15	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
16	We are at 11:01, so we will go to public forum
17	at this time. And I have a few cards. If you didn't
18	get an opportunity to submit a blue card, this is for
19	items that are not on agenda, basically not asking for
20	money. We will begin with Karen McKinley, who's
21	responding to Commissioner Spitler's request for
22	information in December of 'O6, followed by Don Amador,
23	who was asking to talk on everything.
24	KAREN McKINLEY: I'll be short then. I'm Karen
25	McKinley from Los Padres National Forest. And just as
	326 SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 a matter of record, we have our response to your

- 2 request for information on how our monitoring has
- 3 affected a change in our management actions on the
- 4 ground.

2007-01-25 OHV C1 x 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That's very helpful. Thank 6 you. 7 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Thank for providing that. 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Mr. Amador, oh, you added the 9 proviso, if needed. So you don't have to talk on everythi ng. 10 Don Amador, Blue Ribbon Coalition. 11 DON AMADOR: 12 I just wanted to alert the Commission and the public 13 and thank the Division for helping support a sound 14 training session out at Prairie City. We had 15 approximately 50 various AME District 36 club officers 16 come and get certified in sound testing, so I just 17 wanted to let you know we're out there working hard on 18 that particular issue and thank the Division for their 19 support. Thank you. 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Tom Kaul cher, 21 followed by Karen Schambach. TOM KAULCHER: Yes, also a matter of record, 22 23 this is in response to the question asked by the 24 Commission in reference to the changes to management 25 having to do with the monitoring. 327 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 10 877-453-1010 916-492-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 1 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So you're submitting 2 something in writing? 3 TOM KAULCHER: Yes. 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Karen Schambach 5 will pass. John Stewart, followed by Roxie Trost, and 6 lastly Dave Pickett.

Good morning, Commissioners, John

Page 60

7

JOHN STEWART:

- \$2007-01-25\$ OHV C1 x Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs, 8
- 9 United 4-Wheel Drive Associations, and the Tierra del
- 10 Sol 4-Wheel Drive Association.
- 11 Good morning, I would like to thank Deputy
- Director Daphne Greene and Phil Jenkins and their staff 12
- for the excellent work that they have accomplished in 13
- 14 moving through to acquire the Freeman properties in the
- 15 Truckhaven area with the use of the OHMVR Trust funds
- 16 for expansion of the recreation opportunity for the
- 17 public of California. Thank you, Daphne and staff for
- 18 your excellent work in doing that.
- And within that, we do know that there is going 19
- 20 to be challenges as we move forward to establish a
- 21 management plan in the area. And on behalf of Tierra
- Del Sol, who has hosted an event in that area for the 22
- 23 past 44 years, and this year will be the 45th, is
- 24 recognizing the issues involved in getting the
- 25 management plans in order. We are in the process of

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- moving our event into the Ocotillo Wells SVRA area in 1
- 2 order to be completely in compliance with the
- 3 regulations and in order to provide the recreation
- 4 opportunity and event opportunity for the public of
- 5 Cal i forni a.
- 6 I'd like to note that the recreation growth is
- 7 phenomenal. In the early 1980s, Forest Service did not
- 8 even recognize OHV recreation as an opportunity. Today
- 9 the Forest Service, with their statistical analysis and
- studies, have shown that over 25 percent of the 10

- 11 American population has driven off of a paved or gravel
- 12 rode at least once in the past year. And this is on a
- 13 basis of 300 million people. When you look at a
- 14 population of California approaching 30 million people,
- 15 this is a phenomenal number of people looking for
- 16 recreation opportunity.
- 17 We know that with the recent release of the gas
- 18 tax study that funding available from the gas tax to
- 19 support OHV opportunity is going to take a significant
- 20 hit. We realize there will be significant challenges
- 21 as we move forward. We look to working with the
- 22 Commission, with the Division, with the agencies in
- 23 order to maintain the recreation opportunity that the
- 24 public of California is demanding. Thank you.
- 25 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Mr. Stewart, just a quick

- 1 question. I can't quite catch the names of all of the
- 2 organizations that you're representing. I'm not
- 3 familiar with some of them. Could you tell us a little
- 4 bit about each organization?
- 5 JOHN STEWART: The California Association of
- 6 4-Wheel Drive Clubs is an organization based in
- 7 California that represents four-wheel drive clubs and
- 8 individuals within the State of California.
- 9 The United 4-Wheel Drive Associations is an
- 10 international association along the same structures.
- 11 We have members throughout the world, South Africa,
- 12 New Zealand, Australia, Mexico, Canada, but primarily
- 13 we represent the interests of four-wheel drive

- 14 recreationists within the United States. Now, within
- 15 the United States, California Association of 4-Wheel
- 16 Drive Clubs is a member of United, so we have a lot of
- 17 members like this throughout the different states.
- 18 Now, the Tierra Del Sol 4-Wheel Drive Club is a
- 19 club of 50 family members based out of San Diego,
- 20 California. TDS has been in existence for 48 years,
- 21 and we are primarily, like I said, just family members
- 22 and our passion is four-wheel drive recreation in the
- 23 desert and the back country areas.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Roxie Trost.
- 25 ROXIE TROST: Good morning, Commissioners, my

- 1 name is Roxie Trost. I'm the field manager from the
- 2 BLM Barstow Field Office, and I just have a couple of
- 3 items that I'd like to share with you this morning.
- 4 The first thing being handed out to you is a
- 5 list of our current volunteer opportunities that we
- 6 have going on in Barstow, and one of the big items is
- 7 the Calico event. We have three off-highway vehicle
- 8 groups that have pulled together over 400 volunteers.
- 9 They have \$10,000 worth of prize money that they will
- 10 be raffling off, and this is over President's weekend.
- 11 There are several other smaller opportunities on the
- 12 list, too. So I just wanted to share that.
- 13 The other item that is also being handed out is
- 14 just a list of our current so far off-highway vehicle
- 15 events scheduled for this year. So that's it. Thanks.
- 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. I have Dave

- 17 Pickett, followed by Brent Schoradt.
- DAVE PICKETT: Good morning, Commissioners, Dave
- 19 Pickett, AMA District 36, Motorcycle Sports Committee.
- 20 My comment this morning is generic on the funding pots,
- 21 specifically law enforcement, this go-round. After a
- 22 lot of review of the whole program and that particular
- 23 funding pot set at \$4 million per year, and the fact
- 24 that law enforcement had asked for \$10 million, that
- 25 works out to about 34 cents per OHV per month for law 331

- 1 enforcement, woefully inadequate. And I believe some
- 2 of the restoration issues that we're facing that are
- 3 caused by illegal activity could decrease if there was
- 4 a higher allocation in that funding pot for law
- 5 enforcement. We've got to give them the tools that
- 6 they need. Thank you very much.
- 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Just a reminder
- 8 we will have public input on Saturday where we'll be
- 9 discussing some of those issues.
- 10 BRENT SCHORADT: I'm Brent Schoradt with the
- 11 California Wilderness Coalition, and I just wanted to
- 12 bring up one issue that is sort of troubling to us as
- 13 we look at the grants this year. There was the issue
- 14 we discussed earlier with the fact of basically what to
- 15 do with planning for restoration. And I think from our
- 16 standpoint, there are three grants that had this issue.
- 17 Two of them that we heard earlier were Mendocino and
- 18 Eldorado National Forest. The third grant is the
- 19 Pacific Southwest Region grant, which was a sizeable

- 20 grant, to look across the region at the 18 million
- 21 acres that are managed by the National Forest Service,
- 22 and specifically at the routes that are not going to be
- 23 designated through the OHV process, the route
- 24 designation process because it's already been
- 25 determined that they're not going to be suitable for

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

332

- 1 motorized use because they've already gone through
- 2 planning processes, whether that be wilderness acts
- 3 that have made them off limits to motorized vehicles or
- 4 wild and scenic corridors or semi-primitive
- 5 non-motorized areas. So I think it's only appropriate
- 6 that if the other two grants were funded under the
- 7 restoration category, that this third grant, which is
- 8 essentially the same category, should be funded under
- 9 the restoration category, as well.
- 10 And I think we hear constantly around the State
- 11 Capitol that there is not enough demand for
- 12 restoration, and I think it's convenient that the same
- 13 folks who are saying that there's not enough demand for
- 14 restoration are encouraging people to apply planning
- 15 for restoration under the non-CESA category, so they
- 16 can say, hey look, there's not enough applications in
- 17 the restoration category.
- 18 If this grant were placed in its proper spot, in
- 19 the restoration category, and I realize that the
- 20 applicant was told to apply under restoration, but if
- 21 it was -- I'm sorry, the applicant was told to apply
- 22 under non-CESA, but if the applicant would have

- 23 submitted under restoration, we would then see the pot
- 24 of requests for restoration dollars be larger than the
- 25 amount of requests for law enforcement. And I think

333

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 that underscores the fact that there is demand for

- 2 restoration, that California -- you know, the State of
- 3 California benefits from restoration, and we need to
- 4 continue this program as we move forward. Thank you.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Any others? So
- 6 back to you, Kenny.
- 7 Tom, were you just wandering through?
- 8 TOM TAMMONE: No. Tom Tammone, I wanted to make
- 9 one statement on the last comment. That is a very
- 10 large grant, and I believe it's some \$5 million, is the
- 11 one he's talking about. And I understand that it looks
- 12 as far as three years into the future. I would only be
- 13 able to support taking projects that would be for stuff
- 14 that's going to be for this cycle out of that
- 15 \$5 million as far as consideration. Thank you.
- 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Oh, you did have
- 17 a brief announcement, Mr. Keeler, is that correct?
- 18 JIM KEELER: No, I'm waiting for the next.
- 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: All right. So back to trail
- 20 maintenance. Kenny.
- 21 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Next grant is line 88,
- 22 OR-1-H-25, Hollister Field Office, Trail Maintenance.
- 23 They requested \$177,800. They received a score of 50,
- 24 for a 50 percent funding determination of \$88,900.
- We'd like to say that they submitted a lot of new

1	information and a lat of it was your good information
1	information, and a lot of it was very good information.
2	It was factual and specific where their one before had
3	been very conclusionary and very general.
4	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
5	JIM KEELER: I'm Jim Keeler, BLM California
6	State Office. I did bring Jim Weigand along in case
7	there are other questions. The Hollister staff
8	couldn't make it today. I went ahead and passed out
9	the score increase request that we did after the south
10	grant hearings.
11	Hollister, if you remember, did not get any
12	funding last year. They completed their route
13	designation for the Clear Creek area, so they are
14	hoping to get a little more support out of this grant.
15	I'm available for questions. Here are our
16	recommendations, I don't want to take your time going
17	through them all item by item.
18	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Commissioner Anderson, you
19	have a question?
20	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes. Would you talk to me
21	a little bit more about graveling.
22	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Graveling?
23	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Graveling.
24	JIM KEELER: Groveling?
25	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Graveling is what I meant. 335
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 This is in box number -- column two, line two of the
- 2 supplementary material, it says -- the first word is
- 3 graveling. And I want to know how extensive this is.
- 4 I understand you're doing it to reduce erosion. There
- 5 are other problems with graveling, I would think.
- 6 JIM WEIGAND: I'm Jim Weigand, the Ecologist for
- 7 the Bureau of Land Management in the California State
- 8 Office. Graveling helps to stabilize the tread of the
- 9 roadbed, and as such, that is very critical for the
- 10 Clear Creek Management Area because of the recreation
- 11 traffic volume, and we wanted to be minimizing the
- 12 erosion and any resulting damage to the surrounding
- 13 slopes. It's relatively steep country, and so by
- 14 graveling the trails, we're able to stabilize any flow
- 15 across the trails, and then minimize any input of ultra
- 16 matrix soil types into the creeks.
- 17 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Is this continuous or is
- 18 it intermittent, where there are problems where you
- 19 have cross flow or?
- 20 JIM WEIGAND: It would be intermittent, and it
- 21 would be -- again, there are places where the soils are
- 22 highly -- very much a mosaic in the area, so it would
- 23 be just for those problem areas that crop up, so it
- 24 stabilizes the site to minimize erosion off and down
- 25 slope, and that's quite critical in this area because 336

- 1 there is what's called a total daily minimum load for
- 2 mercury in part of the area.
- 3 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Mercury? Page 68

	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x
4	JIM WEIGAND: Yes.
5	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm almost sorry I asked
6	the question. I'm thinking about strings of riders and
7	how wheels can kick up gravel and wondering about
8	safety concerns.
9	JIM WEIGAND: Well, again, there are a number of
10	things going on at the same time. It helps to also
11	reduce dust lie as well, so the gravel would be in
12	relatively key small areas. So it's not something
13	that's going to be a problem for riders across the
14	whole area, but it's in part a safety and erosion
15	concern here.
16	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: This will be on the
17	surface. You're not talking about an underlying gravel
18	layer?
19	JIM WEIGAND: No, this is surface application of
20	gravel.
21	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
22	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Other questions or comments?
23	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I have a question. How
24	did you handle the asbestos issue that we used to hear

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010

gentleman, the engineer who brought the science to us 1

every meeting in the seven years I've been here, the

- 2 each meeting, and he apparently got too old and hasn't
- 3 come back.

25

- COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Gene Cunningham. 4
- COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Gene Cunningham, a very 5
- good scientist, by the way. Page 69

7	JIM WEIGAND: Asbestos concerns are ongoing and
8	currently we're designing, with the help of the USPA,
9	ways to reduce any dust fly from OHV routes and part of
10	that is having seasonal closure for the Clear Creek
11	Management Area so that during the dry season, there
12	will be much lower dust emissions from the soils.
13	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Do you do that now?
14	JIM KEELER: Last two years, I think it's
15	June 1st through the end of August, I believe,
16	something like that, October. It's all summer it's
17	closed at this point.
18	JIM WEIGAND: Right.
19	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Do you do any testing,
20	too?
21	JIM KEELER: Yes.
22	JIM WEIGAND: That's right. The U.S. EPA is
23	conducting a study which should be completed in June of
24	this year to get highly reliable data on the quantity
25	and aerosolization of asbestos in the ecosystem there.
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: There are serpentine soils

2 in this area.

3 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I have another question.

4 I'm sorry we're taking a lot of time with this, but

5 this is my educational phase here.

6 Geo blankets and helmet-mounted cameras, which

7 are on page two of your information, can you describe?

8 Those certainly seem to be innovative. I'm trying to

9 find out if they're efficient.

10	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Or useful.
11	JIM KEELER: I can speak to the helmet-mounted
12	camera. One of the things they are trying to do with
13	this grant is actually to improve their monitoring
14	program for all of the trail system out there, as well
15	as using that information then to continue additional
16	work on the trails.
17	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: This is not an effect
18	you're not trying to do law enforcement with these
19	helmet-mounted cameras?
20	JIM KEELER: This is for the monitoring program.
21	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: For the monitoring
22	program, okay. And geo blankets, is that for the
23	asbestos soil stabilization?
24	JIM WEIGAND: It certainly has a role. It's geo
25	textiles used to reduce sediment flow onto trails.
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Sort of slope
2	stabilization?
3	JIM WEIGAND: And then reduction of any sediment
4	flowing onto trails that then might be dispersed into
5	the air.
6	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: And geo blankets, does
7	that mean that they're mineral rather than vegetative,
8	which a lot of soil blankets tend to be?
9	JIM WEIGAND: In general, the materials that
10	we've been using are decomposable. The general
11	categorization of them is geotextiles, meaning that
12	they're put on the ground, not that they're necessarily Page 71

- 13 made out of a petroleum product, for example. They may
- 14 be made out of something like jute, for example.
- 15 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: So it's the usual material
- 16 for slope stabilization?
- 17 JIM WEIGAND: Yes.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any other questions,
- 19 comments? Public comments on this?
- 20 Monitoring with the camera on the helmet, is
- 21 that surreptitiously slapped on them before they go out
- 22 so you know where they go? There is a gentleman that
- 23 would like to speak to this issue, I believe
- 24 Mr. Pickett.
- 25 DAVE PICKETT: Thank you. District 36,

340

- 1 Motorcycle Sports Committee, Incorporated. Good sign,
- 2 I like this reminder. As you heard in the past, this
- 3 is one of the top ten OHV recreation destinations in
- 4 the country. Desert day use continues to go up, and
- 5 because of that increased use, trails wear. These
- 6 folks need this funding to meet the needs of that
- 7 demand. We don't want to see the trails continue to
- 8 erode. With no funding last year, as was said before,
- 9 there is desperate need for this area to continue trail
- 10 maintenance. And that's what the program is all about
- 11 is long-term sustainability of our trails. So I ask
- 12 for full support on this at the new requested amount.
- 13 Thank you.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Comments, or do I hear a
- 15 motion? Commissioners?

16	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'll move the applicant's
17	suggested score in the first category 34 out of 40; the
18	second one, 25 out of 30; and let's see, the last
19	category 20, out of 30. Although I have heard less in
20	the public comments and less about the applicant's
21	history, I'm personally familiar with their history.
22	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Second that.
23	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded.
24	Just as a comment, you didn't go through all of the
25	contortions that others have to come up with criteria 341
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222
1	to substantiate those.
2	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I found do you want me
3	to go back and go through it?
4	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I think it would be fair.
5	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm working from off the
6	suggestions of the material that was submitted this
7	morning. If you want me to include that by reference,
8	I can do that.
9	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Why don't we do it that way,
10	saving time.
11	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: And as I said, in the last
12	category, although there was less in the public record
13	about their history of implementing similar projects, I
14	am personally familiar with that, okay.
15	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: If I can just add, since
16	I was the second, staff, themselves, acknowledge that
17	there was some right at the beginning of this, that

there was some new pertinent information that changed $$\operatorname{\textsc{Page}}73

18

Fax

19	in their minds the scoring. So I think that lends even
20	more credence to the comments on the part of BLM for
21	increased numbers.

- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Okay. So without further
- 23 ado, all those in favor?
- 24 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?

342

- 1 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: No.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion carries.
- 3 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, my no vote
- 4 is consistent with the prior seven years of no votes on
- 5 this because of the liability associated with asbestos
- 6 and the liability to the State ultimately will come
- 7 home to roost and we should be aware of that and not
- 8 support things that bring us liability for damaging
- 9 human beings.
- 10 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 11 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Next application, line 90,
- 12 OR-2-LP-105, Los Padres National Forest, Trail
- 13 Maintenance. They requested \$279,233. They received a
- 14 funding score of 50 points, for 50 percent
- determination funding of \$139,617. This one also
- 16 submitted some new information, but most of it was
- 17 still pretty conclusionary, general statements, didn't
- 18 really back it up with facts.
- 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 20 JEFF BENSON: Jeff Benson, with the Los Padres
- 21 National Forest, and we did submit the information up Page 74

- 22 there where I'll concentrate on Items No. 2 and 3. And
- 23 that in Item No. 2, I would like to point out, you
- 24 know, efficient use of funds, we do match -- we do
- 25 provide \$186,000 of other funds towards -- of the trail 343

- 1 maintenance. We do use a lot of volunteers to
- 2 coordinate with our programs. There is quite a
- 3 volunteer effort. So what I'm asking for is an
- 4 additional five points in that category to go from 19
- 5 points to 24 points.
- 6 And then in Item 3, we've got history of
- 7 successful implementation of projects. Over the years,
- 8 last 20 years, we've probably had 40 plus grants, all
- 9 our grants have been on time. We've had good
- 10 recommendation, good management of those grants, and so
- 11 I think nine points on that is considerably lower than
- 12 what we deserved, and I'm asking for another ten points
- 13 on that category for a total additional 15 points for a
- 14 total score of 65. That's our request.
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any questions from
- 16 Commissioners? If not we will go to public comment.
- 17 JOHN STEWART: Good morning, Commissioners, John
- 18 Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs
- 19 and United 4-Wheel Drive Associations. I'd like to add
- 20 a comment on this that from our perspective, we highly
- 21 support the increased scoring on the volunteer
- 22 categories, especially as we have a lot of our club
- 23 members that do participate in a lot of the volunteer
- 24 activities in order to maintain and help keep these Page 75

25 areas as a viable recreation opportunity.

344

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 I'd like to note that the Los Padres Forest sits 2 on the border of one of the largest metropolitan areas 3 in the nation, and as such it is a destination 4 recreation within a few hours' drive for most people; 5 therefore, it is an extremely popular area and it is an extremely important recreation destination. 6 7 This past summer they had the major fire that went through and there was severe damage throughout the 8 9 entire forest area, all of these have an impact that the recreation volunteers are out there trying to help 10 11 and work with the forest in order to get the trails 12 back into condition. They desperately need this money 13 in order to continue those operations. Thank you. 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Other comments? 15 Commissioners? Do I have a motion? COMMISSIONER THOMAS: It's trail maintenance, 16 17 it's your area. 18 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'll make a motion that 19 we support this most recent submitted, which I don't have in front of me, the new numbers. 20 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So did staff make note of 22 those? 23 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: The total is 65. 24 OHMVR STAFF PEREZ: Would you state it for the 25 record, please.

1	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I don't have the
2	categories. I don't have the new.
3	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Could the presenter come
4	back?
5	JEFF BENSON: Item No. 2 was an increase of five
6	points, with a score of 24; Item No. 3, an increase of
7	ten points to a score 19 for a total of what we're
8	asking of 65 points.
9	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So your motion wants to
10	reference the letter that you have in front of you with
11	the rationale?
12	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Correct.
13	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So that's your motion?
14	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: That's my motion.
15	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Do we have a second?
16	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Second that.
17	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded.
18	All those in favor?
19	(Commissioner Spitler absent.)
20	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
21	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
22	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: The next application, line
23	91, OR-2-ME-60, the Mendocino National Forest, Trail
24	Maintenance. They requested \$289,163. They received a
25	score of 66 points, for a 66 funding determination of 346
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

\$173,498. They also included additional information,

- 2 quite a lot of it was, once again, general statements.
- 3 There are some specifics in there.
- MIKE BURMANN: Good morning, Commissioners, Mike 4
- 5 Burmann, Mendocino National Forest. We would like you
- to consider raising our score from 66 out of a 100 to 6
- 7 94 out of a 100. The justification for that, we will
- 8 start with criteria 1(a), we would like to increase
- 9 that one by four points, based on the fact that the
- 10 forest has undertaken an aggressive monitoring program
- 11 designed to identify and correct resource problems as
- 12 they occur. The corrective measures used to mitigate
- 13 and identify these problems are outlined in our annual
- 14 monitoring report that we submit to the Division
- 15 annual I y.
- For criteria 1(b), we are requesting that the 16
- 17 scoring for the criteria be increased by four points
- 18 based on the fact that the forest annually implements a
- 19 trail maintenance action plan that identifies those
- 20 trails that may not be in compliance with soil loss
- 21 standards and guidelines. Those trails are identified,
- 22 receive the highest priority when we implement our
- 23 action plan.
- 24 For criteria 1(c), we are requesting that the
- 25 scoring for this criteria be increased by two points

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 877-453-1010

347

- 1 based on the fact that all 252 of our designated routes
- 2 have an assigned difficulty rating that accurately
- 3 reflects the skill level needed to safely negotiate a
- particular trail that is consistent with the OHV 4

- 5 Visitor Guide that we provide to the public.
- 6 For criteria, 1(d), we are requesting that the
- 7 scoring for this criteria be increased by one point,
- 8 based on the fact that all forest entry roads have
- 9 large reflective signing explaining the operating
- 10 restrictions of where the trailheads and access roads
- 11 are also well signed to prevent illegal motorized
- 12 trespass access, and also the forest works closely with
- 13 private property owners to ensure the effectiveness of
- 14 measures implemented to prevent OHV access.
- 15 For criteria 3(a) we are requesting that the
- 16 scoring for this criteria be increased by four points
- 17 based on the fact that since 1982, the Mendocino has
- 18 competed for and successfully received 56 state OHV
- 19 Assistance Grants in support of the forest OHV program.
- 20 For criteria 3(b), we are requesting that the
- 21 scoring for this criteria be increased by seven points
- 22 based on the fact that budget and finance personnel
- 23 have worked closely with the OHMRV Division during our
- 24 sustained partnership to develop physical protocol and
- 25 reporting requirements.

348

- 1 For criteria 3(c), we are requesting that the
- 2 scoring for this criteria be increased by four points
- 3 based on the fact that skilled operators maintain all
- 4 routes in compliance with state soil loss standards.
- 5 The forest also has recognized experts in OHV
- 6 management totaling 121 years. Thank you for your
- 7 consideration.

8	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Public comment?
9	DON AMADOR: Don Amador, Blue Ribbon Coalition.
10	I just ask the Commission to consider the revised
11	scores and also want to make the commitment to work
12	with Commissioner Brissenden to try to find some
13	additional appropriated monies and other funding
14	sources for the Forest Service in future funding cycles
15	for recreation, enforcement, and conservation. Thank
16	you.
17	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Thanks for the
18	offer.
19	DAVE PICKETT: Dave Pickett, District 36,
20	Motorcycle Sports Committee. Funding levels
21	recommended by the Division in this high-use area is
22	endorsed. This is an awesome facility for OHV
23	recreation. They've got a long-term track record.
24	Let's keep this area open and moving, help them out
25	with this grant. Thank you.
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING
	916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Mr. Pickett, did you mean
2	to say you were supporting the Division's scoring?
3	DAVE PICKETT: Yes. Excuse me, I believe it was
4	the counts were changed, but I had left my notes, and I
5	just had down here Division, so the higher of the two
6	for clarification.
7	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: You mean the applicant's
8	adjusted scoring?

DAVE PICKETT: Yes, that's what I meant to say.

9 10

I'm sorry, thank you.

11	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Other public comments? None.
12	Questions, comments, or motion from the Commissioners?
13	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I have a question for
14	Kenny. All of this stuff that this gentleman brought
15	up, was that all part of his original application or is
16	it all new information?
17	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Some of both. There was a
18	lot of new information. There was some old information
19	in there. And I can't agree on a lot of the specifics.
20	It was still but I did agree with some of the
21	information that he's presented again is good.
22	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I guess the question
23	then is the new information, is that new information in
24	your opinion valid information or just new information?
25	Does it go to the benefit of the grant? 350
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: It's not information that
2	we could have scored because we just had no opportunity
3	to even see it. Some of it is very valid information.

Some of it I can't -- if you want me to break it down 4 which ones I think are good, which ones I think are --5 6 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No, I'm just trying to get a definition. Information is information. There's 7 good information and bad information. Merely because 8 9 information comes in, there's some that assists the 10 standing of the grant better than others. So I was 11 just trying to get from you if you felt that some of 12 that information was valid, and had it been originally 13 submitted, you would have scored it differently?

- 2007-01-25 OHV C1 x F GLASPIE: Just for instance on the OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: 14
- 15 maintaining authorized OHV recreation roads and trails,
- 16 they don't say how they monitor it. They don't say how
- 17 the monitoring is used to improve anything on this.
- it's still a conclusionary statement and wouldn't have 18
- 19 increased any score.
- 20 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Mr. Prizmich, perhaps I
- 21 can help. How about if I move an additional ten points
- 22 at criterion three, which is the successful
- 23 implementation, and we know that that's an accurate.
- 24 We've been here a long time. We've heard the evidence
- 25 of the successful implementation on the Mendocino. 351

- 1 move it from nine to 18. That gives them a 76, gives
- 2 them 70 percent funding. If that's acceptable to you,
- 3 then that would move the issue.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I don't think that answers
- 5 your question.
- COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'm okay with that. 6
- 7 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: If you want to make that
- 8 motion?
- 9 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'll make that motion.
- 10 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'll second.
- 11 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Meet somewhere in the
- 12 middle.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Moved and seconded with the
- 14 rationale supplied by Commissioner Thomas. The total
- 15 number again is?
- COMMISSIONER THOMAS: 76, I've added ten points 16

- 17 to their existing Division score of nine on their
- 18 criteria three.
- 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So it's been moved and
- 20 seconded. All those in favor?
- 21 (Commissioner Spitler absent.)
- (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
- We're reflecting obviously increases in three or
- 25 four of these. The bubble is rising.

352

- 1 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Inflation, welcome to
- 2 inflation.
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I know, but that's going to
- 4 mean some are going to get dropped off at the bottom,
- 5 but we will get to that at some point. So how many
- 6 more do we have under trail maintenance, Kenny?
- 7 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Seven.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Seven more to review. I
- 9 think we can try and squeeze that out and do a lunch
- 10 break after we finish those seven if we can move right
- 11 along. So next.
- 12 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: The next application is
- 13 line number 93. It's OR-2-P-85, the Plumas National
- 14 Forest. They requested \$245,000. They received a
- 15 score of 30, for zero percent funding determination.
- 16 Their new material, while it's probably all in the
- 17 original application, the original application was just
- 18 written very vaguely. It didn't address any item.
- 19 This, they did address some more, but there is still a

- 20 lot of generalities and really not explaining why what
- 21 they're saying is going to happen will.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Will what, Ken?
- 23 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Will accomplish what they
- 24 saying it's going to.
- 25 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Is there a document that 353

- 1 was associated with this application, the new
- 2 information?
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You're referencing new
- 4 information, which we don't have, unless they came at
- 5 the last meeting.
- 6 OHMVR STAFF STALLCOP: It's in your large
- 7 binders. It's number 10 on the trail maintenance tag.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 9 FRED KRUEGER: Thank you, Mardi.
- 10 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So while he's looking for
- 11 that, if you want to make any brief remarks to add to
- 12 what you've already submitted.
- 13 FRED KRUEGER: I'll do that, Chairman
- 14 Brissenden. Thank you very much, Commissioners, for
- 15 your time. The Plumas National Forest would ask for
- 16 your reconsideration.
- 17 OHMVR STAFF PEREZ: Please state your name for
- 18 the record.
- 19 FRED KRUEGER: Thank you very much. I'm Fred
- 20 Krueger. I'm the Public Service Staff Officer. I'm in
- 21 charge of recreation, engineering, lands, and minerals
- 22 for the Plumas National Forest. Again, thank you for

- 23 this opportunity.
- 24 We would like to request some consideration for
- 25 increasing the score. Yes, it was noted, my grant

354

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 10 877-453-1010 916-492-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 writer, this was her first opportunity to work with the
- 2 Commission, did work with those and noted the
- 3 generalities. We did submit more specifics for the
- 4 second round, which we appreciate. It's noted that we
- 5 on the Plumas National Forest have implemented our
- Forest Order that restricts OHV activities to current 6
- 7 roads, routes, trails and areas, even those created by
- 8 the users. That gives you 5,282 total miles of routes,
- 9 trails, and areas that are open for riding
- 10 opportuni ti es.
- 11 We also note in the new information, past
- 12 performance, the Plumas National Forest has enjoyed 26
- grants in the past, all have been successfully 13
- 14 performed on for the program deliverables, and we've
- 15 billed those on time with very, very few difficulties.
- We would like to request for 1(a) an increase of 16
- 17 ten points to fix storm damage that occurred during
- 18 last winter and the wet winters that occurred on those
- 19 number of miles of roads.
- 20 We'd also like to have a score of plus ten for
- 21 1(b), which deals with continued maintenance that
- 22 prevents further damage from occurring which relates to
- 23 that category. 2(c) and 2(d), a plus six, use of our
- volunteers. We have a very active volunteer effort 24
- 25 that is maintained by our recreation OHV technicians.

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

1	Wi thout	those	folks,	i t' s	tough	to	conti nue	the

- 2 volunteer programs.
- 3 I've noted the grants completed to date which is
- 4 3(b) for ten points, and then 3(c), the use of staff,
- 5 or qualified staff, again I direct the engineers and
- 6 our road crews, and I can direct the work very
- 7 specifically. I'm engaged with that effort, and we've
- 8 gotten that work done in the past. So I would
- 9 sincerely appreciate your consideration of this
- 10 information, and I'd be more than glad to answer any
- 11 questi ons you'd have.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 13 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Staff gave you a zero
- 14 rating for criteria three; is that correct?
- 15 FRED KRUEGER: That's correct.
- 16 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: And perhaps we can get an
- 17 explanation of that. That's pretty draconian.
- 18 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: For (a) they didn't
- 19 address time frames, which is specifically what it asks
- 20 for. For (b), they just -- this is -- I'm quoting
- 21 their new information, it says it has a history from
- 22 earlier grants of fiscal accountability, but there is
- 23 no description of what it is. They just say -- they're
- 24 repeating the question back. Yes, we have a good
- 25 history. And for (c), they didn't discuss the

356

	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x
1	qualifications or the availability of the staff.
2	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: All right. I understand
3	your point. Now, in the interest of equity, can you
4	tell me, do they have a history of bad grants or good
5	grants? What is the history? You said
6	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: I'm too new to the
7	Division, and that's not grants I normally would
8	handle. I handle RTP grants, I really can't go on
9	their history. All we can go by is, the five of us
10	that do the scoring, can go by what the pages are in
11	front of us. It would not be fair to any of the other
12	applicants if we brought in our history with each
13	individual applicant.
14	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I understand. I'm not
15	asking you in your I'm not asking you to redo your
16	rating. I'm asking you an independent question.
17	Do you have any personal knowledge of a history
18	of bad grant behavior for this applicant?
19	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: I have no knowledge of a
20	history of bad grant behavior.
21	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Do you have a history of
22	successful grant completion?
23	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: I do not know.
24	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Does anybody on the staff
25	know? 357
	307

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Yes, Larry Bellucci,

2 grants administrator. I've worked with the Plumas and

as well as Dave Quijada, who is no longer staff, but I $$\operatorname{\textsc{Page}}$$ 87 3

- 4 have no recollection of any negativity in that respect.
- 5 They've been a good agency to work with.
- 6 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: So your recollection is
- 7 you've had a positive experience with this forest.
- 8 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Yes, that's correct.
- 9 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: On trail maintenance?
- 10 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Correct.
- 11 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I appreciate you answering
- 12 the question, but I know it's outside the rating
- 13 system, but I'm trying to exercise my independent
- 14 judgment. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So we will go to public
- 16 comment on this grant.
- 17 SYLVIA MILLIGAN: Sylvia Milligan with
- 18 Recreation Outdoors Coalition, and I have a cold, so
- 19 I'm sorry. I've worked with the Plumas for a number of
- 20 years, and I can tell from you a user standpoint they
- 21 are excellent to work with. They do an excellent job
- 22 with volunteers, and I would like to see something done
- 23 with this score also because if they don't have any
- 24 money for trail maintenance, they have the opportunity
- of matching funds from ERFO and also matching from

358

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916

- 916-492-1222 Fax
- 1 volunteers. And if they can't get out there to do
- 2 trail maintenance, they're not going to have any
- 3 volunteers out there working. This is a good way to
- 4 leverage their money. They do an excellent job doing
- 5 that. I would really like to see, if you've got the
- 6 pencils sharpened, keep them writing. Do us some good. Page 88

	2007-01-25 OHV C1 X
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
9	DON KLUSMAN: Don Klusman, California 4-Wheel
10	Drive Association. I too have worked with the Plumas
11	for more years than I probably want to admit. This
12	forest has always done an excellent job of its
13	volunteer work and keeping the trails maintained. The
14	California 4-Wheel Drive Association has five Adopt a
15	Trails in its forest, three of them being in a wild and
16	scenic corridor that these were all cherry stemmed by
17	Congress. We have worked in partnership with the
18	forest to keep those trails opened and maintained in a
19	fashion that they do not have erosion that's going into
20	this wild and scenic river. We've had an excellent
21	rapport with them. I cannot stress the urgency of
22	having some money so that the volunteers can keep
23	working with the forest because, as you know, federal
24	regulations and so forth, when you go out there to do

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

359

1 the Forest Service or at least somebody advising you of 2 the proper criteria of how to move that tree from there to there without causing erosion. So I would ask that 3 you, as Sylvia asked, sharpen the pencil a little bit, 4 5 bring their score up so they at least get some money to help with the volunteer effort that's out there. Thank 6 7 you. CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. 8 Commi ssi oners? 9 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'm ready for a motion.

Page 89

trail maintenance, you need to have someone there from

25

	2007-01-25 ONV CT X
10	Okay. Let's increase the successful implementation
11	based on the testimony to 30 out of 30; increase the
12	use of volunteer and low cost labor based on the
13	testimony of Mr. Klusman, five. And I have an
14	additional
15	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm suggesting five more
16	points under category one to try to keep up with storm
17	damage.
18	OHMVR STAFF FREITAS: Can you give us a total
19	score?
20	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: That would be an
21	additional 40 from the 30 that exists in the staff
22	recommendation, so it would be a total of 70.
23	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Those are five and five,

COMMISSIONER THOMAS: 30 points in the

24

25

so that would be 22.

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

360

- 1 successful completion, five points in the use of
- 2 volunteer labor increase, and five points on the other.
- 3 That's a total of 40 additional points, plus a base
- 4 score of 30 is 70, so that gives them 70 points.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I have a motion.
- 6 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'll second that.
- 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded
- 8 a score of 70 based on the rationale that was provided
- 9 by the motioner. So those in favor?
- 10 (Commissioner Spitler absent.)
- 11 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries. Page 90

13 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Next application, line	94,
--	-----

- 14 OR-1-NO-71, Redding Field Office, Trail Maintenance.
- 15 They requested \$54,660. They received a score of 54
- 16 points for a 50 percent funding determination of
- 17 \$27,300. They also submitted additional information,
- 18 and some of it was pretty good, but there was a lot of
- 19 nonspecifics on time frames and just how they were
- 20 going to actually accomplish what they were doing.
- 21 SKY ZAFFARANO: Sky Zaffarano, Redding BLM.
- 22 Just as far as new information, in addition to what we
- 23 submitted in the score increase rationale, on
- 24 January 1st of this year, we hosted the annual Poker
- 25 Run event in that area and participation was 360 riders 361

- 1 came out to use the area. And that took place after
- 2 the grant was submitted. So we're having a lot of use
- 3 during events. There are three to four events annually
- 4 hosted in this area. Also, we have two staging areas
- 5 within Chappie Shasta, and the most popular of which is
- 6 located 15 minutes from Redding, so we get a lot of use
- 7 in that area.
- 8 Specific to increase scoring, on category
- 9 evaluation criteria one, in general, we felt that the
- 10 application clearly and concisely answered each
- 11 category, and we would like to see an increased score
- 12 in that criteria to 30 out of 40. Criteria two, we
- 13 just left it the same, it was a score of 22 out of 30,
- 14 and we feel like that's fair.
- 15 In evaluation criteria category three, page five Page 91

- 16 of the application clearly details the applicant's
- 17 history of successful implementing similar projects.
- 18 Factual information included specific grant numbers
- 19 with dollar amounts allocated for trail maintenance and
- 20 work completed using those funds. And we'd like to see
- 21 an increased score in that criteria from nine out of 30
- 22 to 18 out of 30, which would give us an overall score
- 23 of 70. Thank you.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Public comments.
- DON AMADOR: Don Amador, Blue Ribbon Coalition.

- 1 I just wanted to touch on the one area I think that is
- 2 at least worthy of some consideration, and that's the
- 3 history of the grant program. As far as I'm aware,
- 4 they have an excellent history with grants and
- 5 completing their projects and feel that at least going
- 6 from the nine up to 18 out of 30 is at least worthy of
- 7 some consideration. Thank you.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 9 SYLVIA MILLIGAN: Sylvia Milligan, Recreation
- 10 Outdoors Coalition. And here again, Sky has done an
- 11 incredible job with this area, and I work with the
- 12 Shasta County Board of Supervisors. And Let me tell
- 13 you, they're very concerned that trail maintenance is
- 14 kept up in this area because of the people then that
- 15 are out in the community riding. So we need to keep
- 16 this area as a good solid riding area. Gosh, I lost my
- 17 train of thought, I'm sorry.
- They do an excellent job. They also work very Page 92

- 19 hard with the volunteers, and they leverage their money
- 20 very, very well by working with the volunteers. So I
- 21 would hope that you look kindly and raise their scores
- 22 somewhat. Thank you.
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Do I have a
- 24 motion?
- 25 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I would make a motion we 363

- 1 accept staff's recommendation.
- 2 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Second.
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Been moved and seconded at
- 4 staff level. All those in favor?
- 5 (Commissioner Spitler absent.)
- 6 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Before we go to the next
- 10 one, I'm going to ask the question of the Chair where I
- 11 might put some comments and questions that I have for
- 12 the staff in general about the problem of history of
- 13 applicants?
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Reflecting the history on
- 15 their application and their evaluations, is that it?
- 16 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Yes.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I think we may want to have
- 18 an overview at the end of the granting meeting to kind
- 19 of say where we went right and where we need a little
- 20 hel p.
- 21 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: So you want that at the Page 93

- 22 end. Thank you.
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Hold it until -- I sense the
- 24 way we're going through these, that we might have a
- 25 little time tomorrow to kind of evaluate the process, 364

30

- 1 give guidance to staff, applicants might even be able
- 2 to chime in and hone this process a bit more.
- 3 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Ken.
- 5 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: The next application is
- 6 line 95, OR-1-CD-360, Ridgecrest Field Office, Trail
- 7 Maintenance. They requested \$386,514. They received a
- 8 score of 58, for a 50 percent funding determination of
- 9 \$193,257. Their additional information was, in my
- 10 opinion, split about 50/50 with some new evidence and
- 11 some conclusionary, general broad statements.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Is the applicant here?
- 13 BRUCE BRAZIL: I'm the one that initially
- 14 requested that be pulled. The applicants are here.
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I think we want to continue
- 16 with protocol. We will let the applicant, and then let
- 17 you comment on their behalf. Thank you.
- 18 HECTOR VILLALOBOS: I'm not sure why this was
- 19 pulled from the Consent Calendar. BLM was prepared to
- 20 accept the staff recommendation and go forward under
- 21 the Consent Calendar. So without knowing why it was
- 22 pulled off the Consent Calendar, I reserve my comments.
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: State your name.
- 24 HECTOR VILLALOBOS: My name is Hector Page 94

25 Villalobos. I'm the field manager for the Ridgecrest 365

305

- 1 Field Office, BLM.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 3 BRUCE BRAZIL: Bruce Brazil, California Enduro
- 4 Riders Association. And the reason I requested that
- 5 this one be pulled, first, let me kind of throw out a
- 6 reminder to the Commission that one of the tools that
- 7 they have is to make adjustments to the cost
- 8 deliverables, not just overall scoring, but they can
- 9 make a line item adjustment to the cost deliverables.
- 10 And on this one, the project cost deliverables
- 11 sheet has staff entries for an archeologist, wildlife
- 12 biologist, natural resource specialist for a total of
- 13 \$109,807. According to the California Code of
- 14 Regulations No. 4970.55 and 4970.64, these positions
- 15 should be funded from the conservation account, not
- 16 trail maintenance account.
- 17 I would request that the Commissioners have
- 18 those items removed from the deliverables. And like
- 19 the Chairman has stated, the bubble is getting bigger.
- 20 Here is a legitimate way to make a little reduction.
- 21 The rest of their request, I'm in favor of.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I wish the bubble was getting
- 23 bigger. That means more cash, but the bubble is going
- 24 up in terms of who is getting funded and who's not.
- 25 But I think there are other things going on here today 366

- 1 that I'm not fully aware of. So I'll reserve other
- 2 comments. Staff, can you comment on that?
- 3 CHIEF JENKINS: I'm thinking of that as I'm
- 4 standing here.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Well, you're sitting, by the
- 6 way.
- 7 CHIEF JENKINS: Sitting, there you go. Shows
- 8 you how quickly my mind is working this morning.
- 9 I think I understand what Mr. Brazil was getting
- 10 at; however, I'm not sure that it is completely
- 11 accurate. So in the trail maintenance category what we
- 12 are trying to base -- what we are basing how the funds
- 13 and deliverables are allocated is based on what actual
- 14 work is going to be performed, so the deliverables.
- 15 Which staff on any given agency performs those duties,
- 16 I don't know that that really comes into play. For
- 17 instance, I don't remember specifically, Mr. Brazil,
- 18 which -- if you could just remind me which
- 19 classifications you were specifically mentioning.
- 20 BRUCE BRAZIL: Okay. The staff that they're
- 21 talking about was an archeologist, wildlife biologist,
- 22 and natural resource specialist.
- 23 CHIEF JENKINS: Okay, thank you. Then I think
- 24 perhaps the question then to send back to the agency is
- 25 specifically what are they going to have those people 367

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 doing, not necessarily what is their duty statement per

- 2 the agency's duty statement, but in a lot of these
- 3 different classifications, you can have them do a wide
- 4 range of different activities, not always in a
- 5 straitjacket of only what's in that general duty
- 6 statement. There is always that broad category of you
- 7 do what you do to get that job done. So perhaps the
- 8 best course is we need to hear from the applicant about
- 9 how they're going to use those positions to achieve
- 10 trail maintenance deliverables.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Okay. Could the applicant
- 12 respond, please?
- 13 CRAIG BECK: Craig Beck with the Ridgecrest BLM,
- 14 Recreation Branch Chief. Those, the archeologist,
- 15 wildlife biologist, natural resource specialist, my
- 16 numbers that I'm looking at are a little different
- 17 price wise, but what they're going to do is implement
- 18 our wildlife protection plan, which requires monitoring
- 19 to ensure that our activities are not impacting on
- 20 endangered species or critical species of concern. So
- 21 they're basically guaranteeing that our actions are
- 22 appropriate and preventative in nature as required by
- the regulations.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Would you say those
- 25 activities would then appropriately maintain those

368

- 1 trails so that they could be used?
- 2 CRAIG BECK: That would be a correct statement
- 3 or interpretation of what we're doing. Their objective
- 4 is to ensure that recreational opportunities continue

- 5 without -- and we can do the maintenance to maintain
- 6 those opportunities, without impacting their
- 7 specialists.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Commissioners, do you have
- 9 any of questions of the applicant?
- 10 HECTOR VILLALOBOS: If I may add?
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: State your name for the
- 12 record.
- 13 HECTOR VILLALOBOS: Hector Villalobos, Field
- 14 Manager for the Ridgecrest Field Office. The areas
- 15 that we do a lot of maintenance in consist of the Rands
- 16 area of critical environmental concern and also the
- 17 Jawbone Dove Springs area of critical environmental
- 18 concern. The types of species that we worry about in
- 19 those areas are, for example, includes Robber's Roost,
- 20 an area that's protected for birds of prey; the Mojave
- 21 ground squirrel, the desert tortoise. We have springs
- 22 and riparian areas that are important habitat in those
- 23 areas. When we do maintenance, we want to make sure
- 24 that we don't disturb those habitats and resources
- 25 within those habitats. The Jawbone Dove Springs area 369

- 1 has a number of archeological sites. When we do our
- 2 maintenance, we want to make sure that we don't disturb
- 3 those archeological sites. That's all I have to add.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Mr. Prizmich.
- 6 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: After staff has heard
- 7 what the commentary was here, if they could give us

- 8 their opinion as to whether this, as described here,
- 9 falls under trail maintenance or should be in another
- 10 category?
- 11 CHIEF JENKINS: From what I've heard, and the
- 12 discussion that's gone on, given that in order to do
- 13 trail maintenance projects you have to keep your WHPPs
- 14 and HPPs up to date and up to speed, to that degree,
- 15 you would be performing those WHPPs, doing the
- 16 qualifying tasks to keep the WHPPs in line so you can
- 17 do the trail maintenance. Yes, I would have to say
- 18 with what I have in front of me right now, without
- 19 giving a lot more analysis, my quick read is that they
- 20 would be qualifying expenses.
- 21 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I would imagine you do not
- 22 want to run over tortoises with your machinery, and so
- 23 thereby taking species in violation of the law and
- 24 closing your operation down, I think that's probably
- 25 the thinking behind having a biologist so that you

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

370

- 1 don't get your federal tape permit revoked.
- 2 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I have a question for the
- 3 applicant. Would any of this relate to the newly
- 4 identified problems in the Red Mountains with hazardous
- 5 waste?
- 6 CRAIG BECK: No, this is outside of the Red
- 7 Mountain area that you're referring to.
- 8 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Pleasure of the Commission?
- 10 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Staff Consent item as

- 11 recommended by the applicant.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So with staff
- 13 recommendations? You're moving staff recommendations?
- 14 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I am moving staff
- 15 recommendations.
- 16 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Second.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded,
- 18 all those in favor?
- 19 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?
- 21 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: No.

916-492-1010

- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion carried. So that
- 23 leaves us with four more to go. Yes, four more. Okay.
- 24 Let's push on.
- 25 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Next application is line 371

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 96, OR-2-SV-94, San Bernardino National Forest. They
- 2 asked \$96,750. They received a score of 48, for a 40
- 3 percent funding determination of \$38,700. Once again,
- 4 they submitted substantial new information and some of
- 5 it was very good, but a lot of it was still continuing
- 6 along the same line of general descriptions.
- 7 CHRIS EVANS: Good morning, Chris Evans, the
- 8 Forest Trails Program Manager for the San Bernardino
- 9 National Forest. We submitted prior to the last
- 10 meeting, comments and clarification on many of the
- 11 categories. And collectively we felt that the scores
- 12 should have reflected a 72 out of the 100 available,
- 13 specifically in category one, we felt that we merited

- 14 an increase of 10 points. And in category two, we felt
- 15 that it merited an increase of seven points to a 22 out
- 16 of 30. In category three, we felt that it merited an
- 17 increase of seven points to a total of 20 out of 30.
- 18 Specifically in category one, under criteria
- 19 (b), we felt an additional four points to a score of
- 20 seven was merited due to our original application --
- 21 and I apologize, my page numbers are not going to
- 22 correlate with the binders that were put together. But
- 23 we felt that in our original, application page number
- 24 52, paragraph three, described maintenance to the trail
- 25 systems. And as far as reducing the need for

372

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 restoration in the future, there was a discussion about
- 2 how a lack of maintenance will create a hazard to
- 3 public health and also the requirement for future
- 4 maintenance.
- 5 Under section (c), maintaining safe riding
- 6 conditions, there was considerable discussion on page
- 7 50, paragraph three, indicated maintenance was used to
- 8 maintain a desired level of difficulty, also described
- 9 entrance strategies for more and more difficult trails.
- 10 It would prevent novice riders from being tempted to
- 11 access those trails, described brushing and clearing of
- 12 sidelines in order to improve rider safety.
- 13 Criteria two, more efficient use of funds,
- 14 specifically under (b), use of partnerships to reduce
- 15 reliance on OHV Trust funds, there is a considerable
- 16 discussion about our partnership with the Forest

- 17 Adopt-a-Trail program, which involves many partners and
- 18 their contribution to the trail maintenance program on
- 19 the forest.
- 20 Moving on to number three, history of successful
- 21 implementation, we felt that merited an increase in
- 22 section (a), an increase of two points to a score of
- 23 eight. Our original application, page 53, paragraph
- 24 two, there is a detailed discussion about our past two
- 25 agreements OR--2-SB-80 and OR--2-SB-82 that did

373

- 1 indicate time frames, completion dates, and percentages
- 2 complete.
- 3 On history of fiscal accountability, there was a
- 4 discussion of a past audit that actually that went back
- 5 to agreements dating back to 1985, a discussion
- 6 indicating there were some findings by the State that
- 7 we may have owed some past money. We were able to do
- 8 the research on the forest and document that, in fact,
- 9 where it was necessary, those funds had been repaid,
- 10 and, in fact, there was not a balance due to the State
- 11 from those audits dating back to '85.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Please summarize.
- 13 CHRIS EVANS: I would like to introduce one
- 14 piece of new information, if I may, relating this back
- 15 to our OHV route designation process that's ongoing; we
- 16 have recently kind of completed our schedule for that,
- 17 and we anticipate to be through our NEPA process for
- 18 redesignation and designation of routes on the forest
- 19 around July of this year and publishing your new motor

- \$2007-01-25\$ OHV C1 x vehicle use map at that time. I can't really say for 20
- 21 sure exactly what the net change in OHV opportunity is
- 22 going to be, but I do expect that there will be some
- 23 changes to our designated system of routes. As a
- 24 result of that, any new routes that we take on are
- 25 going to require considerable maintenance to bring

374

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 those up to standard. And that was new information we
- 2 didn't anticipate was going to be happening in this
- 3 cycle, but we've kind of accelerated that process, and
- 4 it will be happening this year.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Public comment?
- BENJAMIN von DIELINGEN: Good morning, 6
- 7 Commissioners, my name is Ben von Dielingen.
- the OHV program in the San Bernardino National Forest 8
- 9 Associ ati on. We are partners with the Forest Service.
- 10 Just a little update that you may not have had a chance
- 11 to really go through, our volunteers put in nearly
- 25,000 volunteers hours last year in partnering with 12
- the Forest Service, and a chunk of that was for trail 13
- 14 That's in addition to 8,000 Adopt-a-Trail mai ntenance.
- 15 hours that was donated to the Forest Service, which is
- a very substantial part of the contribution which 16
- 17 helped leverage the money from the grant. The catch
- 18 being, the monies from this grant do help support
- 19 Forest Service staff, Adopt-a-Trail coordinators and
- 20 liaisons, without whom it's hard to coordinate
- volunteers and have this good work come about. 21
- 22 So based upon the good work of the volunteer

- 23 Adopt-a-Trail clubs have done, we need the Forest
- 24 Service staff to help guide and form the direction for
- 25 that work, so we would like to support the rescore of 375

- 1 72. Thank you.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any other comments?
- TOM TAMMONE: Tom Tammone, Sound Level
- 4 Monitoring Coordinator, San Bernardino National Forest
- 5 Association. I definitely do not agree with what I
- 6 heard from Division that Chris Evans didn't supply
- 7 enough specifics on his request for a score increase.
- 8 I honestly don't understand how more specific he's
- 9 supposed to get with this. He's been very specific.
- 10 I've been with the program for years, since 1994, and
- 11 all of their audits, and they come through clean every
- 12 year. So I'm not understanding what the issue is.
- 13 Definitely see the 72 points that he's asking for is
- 14 definitely justified. And it was really kind of
- 15 strange last year, I was up here having to explain to a
- 16 previous Commissioner that -- just complaining they
- 17 weren't asking for enough trail maintenance money. So
- 18 they definitely need the money, and 72 points is
- 19 definitely justified. Thank you.
- 20 JOHN STEWART: Good morning, Commissioners, John
- 21 Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs,
- 22 United 4-Wheel Drive Associations. In general, I can
- 23 support San Bernardino's request. I do, however, think
- 24 they're being a little bit modest when they are only
- 25 requesting a seven point increase in category number

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010

877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 My view of that particular request itself should two.
- be -- you know, dealing with the partnerships with 2
- other groups, that score itself should be a 30 out of a 3
- The San Bernardino Volunteer Association is a 4
- 5 topnotch association. It is recognized by the
- Washington office as being a leading example of the 6
- 7 partnerships that the forest is trying to implement
- 8 nationwide. In fact, the San Bernardino is receiving
- 9 calls from other forests to figure out how they can
- 10 establish the same kind of a deal.
- 11 You heard them talk about the number of
- 12 volunteer hours, and that's not an exaggeration.
- 13 fact, if anything, that's an underrepresentation of the
- 14 amount of work the volunteers are actually doing to
- 15 preserve the recreation opportunities and preserve the
- resources of that forest. This is an important area, 16
- 17 and this is one of four forests that sits in the middle
- 18 of one of the largest metropolitan areas. Yes, it
- receives a lot of visitor days, a lot of people are 19
- 20 interested in this area, so there are a large number of
- 21 volunteers that are actually there helping them.
- would support the extra -- you know, what they're 22
- 23 asking for, plus the extra -- in fact, category number
- 24 two should be a full 30. Thank you.
- 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners?

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

1	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I want to thank you
2	Mr. Stewart, I was about to say the same thing about
3	their volunteer program. I've been familiar with it
4	for more than 20 years, and when I was suggesting to
5	the BLM that they ought to establish some kind of a
6	volunteer force to support what was going on on the BLM
7	lands, a lot of the ideas about what could be done came
8	out of the San Bernardino Forest Association, and their
9	guidance was used by Angeles National Forest in trying
10	to set up a volunteer program within the Angeles
11	National Forest, so it's really been exemplary.
12	I'm less familiar with the work that they've
13	done with OHV than they have with other environmental
14	issues, but I'm sure that they're fully plugged into
15	trail maintenance. So I would support 30 out of 30 in
16	that category, unless I'm less comfortable with
17	changes in the other areas.
18	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Is that a motion?
19	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: If you want, yes.
20	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Second.
21	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So we've increased their
22	score by 15; is that what I'm hearing?
23	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I believe so, yes.
24	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I've seconded.
25	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So we have a total score of 378
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fa

1 63. We have a motion and second. Under discussion?

2 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'd like to get them up

3 to 70, and I'm not as smooth yet. Page 106

	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x
4	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: What are you suggesting?
5	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: What was your request on
6	the third category? I was doodling here, but I was
7	very impressed with the entourage they brought to the
8	south grants meeting of volunteers.
9	CHRIS EVANS: In the third category, as chance
10	would have it, we were increasing an increase of seven
11	points.
12	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: That was the one I
13	mi ssed.
14	CHRIS EVANS: That was based upon detailed
15	discussion under section (a) about past grants
16	completed, including grant numbers, completion dates,
17	and percentages completed. And I can recite those to
18	you, if you'd like.
19	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Well, I'd like to ask if
20	the maker of the motion could by chance add seven
21	there?
22	VI CE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay.
23	CHRIS EVANS: There was also additional
24	clarification provided under section (b) and section
25	(c) of that category, as well. History of fiscal

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

379

- 1 accountability, under section (b), we asked for an
- 2 additional two points based on the discussion of the
- 3 audit findings dating back to 1985 and our ability to
- 4 clarify all of that.
- 5 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you. I think that
- 6 we have enough here.

- 2007-01-25 OHV C1 x CHRIS EVANS: Okay. 7 Thank you. 8 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'll move category three 9 to 20. 10 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Does your second concur with 11 your adjustments? VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I don't know. 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Well, barely. 13 CHRIS EVANS: We will take it. 14 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Barely, and I know that's 15 16 an affirmative. There you are. 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded 18 with those adjustments and amendments to a total score 19 of 70. All those in favor? 20 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.) 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries. 22 I have a question for the transcriber Cheryl, 23 how are your hands? Getting tired. We have three more 24 If it's anything like this one, it might be 25 another 30 minutes. 380 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: The next application is on 1 line 97. 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I realize that. Let's hold 3 4 for a moment on that thought. I'm going to call for a
 - for a moment on that thought. I'm going to call for a break for about an hour. Come back at 1:20.

 (Lunch break taken in proceedings.)

 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So we have three trail

 maintenance still to go, Kenny? Am I counting right?

 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Yes, and I believe Page 108

- 10 Director Greene wanted to talk to you about another.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: We did discuss the oversight
- 12 of the Sequoia not pulling theirs off of Consent, and I
- 13 have to have concurrence from the rest of the
- 14 Commission to rehear that and bring it back. So I will
- 15 do that after we do three. Okay.
- 16 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Next application is on
- 17 line 97, OR-808, Santa Clara County Parks and
- 18 Recreation requested \$235,048. They received a score
- 19 of 46 points for a funding determination of 40 percent,
- 20 or \$94,019. Their new information that was submitted,
- 21 some of it was very good and specific, particularly on
- 22 the history.
- 23 LISA KILLOUGH: My name is Lisa Killough. I am
- 24 Director of Santa Clara County Parks, and we're here to
- 25 ask for a higher score under I tem No. 1, 1(b), when you 381

- 1 talk about avoiding future maintenance increases due to
- 2 deferred maintenance, we give a lot of information in
- 3 the grant application, which you can read, about the
- 4 standard of care for trail maintenance, which is
- 5 extremely high for this park, especially if you compare
- 6 us to other parks around the state. So I won't go into
- 7 that.
- 8 But one area that we are requesting in this
- 9 grant is for a full-time employment operator, rather
- 10 than the part-time person that we that currently have.
- 11 And we will be able to maintain an even higher standard
- 12 with a full-time person. One of the things that we Page 109

- 13 have learned in our outreach through the surveys that
- 14 we do on an annual basis, is that the riders are asking
- 15 for a higher level of care in all of our tracks and our
- 16 trails. Obviously, the equipment operator would help
- 17 to that end.
- 18 We also have a dedicated volunteer group. We
- 19 have about 500 volunteers who help on a regular basis;
- 20 they meet monthly, and they do a lot of work. They are
- 21 our eyes and ears on the trails to report problem areas
- 22 as they occur. They also help with the corrective
- 23 work.
- 24 Related to our resource management program,
- 25 we've gone to a new program of out-sloping our trails.

- 1 This is new information to you. We have out-sloped our
- 2 trails, and we've installed water bars at regular
- 3 intervals to help keep the water off the trails and to
- 4 keep the erosion to a minimum. That certainly helps
- 5 with deferred maintenance. And then in consultation
- 6 with our resource manager, we do water testing in the
- 7 creek on a regular basis so that we don't add
- 8 sedimentation as a result of any of our trail
- 9 maintenance, so that certainly has an environmental
- 10 impact, as well as a beneficial one. So for that
- 11 category we would like a scoring of eight out of ten.
- 12 Under I tem 1(c), maintaining safe riding
- 13 conditions, in addition to all of the things that we've
- 14 noted in our application and in our information
- 15 submitted separately, we also maintain a pretty unique Page 110

- 16 one-way trail riding system. When you come into this
- 17 park, you have to ride in one direction for the whole
- 18 park, all of the trails are a one-way system, and you
- 19 don't see that kind of a system everywhere. That
- 20 really encourages a safe maintained surface. One of
- 21 the other benefits of the one-way system is that with a
- 22 reduced trail width, you have a beneficial impact on
- 23 maintenance. Since the upkeep is a lot less for a
- 24 smaller tread, and that obviously has a beneficial
- 25 impact on the resources, too.

383

- 1 So I'm going to turn it over Dave Pierce. I'm
- 2 going to ask Dave Pierce to come forward and speak to
- 3 you on the other items for this related to categories
- 4 three. Dave.
- 5 DAVE PIERCE: Thanks, Dave Pierce with Santa
- 6 Clara County Parks and Recreation Department. I'm the
- 7 Grants Programs Manager for the department. I wanted
- 8 to address two questions to you. These are questions
- 9 for number three in your evaluation criteria.
- 10 Number 3(a), which is concerning prior projects
- 11 being completed within the time frame provided, we did
- 12 note that the department has provided a response that
- 13 states the fact that we have completed all our prior
- 14 OHV grant projects within the grant performance period
- 15 that were granted to us. We never have asked for any
- 16 extension for any project that we have ever done
- 17 through OHV grant funds. Specifically the last three
- 18 grants, OR-698, 620 and 580 were all completed on time. Page 111

- 19 And we believe that this fact clearly denotes that
- 20 we're fully capable of completing our projects on time.
- 21 So we're requesting a score of ten out of ten on that
- 22 for that particular question.
- 23 For question 3(b), our history of fiscal
- 24 accountability with similar grants and projects, we
- 25 would note that in our response, we included the fact

- 1 that audits of grant funds have never revealed any
- 2 exceptions requiring the return of grant funds,
- 3 specifically OHV grants OR-341, 372, 371, 372, 384,
- 4 none of those revealed any exceptions. I might add,
- 5 too, that any other grants that we received in our
- 6 department outside of OHV grant funds have never
- 7 required that we have returned any funds to grant funds
- 8 that we've received. So with that, we're requesting a
- 9 score of ten out of ten points for that question.
- 10 Thank you.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Any other public
- 12 comments?
- 13 BRUCE BRAZIL: Bruce Brazil, California Enduro
- 14 Riders Association. The previous two speakers have
- mentioned what's going on within the confines of the
- 16 park. Keeping the park operational, keeping it where
- 17 the people want to go there, also affects the areas
- 18 outside the park. If you take a drive down there, take
- 19 the rode up to the park, you're not going to see a
- 20 bunch of extra trails, user-created illegal trails.
- 21 You don't hear any grants here from, I guess, would be Page 112

- 22 Santa Clara law enforcement, asking for grants for OHV
- 23 usage. That's because this place has provided a place
- 24 for the inhabitants of the South Bay to go and recreate
- 25 up with their OHVs. So primarily for, number one up

- 1 there, a higher score is definitely a good job -- you
- 2 know, it shows that they're doing their job and how it
- 3 affects their community, as well as just the local
- 4 park. Thank you.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 6 DAVE PICKETT: Hi, Dave Pickett, District 36,
- 7 Motorcycle Sports Committee. I agree with the grant
- 8 applicant on the scoring that they have requested. If
- 9 you remember the last go-round, Commissioner Willard
- 10 had done a site tour of this facility and raved about
- 11 it. I concur with that. It's a well-run facility.
- 12 It's desperately needed down there in the Silicon
- 13 Valley. It does take the overflow from Hollister on
- 14 certain weekends, and I ask you to give this some
- 15 strong reconsideration. It's a well-run facility.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners?
- 18 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I have a question for the
- 19 applicant. I'm confused about the number you said of
- 20 volunteers. In the staff evaluation it said, 500 hours
- 21 of volunteer service. I thought you said 500
- 22 volunteers, which is it?
- 23 MIKE RUITSTORFEN: We have 500 hours documented.
- 24 Mike Ruitstorfen, Park Ranger for Metcalf Motorcycle Page 113

25 Park. It's 500 hours we have documented. Just

386

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 probably like a lot of parks, we don't document all of
- 2 the volunteer effort out there. We are working on
- 3 trying to make sure we get all volunteer hours
- 4 documented. But it's probably closer to a little over
- 5 500 hours. And, you know, just like what they were
- 6 saying, all of the single-track trails, they are single
- 7 track. Foot trail tread is about a foot to two feet
- 8 wide. It's not wide enough for a Sweco so basically
- 9 all of those trails are maintained by volunteers.
- 10 Staff does not have time. So pretty much the OHV
- 11 riders like that. They need to maintain it, they help
- 12 us out. We give them education on how to maintain the
- 13 trails. We give them the equipment. Hopefully that
- 14 helps you.
- 15 VI CE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'll make a motion we
- 17 accept staff's recommendation.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: A lot of enthusiastic
- 19 support.
- 20 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'll second that.
- 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded
- 22 for staff recommendation. The question has been
- 23 called. All those in favor?
- 24 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?

387

1	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm going to abstain.			
2	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'm going to abstain.			
3	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion carries.			
4	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Next application is on			
5	line 101, it's OR-2-SR-35, Six Rivers National Forest,			
6	Trail Maintenance. They requested \$31,477. They			
7	received a score of 56, for 50 percent funding			
8	determination of \$15,739. And I do have to say that			
9	their additional information touched just about all of			
10	our concerns. They did a pretty good job. I concur			
11	with what they said on their request.			
12	RAY McCRAY: Chairman, Commissioner, Ray McCray,			
13	Six Rivers National Forest. Our forest believes that			
14	our application should merit a higher score. I won't			
15	necessarily go through everything, but the rationale			
16	was based on a comparison of the Division's comments on			
17	the higher scored other trail maintenance grants and			
18	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Did you provide us with			
19	any written?			
20	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's coming around right now.			
21	Go forward.			
22	RAY McCRAY: So, therefore, we were hoping to			
23	increase the score of item 1(a) and (b) and (c) for a			
24	total of 25 out of 40. Item two would stay the same.			
25	And item three recommended score change would be 12 out 388			
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax			

1 of 30.

- 2 I'd also like to make a point that with this
- 3 increase, primarily all of it goes to another worthy
- 4 cause. It would go to a CCC crew to do the trail
- 5 maintenance, and I'm also able to benefit from their 25
- 6 percent match that they provide through our
- 7 partnership.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any public comment on this
- 9 grant? Commissioners.
- 10 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Mr. Chairman, I would
- 11 like to make the following recommendations based upon
- 12 the information that was just handed out and also based
- 13 upon the comments by staff that virtually all of the
- 14 information that we just received here was valid and
- 15 pertinent to the grant, so that essentially they were
- 16 in agreement with I assume the new recommendations.
- 17 And I can go through these specifically, or I can just
- 18 provide you with the numbers, if you don't mind.
- 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You can reference, as others
- 20 have referenced the letter, and just give us the
- 21 numbers.
- 22 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: In Item No. 1, there was
- 23 a 19 out of 40, and I would like to recommend a 25 out
- 24 of 40. In Item No. 2, there was a recommendation of 26
- 25 out of 30, and that recommendation it looks like it

389

- 1 stays the same. In Item No. 3, the recommendation was
- 2 11 out of 30 and with what they're requesting, and I
- 3 agree with is 12 out of 30. For a total of I think 63
- 4 out of a 100 score; that's my motion.

5	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Second.	
6	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you for seconding.	
7	Under di scussi on.	
8	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: The evidence here is	
9	pretty thin. For example, 1(a), the new information is	
10	the statement that funding is critical to meet	
11	environmental standards. I think that goes for	
12	everybody. 1(b), you're increasing 1(a), does the	
13	motion increase 1(a)?	
14	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Correct.	
15	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: And 1(b), does it	
16	increase?	
17	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: 1(b), I don't think it	
18	i ncreases.	
19	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Tell me again, two you	
20	i ncreased?	
21	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Two is not increased.	
22	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: And three is increased	
23	what?	
24	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: By one point.	
25	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'm interested in at least 390	
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax	
1	the fig leaf of following the rules. We don't seem to	
2	have enough evidence here to justify it, so anyway.	
3	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any other comments under	
4	discussion? All those in favor of the motion?	
5	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)	
6	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Those opposed?	
7	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: No.	

Page 117

	2007 01 25 047 01 v		
8	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x COMMISSIONER SPITLER: No.		
9	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion passes.		
10	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Next application is on		
11	line 102, OR-2-T-101, Tahoe National Forest, Trail		
12	Maintenance. They requested \$149,800. They received a		
13	score of 43 points, for a 40 percent funding		
14	determination of \$59,920. They did submit additional		
15	information, and I'm not sure how I'd address it.		
16	DAVID MICHAEL: David Michael, Tahoe National		
17	Forest, OHV Program Manager. Tahoe National Forest		
18	would like to have the Commission consider raising the		
19	scores of this grant application. We stored zero in		
20	three of the areas, and we would like to address those.		
21	In criteria 1(b), we'd like to increase that		
22	from zero to eight points. The forest maintains that		
23	we did address the 1(b) question in its response by		
24	referencing funding levels. There's a direct		
25	correlation between adequate funding and maintenance.		
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax		
1	And so we would ask that and the continued success		
2	of the program depends on using funding levels to		
3	leverage volunteers and other funds to be able to		
4	complete the project. And that's the way we addressed		
5	that, so we would like to go from zero to eight.		
6	Criteria 1(d), we were at zero, we'd like to		
7	increase that to eight. And we'd likewise respectfully		
8	disagree with the Division's score of zero because we		
9	mention law enforcement and its relationship to trail		
10	maintenance. We feel that law enforcement activities		

- 11 such as signing, and barriers, and education, our
- 12 experience has shown us this has a direct effect on
- 13 maintenance and, therefore, feel we should have an
- 14 increased score because of that.
- 15 On criteria two, we'd like to raise that from 23
- 16 to 26 points. The forest affirms that we have really
- 17 an exemplary program of expending these funds. We have
- 18 over \$300,000 of contributed time and dollars, and
- 19 almost 3,000 hours of volunteers. And especially in
- 20 the last few years, as funds have gotten harder to come
- 21 by, we've even more effectively used these, and we
- 22 think the record shows that. We don't feel that the
- 23 score that we were given reflects that.
- 24 Criteria 3(a) was another one of those areas
- 25 where we received a zero score. This dealt with the

392

- 1 timelines and so forth. And we stated in there that we
- 2 consistently have met timelines. We started our first
- 3 grant here 26 years ago with OR-2-T-01, and now we are
- 4 at OR-2-T-101. We've had over 100 grant applications,
- 5 and we believe that the record certainly shows that we
- 6 have met within time frames our obligations.
- 7 Criteria 3(b), up from two points to 10 points.
- 8 And this deals with fiscal accountability and likewise
- 9 our records show that. And if audits are any examples
- 10 of fiscal accountability, our history of good audits
- 11 that have come out of forest certainly warrants a
- 12 higher score.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Please summarize.

2007-01-25 OHV C1 x DAVID MICHAEL: And then the final one in 14 question, 3(c), deals with pertinent information, and 15 16 we have in our program costs and deliverables on page 17 eight to 11; we indicate the quality of the skilled labor that we're using and other pertinent information. 18 19 So we were asking for a score of 83. Thank you. 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Public comment. 21 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Question for the 22 applicant: Do you have some testimony as to why the 23 Division's graded you as a four in the third area, if, 24 in fact, you have all of the good fiscal accountability 25 records and completion records that you indicate? 393 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 1 DAVID MICHAEL: Yes, because we did not list out 2 the 100 applications that we put, we did not list 3 OR-2-T such and such, and then give them a spreadsheet that they required. So it was interpretation of what 4 5 they were actually looking for. 6 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: All right. Thank you. DON KLUSMAN: Don Klusman, California 4-Wheel 7 Drive Association. While I understand and totally 8 9 appreciate staff's hard work on these grants, there are 10 a couple of them that I can't go along with. This is 11 one of them. Last year before this Commission, this 12 forest had a grant, it received the highest score of

there were different eyes looking at things this year

all grants. This year the grant is basically the same,

things change, but not that dramatically. I understand

and yet it's down to 43. I understand that a few

13

14

15

16

- 17 than last year, but that shouldn't make a difference
- 18 when you're talking regulations and you're talking
- 19 grant history and so forth.
- This forest has done an excellent job. Of any
- 21 time the Division, the Commission, even the users have
- 22 asked, what are you spending this money on, they are
- 23 glad to show you, and the accountability has been
- 24 there. Volunteer efforts on this forest, here again
- 25 the California 4-Wheel Drive Association has worked

394

- 1 with this forest not only on motorized projects, but
- 2 non-motorized projects. One of those grants that we
- 3 were talking about several years was for the wheelchair
- 4 trails just outside of Grass Valley. And we as
- 5 four-wheel drive enthusiasts and others worked on with
- 6 the forest. We've worked on equestrian trails in the
- 7 Tahoe Forest. This is not just a motorized issue on
- 8 the Tahoe. They've got equestrian trails,
- 9 non-motorized trails. The PCT trail goes through the
- 10 Tahoe Forest, which we've rerouted the OHV trail to
- 11 keep it away from the PCT. This forest has done
- 12 everything we have asked. I would totally agree. I
- 13 would say give them a 100 if it was up to me, but I
- 14 totally agree with what they're asking for on the 83 or
- 15 whatever it was that was just mentioned. Thank you.
- 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So you'll come up with the
- 17 extra \$90,000, Don, out of your own pocket?
- 18 DON KLUSMAN: Out of volunteer labor we will.
- 19 DAVE PICKETT: Dave Pickett, District 36,

- 20 Motorcycle Sports Committee. I mirror the comments by
- 21 Mr. Klusman. Certain parts of the Tahoe extend way
- 22 down, so there's actual opportunity that's going on
- 23 right now in the forest because of this dry winter.
- 24 Did a tour up there recently, and there's some trail
- 25 work that needs to be done. The history is there, and 395

- 1 definitely ask for you to do a reconsideration based on
- 2 the information that has been supplied to you. Thank
- 3 you.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 5 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Excuse me, Larry
- 6 Bellucci, grants staff. I would like to address two
- 7 statements made a moment ago if I could.
- 8 Mr. Michael stated with regard to the history of
- 9 previous grants, previous applications that a
- 10 spreadsheet was required for this criteria. It was
- 11 never mentioned in the criteria. It was never
- 12 required, a spreadsheet was never asked for.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Let's not get into a he said/
- 14 she said. That's enough of a clarification.
- 15 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Let's address this, since
- 16 you're asking to respond. Because this issue has come
- 17 up in a number of the grants where I don't think it was
- 18 ever stated explicitly in the application instructions
- 19 or anywhere else in the regulations that to receive a
- 20 full score under past history, you need to actually
- 21 list specific grants and timelines and completions.
- 22 I'm noticing just about every application getting

- 23 knocked off in that category, even applicants that have
- 24 over decades of successful experience implementing
- 25 grants. That's the problem, right?

396

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: You're correct, and I
- 2 believe that's one of the criteria that are being
- 3 As you know, it's kind of a progressive worked on.
- 4 thing that we're hoping to improve upon the criteria
- 5 And it has been an issue with the number of
- applicants; however I think where -- I can say with 6
- 7 regard to the applications that I've scored, that where
- the applicants weren't specific and just made a general 8
- 9 statement that they have a good previous history,
- 10 that's where they lost points. We can't require any
- 11 specific number, but we did look for something
- 12 speci fi c.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I don't want to get into
- 14 a long back and forth here. I'm just saying I think
- there is some element of hide the ball going on where, 15
- you know, the specific way that these grants were going 16
- 17 to be scored wasn't ever disclosed to the applicants up
- 18 front, and there was in fact specific things that the
- 19 staff was looking for that applicants could have
- 20 provided had they known that, and they simply didn't
- 21 know to include that information.
- 22 CHIEF JENKINS: Let me take that. It's the
- 23 difference between -- and we were very specific in the
- 24 manual and the process that we were looking for factual
- 25 You guys have heard us say factual data over and data.

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010

877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 over and over. So it basically comes down to the
- 2 difference of a conclusionary statement versus a
- factual statement. So when they say we've done real 3
- well on our grants in the past, that's a conclusionary 4
- 5 statement. We looking for more factual data.
- COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I think everyone in the 6
- 7 room understands that at this point in the process.
- That obviously wasn't clear to many applicants going 8
- 9 into the process.
- 10 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: One other clarification I
- 11 would like to make, if I could. Mr. Klusman stated
- 12 that the application this year was essentially the same
- 13 as one last year. I don't know whether that's true or
- not; however, we were scoring under a completely 14
- 15 different set of criteria this year.
- CHAIR BRISSENDEN: 16 Thank you. Other questions,
- comments from the Commissioners. Do I have a motion? 17
- COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Well, I would move 18
- approval by adding 26 points to the third criteria, I 19
- 20 guess, in equal increments, so it would be 10, 10, 10
- 21 would be my recommendation based on the evidence that
- 22 was provided that, A, there was completion within time
- 23 frames; B, that there was an adequate -- or successful
- 24 is a better word, history of fiscal accountability; and
- 25 the qualifications and availability of the staff to

398

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010

916-492-1222 Fax 877-453-1010

	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x
1	carry out the project was sufficient. That's my
2	motion. I want to address the motion when appropriate.
3	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So you've raised it to 30?
4	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Yes, on the third criteria
5	3(a), (b), and (c), three subcriteria that you have to
6	assign each 10 points in order to raise it. So that's
7	what I would do.
8	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: And Leave the other two
9	the same?
10	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: At this stage, unless
11	somebody has other ideas. The bulk of the evidence
12	that I focused in on and heard in the testimony was
13	related to three, but.
14	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Okay. So it's 30, number 3,
15	23 and 16.
16	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'll second that.
17	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It would be 69, so that is
18	your motion, Commissioner Thomas?
19	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: That's my motion.
20	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Do we have a second?
21	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Commissioner Anderson
22	seconded.

23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I have a motion and a second.

All those in favor? 24

25 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)

399

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010

- 1 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
- 2 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: My thought is that we have
- trouble in analyzing whether somebody has, in fact, a $$\operatorname{\textit{Page}}$$ 125 3

- 4 successful history or not. One, quote, fact that you
- 5 can take across the table, is I have a successful
- 6 history and I have no prior violations on my record,
- 7 which is the kind of statements we're hearing. The
- 8 other sort of higher requirement that seems to be
- 9 imposed is, please detail all grants, prior grants, and
- 10 explain why you have a prior successful history. It
- 11 seems to me that it should be sufficient if somebody
- 12 stands in front of us and says I have an unblemished
- 13 record that that's a fact. It's unblemished. Now it
- 14 may be controverted. It may be not accurate, and
- 15 somebody can correct it if it's wrong, but that's a
- 16 fact we can make a decision based on. And it's that
- 17 fact that I relied on in trying to raise these scores.
- 18 And the reason I bring it up is that it's a bit
- 19 imprecise as to what we are being asked to justify,
- 20 what facts we're supposed to be relying upon to justify
- 21 a change. And as the staff goes through this iteration
- 22 the next year, perhaps they can be more specific about
- 23 what kinds of facts we need to be listening for so that
- 24 the applicants can come up and give us those facts
- 25 because.

400

- 1 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Commissioner Thomas, before
- 2 you go forward, I had deferred this discussion until
- 3 the afternoon tomorrow per Commissioner Anderson's
- 4 request to review these.
- 5 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Thank you.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So we do have a special Page 126

- 7 request, that was number three, right? Do we have
- 8 another one to go through? It's the reconsideration of
- 9 the Sequoia, correct.
- 10 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: That was the final, unless
- 11 you want to reconsider the Sequoia.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So I have to defer to my
- 13 fellow Commissioners. The Sequoia representative
- 14 wasn't clearly aware that he needed to pull his item
- 15 off of the Consent, according to Deputy Director
- 16 Greene, and wondered if we wanted to reconsider that
- 17 one item on Consent to bring it back for review.
- 18 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: Chairman Brissenden, if I
- 19 may. I was just approached during the lunch hour by
- 20 the individual from the Sequoia, who was not the
- 21 individual who wrote the application, who was what
- 22 seems to appear to be a brand new recruit and who's
- 23 never been to one of these meetings before and was not
- 24 sure of the process. So you are correct. I simply
- 25 said to him that it might be the appropriate thing to

- 1 do is go to the Commission, but I just wanted to make
- 2 sure that you know that this representative here today
- 3 is brand new.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So I heard one sorry, two
- 5 sorries. I'm not certain. Tim, help me here? I'm not
- 6 sure what you need for reconsideration.
- 7 COUNSEL LaFRANCHI: I think all you need is a
- 8 motion by almost any of the Commissioners who voted on
- 9 the winning side and then a second, and it could then Page 127

- 10 be in a majority to bring it back.
- 11 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: We're talking about 98,
- 12 right?
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Yes, we are.
- 14 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Here is my thinking, this
- 15 is somebody that was rated at 16 points, which is a
- 16 very low rating. Their ability to master the process
- 17 is evident by their failure to even object to being
- 18 passed on Consent. Based on both the application and
- 19 the familiarity with the process, one would question
- 20 what the implementation would look like. So I'm not
- 21 interested in reconsidering it on those thoughts.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Other thoughts?
- 23 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I understand that, but
- 24 if I heard Deputy Director Greene, this was a new group
- 25 that was making the effort, and I really don't see any 402

- 1 downside to hearing them out and voting whatever way
- 2 we're going to vote. So if you need a motion, I'll
- 3 make that motion to take it off Consent and have us
- 4 hear it.
- 5 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'll second that. I don't
- 6 mind hearing them again.
- 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Under discussion.
- 8 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: My only concern is I just
- 9 think it's bad form to -- after we've already approved
- 10 a grant to start taking out individual grants and
- 11 taking them off a list we've already approved and go
- 12 back and revisit those. I think it sets a very bad Page 128

	2007-01-23 ONV CT X			
13	example and really says to anyone if you've got			
14	approved on Consent and don't like it, you can come			
15	back at any time during the meeting ask the Commission			
16	to reconsider. I mean I think after we hear a grant,			
17	we've decided on it, we voted on it, the public had an			
18	opportunity to comment, I think we should move forward.			
19	We've have a lot of people here who are waiting to have			
20	their grants heard. I just don't think it's right to			
21	revote on things that we've already considered.			
22	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Okay. So we have a motion			
23	and a second on the floor. Any other discussion? All			
24	those in favor?			
25	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.) 403			
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax			
1	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Those opposed?			
2	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: No.			
3	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: No.			
4	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: No. Fails.			
5	I have had a request to look for consideration			
6	of several different staff that have to go back to			
7	their various respective agencies to look at and l			
8	want to throw this out for discussion to look at			
9	planning and safety. I'm hearing an huh-uh over here.			
10	So to take it out of order would require some			
11	rejiggering. So do you want say that a little louder?			
12	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I think we should take it			

15 in trail maintenance. I think we should just start Page 129

1314

from the top at this point. We took trail maintenance

out of order because there's a lot of people interested

- 16 with the top and go through the list as best we can.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So that would be Item No. 1,
- 18 OR-1-NO-68. Do we have staff available and ready to
- 19 start the parade?
- 20 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: OR-1-NO-68, BLM Redding,
- 21 is an Acquisition project. They asked \$537,300;
- 22 received a score of 43 for 40 percent funding, for
- 23 \$214,920. And I would like to state for the record
- 24 that in their supplemental application or their
- 25 resubmittal, it did state in at least two separate

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL CORVING

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

404

- 1 places that the information they're providing was not
- 2 provided in the original application, and the
- 3 supplemental information was still somewhat vague and
- 4 ambiguous and may possibly may not merit more points.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That was rather diplomatic
- 6 for some guy that's not even working here anymore.
- 7 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: I was told to be.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Told to be diplomatic.
- 9 SKY ZAFFARANO: All right. Then my name is Sky
- 10 Zaffarano. I'm with the Redding BLM Field Office. We
- 11 have submitted a score increase rationale for an
- 12 increase from 43 to 64 overall points. I believe you
- 13 have that. To briefly go through that, it includes --
- 14 do you have that one?
- 15 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: We may not have that one.
- 16 OHMVR STAFF STALLCOP: Yes, you do.
- 17 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Go ahead, I've got it.
- 18 SKY ZAFFARANO: It includes a description under Page 130

- 19 each evaluation criteria for slight increases to the
- 20 score that was given by the Division. I'll just go
- 21 through each of those scores, and if there are any
- 22 questions specifically to the criteria, we can discuss
- 23 why.
- 24 But under evaluation criteria one, it would be
- 25 an increase from 22 to 25. Under two, it would be an 40 F

- 1 increase from five to eight. Under three, it would be
- 2 an increase from eight to ten. And under four, an
- 3 increase from four to nine, for the overall increase of
- 4 64 out of a hundred.
- 5 I'd just like to take a little bit of my
- 6 remaining time to give you the overall picture here.
- 7 You know, the Redding area is a growing area like many
- 8 throughout California. And many of the people
- 9 moving into this area are looking for this kind of
- 10 outdoor recreation opportunity in their backyard. And
- 11 the Redding BLM, in an effort to meet that desired
- 12 recreation opportunity, over the course of the last 16
- 13 years has acquired approximately 14.5 thousand acres
- 14 within the designated off-highway vehicle area to
- 15 provide a managed OHV opportunity. Most recently we've
- 16 used two separate acquisition grants funded through
- 17 this Commission, OHV funds to acquire 400 acres over
- 18 the course of the last three years, all of which have
- 19 either existing OHV opportunity or are optimal for
- 20 building new opportunity or expanding the area.
- We're also looking at other ways to secure Page 131

- 22 long-term opportunities in this area. We're currently
- 23 working with a private landowner on a donated easement
- 24 on a critical road in the area. And the bottom line is
- 25 we'd like to continue the success we've been able to

- 1 have out there, and the key part of that is the funds
- 2 provided through these acquisition grants. And we do
- 3 have interested sellers at this time, and we continue
- 4 to have interest in this area. Thank you.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Public comment.
- 6 BRUCE BRAZIL: Bruce Brazil, California Enduro
- 7 Riders Association. And in the past, I've supported
- 8 the purchases requested by the Redding BLM. But Let me
- 9 start by saying that this should not be funded by the
- 10 OHV grant process, because they've got state money that
- 11 would be used to purchase land for ownership by the
- 12 federal government, so our state really doesn't get
- 13 that much out of that part of it. The OHV program has
- 14 already funded several years of property purchases, and
- 15 it looks like the Redding BLM will be requesting
- 16 funding for additional property purchases.
- 17 However, if we get creative, it can be done in a
- 18 win/win situation. I'd like to suggest that the OHV
- 19 Division, with Consent from the Commission, purchase
- 20 the properties through major capital outlays, and then
- 21 give the BLM a five-year lease with option to purchase
- 22 the properties. The BLM would be responsible for the
- 23 properties. The BLM presently holds 15 million acres
- 24 of land in California. Somewhere in those holdings, Page 132

25 there should be some property that would be appropriate 407

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

1	for	state	vehi cl e	recreati on	area.

- Now, if that property could be traded for the
- 3 leased properties in the Chappie Shasta OHV area, I
- 4 think it would be a great idea. Other properties in
- 5 the Chappie Shasta OHV area have been acquired through
- 6 exchanges according to their grants request. A partial
- 7 precedence on this is the California Code of
- 8 Regulations 4970.57 which describes equipment process
- 9 for our OHV program. In there it says any single
- 10 equipment purchase that has a total cost equal to or
- 11 greater than \$50,000, with at least half of the
- 12 purchase cost paid by the OHV Trust fund shall be
- 13 registered in the Division's name and used in the
- 14 grantees OHV program through the normal life of the
- 15 equipment and then returned to the discretion of the
- 16 Division for disposal or sale; therefore, it would seem
- 17 very reasonable to extend that general concept to
- 18 acquisitions. I think it could be a win/win, just got
- 19 to start thinking outside of the box on some of these
- 20 things, folks. Thank you.
- 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 22 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I had a question
- 23 actually. Do you have opposition to using state funds
- 24 to provide OHV opportunities on federal lands?
- 25 BRUCE BRAZIL: No, I don't. It's the purchase
 408

1	of the property and then the state not owning the			
2	property anymore. I think this could be a good way for			
3	the state to acquire OHV properties from the federal			
4	government on an exchange basis.			
5	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: So you just have a			
6	philosophical opposition to buying lands with state tax			
7	dollars and handing it over to the state government?			
8	BRUCE BRAZIL: That is correct.			
9	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Why is that?			
10	BRUCE BRAZIL: The federal government takes			
11	plenty of my tax money. They can pay for it.			
12	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Okay. Thanks.			
13	SYLVIA MILLIGAN: I'm Sylvia Milligan with			
14	Recreation Outdoors Coalition. And I'm also a party			
15	that does not believe in buying private lands and			
16	putting it in government hands and taking it off the			
17	tax rolls because I do pay taxes; however, we have an			
18	entirely different situation here. I know that most of			
19	your interest is in the southern part of the state			
20	where we have many more things going on; however, we			
21	have a unique situation here. And I brought a map to			
22	show those of you that have not been up there what our			
23	situation is here.			
24	This is the Chappie OHV area. The white areas			
25	are privately owned lands. We have been trying to 409			
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax			

1 acquire those to keep a continuous area here for

- 2 recreation. And if we don't have funds available to
- 3 acquire those when they become available, we can
- 4 actually get a gridlock like we had on 9/11. We can
- 5 have a buyer come in and say, you know, I don't like
- 6 OHV and shut it down. And that could be a major artery
- 7 through this area.
- 8 So they need to have funds available so that
- 9 when we have an opportunity to get these pieces, these
- 10 parcels that help complement and complete this OHV
- 11 area, we need to have those funds available because
- 12 people are not going to say, well, my property is for
- 13 sale today, and it's tomorrow after guys have said no
- 14 money, and they have to wait a year, maybe two years.
- 15 It doesn't happen. We need to be ready to move on
- 16 these pieces of land. And you know that we can get
- 17 them much cheaper also if we can be ready move, have
- 18 the cash money available. So I hope you look really
- 19 closely and provide funds for us to complete this
- 20 incredible opportunity we have here.
- 21 DON KLUSMAN: Don Klusman, California 4-Wheel
- 22 Drive Association. I've been involved in this project
- 23 since the inception of it. At the time all of us, the
- 24 environmental community, the motorized community, the
- 25 Commission, the Division, BLM and several others, water

- 1 agencies and so forth, agreed that the Inner Lakes
- 2 plan, which is part of this plan, was the best thing
- 3 for the area. And, true, there are some parcels that
- 4 are privately owned, and these only are being sold by

- 5 willing sellers. And we need the funds to help get
- 6 these properties. Here again, I know I'll probably be
- 7 chastised by the Division again, but this is very
- 8 similar, if not the same, application that was put in
- 9 last year for acquisition. At that time it got 97
- 10 points. This time it gets 43. Maybe the criteria did
- 11 change that much. I don't quite understand that, but
- 12 this is the only acquisition grant in this whole grants
- 13 process. This is the only time we're going to make it
- 14 legal to ride on this area by putting it in ownership
- of the federal government with help from state money to
- 16 make this a bona fide OHV area that it already has been
- 17 signed off as. I don't understand what Mr. Brazil's
- 18 question is here other than philosophy that he doesn't
- 19 want to spend state money for federal dollars or
- 20 whatever. But this is a very much needed project, and
- 21 I would ask you that you reconsider the scoring. Thank
- 22 you.
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- DAVE PICKETT: Dave Pickett, District 36,
- 25 Motorcycle Sports Committee. I mirror the comments of 411

- 1 Don and Sylvia there, and I concur with the score
- 2 request increase to 64 that Sky had talked about. This
- 3 is an expansion of opportunity in a fast growth area.
- 4 The next riding opportunity that's close is going to be
- 5 Stony Ford and Clay Pit, Oroville, places like that,
- 6 but it does not have the unique characteristics that
- 7 this particular riding area does.

2007-01-25 OHV C1 x We've got an opportunity to pick something up 8 9 that will expand the program and pretty much brings it 10 back to the intent of the program, which is to provide 11 opportunity to meet the growth. I don't have to sit 12 here and tell you how much this sport has grown in the 13 last five, ten years, but we've got an opportunity to 14 We've got a willing partner that can manage 15 it, has a history of managing it correctly. And it 16 seems to me we have not had a new facility in, what, 25 17 years, and here's an opportunity to expand one that's 18 got a long history. I urge you support this 19 acquisition. Thank you. 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commi ssi oners. 21 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: The question to the riding 22 community, has there been any effort to -- maybe Don 23 Klusman can answer this. Has there been any effort to

have the Governor's budget include acquisition for this

piece of property? We've seen these checkerboard

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

412

acquisitions for as long as I've been on the

- 2 Commission, and it seems like we just approved in
- 3 record time an acquisition of a very large area in
- 4 Bakersfield, which we now are informed we will not
- 5 acquire, but if we can acquire an entire Bakersfield
- 6 facility and disencumber, why can't we just put it in
- 7 the budget?

24

25

1

- 8 DON KLUSMAN: Don Klusman. That's a very good
- 9 question, Mr. Thomas. That question you asked of us
- 10 several years ago, and we went and spoke with finance,

- 11 spoke with the Governor's office at that time, and was
- 12 told because these are separate little parcels, that
- 13 they're not a large area, such as what you're talking
- 14 in Bakersfield or new SVRA area, that would be very
- 15 difficult for finance to write this up as you're going
- 16 to buy this particular parcel when that parcel may not
- 17 be on the market yet. And we ran into -- we ran into
- 18 bureaucracy, to be honest. We were told don't waste
- 19 your time spinning your wheels here.
- 20 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: That's the answer. WCB
- 21 buys scraps of land all over California on a continuing
- 22 basis. It doesn't seem too complicated. That would be
- 23 the Wild Conservation Board, which is the home of a lot
- 24 of the resource agency acquisition programs.
- 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Other comments? Do I have a 413

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 motion?

- 2 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I think it's an important
- 3 project. I supported it in the past, and I'm moved by
- 4 the testimony here, so I'm not sure I can go all the
- 5 way to the score the BLM suggested, but I'll move a
- 6 score of 25 out of 40 on the first criteria based on
- 7 the information that I'm looking at here from the BLM;
- 8 eight out of 15 on the second criteria, also based on
- 9 that information; 10 out of 15 on the third; four out
- of 15 on the fourth; and I'll support 12 out of 15 on
- 11 the last criteria for a score of 64, and that's my
- 12 motion.
- 13 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Excuse me, I think there

- 14 may be a mistake. I don't think that's a 64.
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I saw 57. I saw 14 go up.
- 16 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: 25, eight, ten, nine and
- 17 12.
- 18 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Missed the nine.
- 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Okay. For 64.
- 20 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: That's my motion.
- 21 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Second.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded.
- 23 All those in favor?
- 24 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.

414

916-492-1222 Fax

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Next project is line
- 2 number nine, OR-816, California City Police
- 3 Department -- and the rest of these are equipment
- 4 grants, by the way, that I'm going to be addressing.
- 5 They requested \$62,544, received a score of 38 for zero
- 6 percent funding.
- 7 ERIC HURTADO: Eric Hurtado, California City
- 8 Police and Jack Craig, California City Police also here
- 9 with me.
- 10 We previously submitted information packets
- 11 clarifying the additional scoring criteria. I believe
- 12 the additional information made it more clear than the
- 13 original grant that was submitted, hopefully you'll
- 14 take that into consideration in the rescore.
- 15 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Where is that information?
- 16 We're looking for that.

2007-01-25 OHV C1 x 17 ERIC HURTADO: I think that was in volume three. COMMISSIONER THOMAS: The new information? 18 19 You're saying additional information that was outside 20 the original application. 21 Right, it would have been, I ERIC HURTADO: 22 believe, earmarked tab three. 23 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: One of the tabbed items. 24 It's very complicated here for us. 25 OHMVR STAFF ROACH: Commissioner, if I may, it's SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 1 in your supplemental information binder in equipment 2 tab number two. 3 ERIC HURTADO: I'll just give a quick overview of the grant. California City's become a high-use 4 area, where before we've experienced maybe 65,000 5 visitors a year, now we're experiencing over 300,000. 6 We're a small agency, usually just field two or three 7 8 patrol officers. And with your visitors making the 9 city sometimes swell up to ten times the original population over three or four days, it does get a 10 11 Our grant is for equipment. Right now little trying. 12 we don't have adequate equipment to respond to 13 emergency calls for service. We're using existing 14 street patrol cars, and also the personnel and 15 volunteers are using their own vehicles to respond to 16 some of these areas. 17 Going over the criteria, we're requesting a 18 rescore for item one of 30 to 40. California City has 19 hosted off-highway vehicle events for the last 30

- 20 years, and we continue to do so. Our law enforcement
- 21 has looked into areas to be more efficient. We've
- 22 expanded our volunteer program. Many of our volunteers
- 23 are now becoming reserve police officers and helping
- 24 with law enforcement and our rescue operations in the
- 25 desert.

416

- 1 In criteria number two, request a rescore of 18.
- 2 Again, we have personnel that are using their own
- 3 equipment responding to the emergencies, and we feel
- 4 that this is efficient use. If we were able to attract
- 5 more personnel, more volunteers by not having them use
- 6 their own vehicle, not use their own fuel, we feel we
- 7 can expand the program and be more efficient in the
- 8 long run, but we need to reach that first step of
- 9 having some type of basic equipment there so we can
- 10 draw and make it more appealing for volunteers to come
- 11 in. We do have a small volunteer group of about 15.
- 12 With the growing amount of population, we just need
- 13 more. Thank you.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Public comments?
- 15 Do you have questions for the applicant?
- 16 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Chair Brissenden, I was
- 17 remiss in not bringing something to your attention that
- 18 I think is important. There are at least three
- 19 instances in the supplemental information provided by
- 20 the applicant that referenced information that was
- 21 included in their law enforcement application, not this
- 22 equipment application.

23	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x COMMISSIONER THOMAS: What is the impact of			
24	that?			
25	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: The impact is that 417			
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax			
1	they're looking for credit and subsequent points for			
2	information that had nothing to do with this			
3	application, rather than they're two separate			
4	applications.			
5	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Are you saying that the			
6	information was not relevant to this application			
7	because it was located somewhere else, or it wasn't			
8	accepted because it wasn't relevant?			
9	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: I'm not saying it was not			
10	relevant to this application because it was a separate			
11	application altogether, it was scored separate from			
12	this one.			
13	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Did it answer the question			
14	that was posed to it by your criteria?			
15	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: I believe it did, yes.			
16	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: So the defect was it was			
17	located in the wrong spot?			
18	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Yes.			
19	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: The content of the			
20	information was relevant, it was just found in the			
21	wrong spot?			
22	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Correct. Excuse me, it			
23	was not that it was found in the wrong place. It was			
24	that it was placed in the wrong spot.			
25	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Placed in the wrong spot. 418			
	Page 142			

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

1

Thank you. I got it. I understand. 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Commissioner Prizmich, you 3 have a question for the applicant? COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: On criteria number two, 4 5 you mentioned that you had 15 volunteers. Could you describe what those volunteers do and what their 6 functions are for us, please? 7 8 ERIC HURTADO: Yes, we have evolved from 9 initially all civilian volunteers doing non-enforcement 10 status to -- we completed training with four of them 11 and they're now part-time reserve officers, and we're 12 hoping with expanding the program to get more of the 13 civilian volunteers over into a reserve program to help 14 the law enforcement officers. 15 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: So originally you had 15 volunteers, and out of all of those that were there for 16 17 eyes and ears, I assume, for the regulars that were at the site; is that accurate? 18 ERIC HURTADO: Correct. 19 20 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: And you've transformed 21 those guys at your own cost to -- four of them are 22 reserves, which are actually eyes and ears for you 23 guys, as well, in addition to those volunteers? 24 ERIC HURTADO: Right, and they have peace 25 officers powers. 419

916-492-1010

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

	2007-01-25 ONV CT X
1	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Now, I understand those
2	volunteers augment the law enforcement officers that
3	you have out there dealing with this situation?
4	ERIC HURTADO: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Is that a weekly basis
6	or how often are they utilized?
7	ERIC HURTADO: They're utilized a lot. They're
8	from Labor Day until Memorial Day. If there isn't a
9	set of volunteers out on the weekend, that's a rarity.
10	They'll spend 24 hours a day for three- or four-day
11	holiday weekend responding.
12	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: This is all free of
13	charge to you and to the OHV Division and to the people
14	out there, correct?
15	ERIC HURTADO: Correct.
16	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: And what kind of
17	equipment do they utilize at this point? I mean how is
18	it that they are assisting you, and how is it that
19	you're enforcing the laws out there again? You briefly
20	touched on it, but I would like you to expand on it a
21	little bit more.
22	ERIC HURTADO: We responded to about 800 calls
23	last year. I have really two four-wheel drive units.
24	One is a 1980 something Dodge truck, and one's on Ioan
25	from Kia we have to return. It's on a test program.

- 1 They're responding in their own personal vehicles to
- 2 emergency calls because our patrol cars won't make it
- to some of these trails.
 Page 144 3

- 4 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: What kind of vehicles
- 5 are you --
- 6 ERIC HURTADO: Motorcycle, quads, and their own
- 7 four-wheel drives.
- 8 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Okay. So your
- 9 antiquated four-wheel drives are attempting to overtake
- 10 motorcycles, quads, and other four-wheel drives that
- 11 are set up for off-road, right?
- 12 ERIC HURTADO: We're trying to do just the basic
- 13 of just saving lives right now and getting to the
- 14 scenes of some of these calls. And in between we'll do
- 15 enforcement contacts, arrests. We're overwhelmed right
- 16 now.
- 17 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Okay. I think based on
- 18 that information that we could easily move -- or at
- 19 least I would make a recommendation we can move
- 20 criteria number two from 20 to 18 based on information
- 21 we just heard here.
- 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: 20 to 18, you're suggesting
- 23 item?
- 24 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Five to 18.
- COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Five to 18, I'm sorry,

- 1 the total score would be 20. I would like to move that
- 2 to 18.
- 3 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Item two had a possible of
- 4 20. Staff gave it a five, and you're saying 18?
- 5 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Correct. Could you move
- 6 that back up to number one there, still looking. Page 145

7	So right now your operational costs are being
8	covered by two older pieces of equipment that the city
9	has, that are owned by the city?
10	ERIC HURTADO: One that is owned by the city,
11	one is that on a test program that we're going to have
12	to return soon.
13	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: You basically have one
14	piece of equipment that you're trying to deal with
15	this? The rest are provided by volunteers?
16	ERIC HURTADO: Right. We did receive four quads
17	from the County of Kern I think about four years ago,
18	but when you're on the average
19	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Of course, they gave you
20	brand new quads, correct?
21	ERIC HURTADO: Yes. But when your average
22	response is about 100 to 150 miles a day, riding on the
23	quad gets a little tiring. Some of the inclement
24	weather isn't very pleasing right now to our
25	volunteers.
	42

2

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010

1 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'd like to, just based 2 on that testimony, increase the Division's score by 3 four more points to make that 20 Commission score. And I'd also like to increase Item No. 3 based on the 4 testimony we've had thus far to 15. And I'd like to 5 give the final score an additional three points based 6 7 on the volunteers and the effort that they're putting out of such a small department. I think they're making 8 9 a great effort. I'm not sure what that adds up to.

Page 146

- OHMVR STAFF FREITAS: 10 58. 11 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I was looking at the prior 12 history section, we're shifting criteria now. Pri or 13 history is in what category? 14 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Excuse me, I believe that score is wrong, also. I believe that would be a 63. 15 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: 16 I was just adding it up, 17 63. OHMVR STAFF FREITAS: 18 for the criteria, I 18 19 heard 13. You're correct. 20 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I would like to make 21 that motion. 22 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Second.
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded.
- 24 Under di scussi on?
- 25 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I just have a question. 423

- 1 The information that you provided in the supplementary
- 2 material, I was particularly struck with the mention of
- 3 being able to buy used equipment.
- 4 ERIC HURTADO: Correct.
- 5 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Have you had any real time
- 6 success with that?
- 7 ERIC HURTADO: No, we felt that if we would try
- 8 to get used federal four-wheel drive vehicles, better
- 9 chance of making them last rather than buying a used --
- 10 I'm sorry, a new vehicle for desert patrol. We feel if
- 11 we buy a used vehicle that only has three years on one
- of their programs, that they'll sell to other law Page 147

- 13 enforcement agencies, make the vehicles last at least
- 14 five years.
- 15 VI CE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So it's been moved and
- 17 seconded. Under discussion? Any comments?
- 18 Just one advisory, and that is that just
- 19 guesstimating, we've stolen about two or \$300,000 from
- 20 other grants, so those numbers are going to be floating
- 21 here. I presume since we haven't taken any away from
- 22 any grants yet, so just be...
- 23 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Not relevant. It's that
- 24 competitive process that gets you every time.
- 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: I know, but there's some 424

- 1 people out there thinking they might have gotten away
- 2 with something. That won't be after the end of the
- 3 day.
- 4 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Equity is moving and
- 5 shifting in this business.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Okay. Just a little yellow
- 7 flag. All those in favor?
- 8 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Aye.
- 10 The motion carries.
- 11 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, may I ask
- 12 the staff -- I pulled the application out looking for
- 13 that law enforcement material that was miscategorized
- 14 in the wrong category. Could you tell me what page the
- 15 absent material was, so I can see what you were Page 148

- 16 referring to?
- 17 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: On the supplemental
- 18 application, the supplemental information I don't have
- 19 any page numbers.
- 20 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: It was in the original.
- 21 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: It was in both, I
- 22 believe. They recited some information that was
- 23 provided originally; however, we looked back and found
- 24 that it was, in fact, in their LE application, and I
- 25 apologize, but I don't have reference to the page

425

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 numbers. I can get that for you.
- 2 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: It may be 126 of 312, that
- 3 shows all of the various hours. I'm wondering if
- 4 that's what you're referring to the same data was used
- 5 in two applications and, therefore, it's disqualified?
- 6 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: I don't recall. At the
- 7 time I wrote my notes, I don't believe that was the
- 8 case; however, I would have to go back and look at the
- 9 application to clarify that. I'd be happy to do that
- 10 for you.
- 11 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: It would be appreciated,
- 12 so that we can understand why you analyze things the
- 13 way you do, and it's not intended as a critique. It's
- 14 really to help understand.
- 15 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Sure, I'll be glad to do
- 16 that.
- 17 Next application is line 16, OR-2 Mendocino
- 18 National Park requested \$62,750; received a score of 79 Page 149

- 19 for 78 percent funding or \$48, 125. And I need to draw
- 20 to your attention that under supplemental application,
- 21 the revised scores add up to 91, rather than 86 that
- they wrote down.
- 23 MIKE BURMANN: Good afternoon, Commissioners,
- 24 Mike Burmann, Mendocino National Forest. For this
- 25 particular grant, we originally scored 79 out of a 100,

- 1 and we're requesting that you consider raising that
- 2 score to 84 out of a 100. The justification for that
- 3 would be criteria 3(c). We would like to have two
- 4 points increased in that criteria based on the fact
- 5 that this new piece of equipment will enable the
- 6 district to meet our commitment to complete all of the
- 7 restoration projects that you have so graciously funded
- 8 us in the past two grant cycles, in addition to meeting
- 9 the current state law standards that we have to
- 10 maintain for our designated trail systems.
- 11 For criteria 3(d) we are requesting that you up
- 12 the score on that one by one point based on the fact
- 13 that we had an unusual wet winter last year on the west
- 14 side of the forest, and this contributed significantly
- to accelerated soil erosion on many of our motorized
- 16 trails, in addition to our maintenance level two roads.
- 17 And for criteria number four, and this is some
- 18 new information, we are requesting that you up the
- 19 score by two points because, as I speak right now, our
- 20 primary equipment operator for this piece of equipment
- 21 that we're asking for, is currently getting certified Page 150

- 22 by Cam Lockwood of Trails Unlimited down in Gorman at
- 23 Hungry Valley. He's a Forest Service Enterprise Team
- 24 entrepreneur who has been acknowledged by many people
- as an expert in the development, operation, maintenance

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 of Sweco trail tractors. Any questions? Thank you. 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Public comments? 3 BRENT SCHORADT: Brent Schoradt, with the 4 California Wilderness Coalition. We would like to express our support for this grant, and again reiterate 5 the equipment for this grant, the Sweco equipment, is 6 7 really going to help implement some of the restoration 8 projects that were funded both earlier today and in the 9 meeting back in December. So these folk are doing 10 excellent work. They're really the poster child for successful restoration work in our national forests. 11 12 And I think investing in the proper equipment for them 13 to do their own work more effectively and more 14 specifically is really worth our investment, so thanks. 15 DON AMADOR: Don Amador, Blue Ribbon Coalition, 16 would like to agree with the statements from the 17 California Wilderness Coalition with regard to the need 18 for at least one additional point on this grant. 19 you. 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Commissioners? 21 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I have a question. 22 not quite sure how to address it, maybe Ms. Mick. 23 question has to do with do you have any sense of how

many of these tractors are available for the various Page 151

25 forests throughout the state.

428

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 KATHLEEN MICK: Kathleen Mick, U.S. Forest
- 2 Service. No, I do not. I could probably get you
- 3 something pretty quickly.
- 4 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Two, eight, 20?
- 5 KATHLEEN MICK: I think at least half the
- 6 forests that have OHV programs have Swecos. The
- 7 Sequoia doesn't use a Sweco, they use a mini excavator,
- 8 but I know the LP has one, the Mendocino, the Tahoe, I
- 9 believe the Angeles, San Bernardino. I don't think the
- 10 Clevel and has one. The Eldorado has one. So probably
- 11 of the 19 national forests, maybe half of them have
- 12 Swecos.
- 13 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'm trying to address
- 14 need, relative need. Would it be your goal to have one
- 15 on each forest or will each forest accept there is
- 16 other equipment to use.
- 17 KATHLEEN MICK: If there is a trained operator,
- 18 and the operator has one, been through Cam Lockwood's
- 19 beginning and advanced operator training, and then
- 20 thirdly is following our regional Sweco guidelines that
- 21 were produced a few years ago by Roger Poff, who is a
- 22 soil scientist. Then our ultimate goal would be to
- 23 have one on every district that has a major OHV
- 24 program, for sure on every forest.
- 25 And the reason I say that is because I used to

- 1 work on the Mendocino, and we used to have one. And
- 2 the window for opportunity -- you think you can just
- 3 run those things all over the place and do good work,
- 4 and it just doesn't work that way. The soil and the
- 5 moisture has to be just right to do proper work. So
- 6 when you get into that moisture window, if one person
- 7 has the tractor, but you need it, you've got to wait,
- 8 and then you're in a position where you might actually
- 9 be pushing soil when it's a little bit too dry, you
- 10 don't get proper compaction, and you're actually doing
- 11 more harm than good.
- 12 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. I understand. So
- down the road, we're expecting more applications for
- 14 Swecos.
- 15 KATHLEEN MICK: No, I wouldn't expect that
- 16 because a lot of forests, for instance the Clevel and
- 17 and others, take advantage of Cam Lockwood, who is an
- 18 enterprise team, and he contracts his services out.
- 19 And so we do the best that we can to keep him in
- 20 California. He's highly popular around the whole
- 21 United States, and not just for Forest Service but for
- 22 other agencies, as well. He's done work in Alaska, all
- 23 over the country, and he's known for his trail building
- 24 techniques. As a matter of fact, I think he either is
- 25 or may be or has finished doing work for the state at 430

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 Carnegie. He's done work at other SVRAs. He's trained Page 153

- 2 the Division staff in maintenance.
- 3 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: So what percentage in the
- 4 future would you expect you might contract work and for
- 5 what extent would you get your own equipment?
- 6 KATHLEEN MICK: To some extent, it's up to the
- 7 forest. And I know the reason the Mendocino is asking
- 8 for a tractor is because theirs is quite old, it's out
- 9 of date, if that's what they're asking for, and it's
- 10 time for replacement. And so there's the replacement
- 11 factor of those that have them to keep them in good
- 12 working order, and then I wouldn't anticipate anybody
- 13 asking for a new one that maybe doesn't have because we
- 14 are trying to contract, to the best of our ability,
- 15 because sometimes we don't actually have the people to
- 16 do the work that are properly trained. So we're trying
- 17 to mix and match. I know that's not your perfect
- answer you're looking for, but that's what we're doing.
- 19 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: No, I'm trying to find out
- 20 what you're doing.
- 21 KATHLEEN MI CK: Okay.
- 22 VI CE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Thank you.
- 23 KATHLEEN MICK: You're welcome.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: The pleasure?
- 25 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I think this is a real

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 good application, and I think it does have some holes
- 2 in it, unfortunately. I think 79 is a good score, so
- 3 I'll move the staff recommendation.
- 4 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Second.

5	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Moved and seconded. All	
6	those in favor?	
7	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)	
8	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.	
9	Next, Larry.	
10	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Chair Brissenden, I think	
11	I found the information that Commissioner Thomas is	
12	looking for regarding California City.	
13	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: We are going to take a break	
14	in about 15 minutes. If you don't mind, you can share	
15	it with him then.	
16	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Okay.	
17	The next grant we'll be taking up is line 23,	
18	OR-795, San Bernardino County Sheriff, Victor Valley	
19	requested \$165,741; received a score of 52 for 50	
20	percent funding.	
21	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Excuse me, I think you	
22	might have missed one, OR-1-CD-373 Palm Springs.	
23	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Excuse me, line 18,	
24	OR-1-CD-373, BLM Palm Springs, South Coast Field Office	
25	requested \$37,380; received a score of 57 for 50	
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax	
1	percent funding for \$18,690.	
2	MONA DANIELS: We were not going to address	
3	this. We accepted this one, as you figured.	
4	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's showing as being off of	
5	Consent, so the pleasure of the Commission.	
6	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: It's off Consent, so we	

Page 155

have to hear it. It is off Consent.

0	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x
8	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: But if you don't want to say
9	anything, we'll move right along.
10	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: If you're fine with the
11	staff's recommendation I was prepared to increase
12	it, but if you're fine with the staff recommendation.
13	MONA DANIELS: No, definitely. This is our NICO
14	routes. We had NICO designation in 2002, and we are
15	right now on the verge of implementing all of our
16	signing from the northeastern corner of Riverside
17	county where the Palm Springs BLM office ends, coming
18	down to the Riverside Big Marias and on over toward the
19	Mecacopia Special Recreation Area. These are a
20	necessity. Both the staff that's hooked to this as
21	well as the equipment, the carsonites, the sticky
22	labels, the interpretive signing that would be involved
23	on this grant is vital to addressing. We're now
24	getting heavily hit with OHV out along the Colorado
25	corridor area. So not only would this help benefit our 433
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	office, but probably also the Yuma Field Office
2	corridor sections, as well as maybe even underlying
3	areas of effect coming in from Needles. Mona Daniels,
4	Palm Springs.
5	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any public comment?
6	JOHN STEWART: John Stewart, California
7	Association of 4-Wheel Drives and United 4-Wheel Drive
8	Associations. Yes, we concur with the Palm Springs
9	Field Office that this is a very important item and

Palm Springs has made

something that needs to be done.

- 11 a tremendous effort to work with the various user
- 12 groups out there. They've been able to, you know,
- 13 create several Adopt a Trails. So their partnership
- 14 building with the users in that area has been a
- 15 pleasure to work with them. The clubs like the area,
- 16 and the one thing is is this area is growing for
- 17 recreational opportunities, where people are going
- 18 there to seek recreational opportunity. And having the
- 19 proper signage on the ground is extremely important in
- 20 order to protect the several wilderness areas that are
- 21 within the Palm Springs Field Office. So we support an
- 22 increase in the scores in order to bring it up to a
- 23 point where, yes, they need the equipment, they need
- 24 it, and it is critical in order to move ahead to
- 25 protect the resources. Thank you.

434

- 1 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners?
- 2 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'll move staff
- 3 recommendations.
- 4 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Second.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded
- 6 for staff recommendation. Under discussion?
- 7 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I think this warrants an
- 8 increased score. I can either do it as an amendment or
- 9 you can amend the motion after you hear what my
- 10 recommendation is.
- 11 I don't understand why this grant scored so low
- 12 in the first category. It was scored a 17 out of 40
- 13 regarding the ability to cover operational costs, which

- 14 the Division staff analysis says specifically they can
- 15 cover the operational costs. And ability to provide
- 16 staffing without reliance on OHV funds, they say
- 17 they're going to provide a full-time BLM employee Sweco
- 18 tractor operator. So based on that, I guess I have a
- 19 hard time understanding why that scored so low. Maybe
- 20 the applicant, you can address.
- 21 MONA DANIELS: Well, I know the Sweco tractor is
- 22 connected to one of the other projects inside the grant
- 23 program for this year, and so both the tractor
- 24 equipment and the trails program were all tied
- 25 together. We unfortunately scored, we feel, too low on $\frac{1}{43}$

- 1 the trail maintenance project, and so right now this
- 2 was, I think, maybe the three of them tied together is
- 3 why we're scoring low.
- 4 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Do you have the ability
- 5 to cover the operational costs associated with the
- 6 equipment grant?
- 7 MONA DANIELS: Yes, we do. We also have Desert
- 8 Side Tracks who was in my office two days ago saying
- 9 when are the signs coming in so we can get out there
- 10 and start work. So we've got a fairly large volunteer
- 11 group that's also waiting to get busy on this, so.
- 12 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Based on that, I would
- 13 like to increase that to 35 out of 40.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Are we getting concurrence
- 15 from the motioner?
- 16 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No.

17	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You'd need to make an	
18	amendment.	
19	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I'll make an amendment.	
20	Item one, I'll increase that to a score of 35 out of	
21	40. Regarding that this is an efficient use of funds,	
22	to me this is a particularly efficient use of funds in	
23	that small investment in signing will help riders stay	
24	on the designated routes, reduce your enforcement	
25	costs. Can you speak to whether this is cost 436	
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax	
1	effective, whether using any volunteers or	
2	partnerships, whether this is going to reduce your	
3	maintenance costs down the road?	
4	MONA DANIELS: Oh, definitely. We also know	
5	that well, we definitely feel this is also going to	
6	reduce our restoration costs down the road. We have a	
7	number of routes, both primary and secondary routes.	
8	We're seeing some impacts, some third- and fourth-level	
9	routes starting to occur basically because the	
10	secondaries they get lost on. And so we really need to	
11	address the secondary routes, and that's what this	
12	would definitely be doing, so.	
13	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I move to increase that	
14	to 20 out of 20.	
15	And finally the last two items of the proposed	
16	equipment purchase address a specific need, I mean I	
17	guess I have a hard time imagining a need more specific	
18	than this item. I would increase number three to 30	
19	out of 30 And adequate staffing I will leave that	

2007-01-25 OHV C1 x one alone at four. I don't know what that adds up to, 20 21 I'll move that as an amendment for a score of 89. COMMISSIONER THOMAS: 22 Second. 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: We have an amendment and the 24 motion and a second. All those in favor of the 25 amendment? 437 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 1 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.) 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? 3 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: No. COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No. 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So the original motion with the amendment, all those in favor? 6 7 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.) CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? 8 9 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: 10 No. CHAIR BRISSENDEN: The motion carries with the 11 12 amendment. OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Next application is line 13 14 23, OR-795, San Bernardino County Sheriff, Victor 15 Valley requested \$165,741; received a score of 52 for 16 50 percent funding for \$82,871. And on the 17 supplemental information for this application, again 18 like with California City, they referenced information 19 that was found in their law enforcement application. 20 And this time I do have some numbers for you, pages 16

22 SHANNON DICUS: Commissioners, I'm Sergeant
Page 160

and 18 of their original application.

- 23 Dicus from the Victor Valley Station, San Bernardino
- 24 County Sheriff's Department. In your supplemental
- 25 information, tab number eight is the scoring rationale 438

- 1 for our increased scores.
- 2 Under criteria number one, we've identified both
- 3 (a) and (b) and increased the score, but for the sake
- 4 of brevity, I would like to also address the areas that
- 5 staff stated that we didn't address some of these
- 6 items.
- 7 On criteria number two, contributes to reduced
- 8 costs of maintaining roads, trails, and areas. Under
- 9 page 16, Victor Valley Station's jurisdiction contains
- 10 the largest OHV areas in the United States. The BLM is
- 11 tasked with providing law enforcement services for
- 12 these areas. Victor Valley Station continues to
- 13 provide law enforcement services in OHV areas to assist
- 14 the BLM and allow them to address other concerns such
- 15 as wilderness intrusion, vegetation destruction, and
- 16 trail maintenance.
- 17 In another area, item (c), the applicant did not
- 18 address this item. The emergency equipment will be
- 19 added to all OHV vehicles at department expense,
- 20 covered in page 68. The Victor Valley Station has
- 21 augmented grant funding with proposed funding from BLM
- 22 to assist with large events. Victor Valley is working
- 23 on reducing costs by setting up accounts with our local
- 24 courts to use funds from OHV violation fines to assist
- 25 enforcement costs.

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Slow down, sir, slow down.
2	We are trying to follow the process.
3	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: We appreciate your
4	efficiencies, but they're having difficulty keeping up.
5	SHANNON DICUS: Under the same criteria (c),
6	we've also contracted with the different motorcycle
7	clubs putting on events to pay for law enforcement
8	services. The primary ones are the Atlanto Grand Prix
9	and the Desert Vipers Motorcycle Club.
10	Under the same criteria, section (d), line by
11	line, specifically and this is borne out of experience
12	with law enforcement as it relates to OHV enforcement,
13	I could have easily drafted the document to include
14	sheriff's department radios and radio systems that are
15	expensive. There is other civilian equipment out there
16	that would work, and it's a quarter of the cost, and
17	that's what we've outlined in this particular grant.
18	Also, we asked for utility-type ATVs, commonly referred
19	to as Rangers and Rhinos. We're using four-wheel drive
20	patrol vehicles that are set up with \$60,000 worth of
21	equipment to drive in and get these suspects from
22	remote areas. Vehicles like this, again borne out of
23	experience in enforcing these things, allows to take a
24	\$10,000 vehicle, go in and use the wear and tear on it
25	and bring them back to command post areas.

1	Under criteria three, section (a), the amount of
2	existing recreational use, Victor Valley Sheriff
3	Station's jurisdiction covers more than basically 1500
4	square miles of desert land. Many of these areas are
5	extremely remote. The greater Victor Valley region
6	contains the largest OHV recreation areas in the
7	continental United States. This particular aspect
8	draws OHV enthusiasts from surrounding areas of
9	San Diego, Orange, Los Angeles and Riverside Counties.
10	The Johnson Valley open area at 188,374 acres is the
11	largest designated OHV area known to exist.
12	The equipment will repair under section (c),
13	again criteria number three, it says that we did not
14	address this item. The equipment will repair, restore
15	damage or extend the useful life of roads, trails and
16	areas. Again, the Victor Valley jurisdiction contains
17	the largest OHV areas. And by us providing law
18	enforcement services out there will free up the BLM to
19	actually take care of wilderness intrusions, vegetation
20	destruction and trail maintenance.
21	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Let me interrupt you. Did
22	you address this item in your application?
23	SHANNON DICUS: Yes, and we specifically
24	reference the page numbers on the supplemental
25	information that we provided at the south meeting. 441
	SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Give us the tab number

2 again on the supplemental.

3 SHANNON DICUS: It was tab number eight under Page 163

- 4 the supplemental information.
- 5 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Next time, it's easier to
- 6 hand them out. We're working off of four volumes.
- 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Mr. Prizmich, so long as
- 8 we're researching, go ahead with your question.
- 9 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I have a question for
- 10 you. You glossed over it rather quickly. I'm not
- 11 sure, but I thought I heard you say that you've
- 12 encouraged or had some of your off-road groups to put
- 13 on fund raisers to pay for law enforcement services?
- 14 SHANNON DICUS: Actually, contracts. We have
- 15 large events, and the one I referenced was the Adalanto
- 16 Grand Prix, and there are 20 to 40,000 enthusiasts that
- 17 show up to this event at any given time during the
- 18 year. They have this event in February, and it's an
- 19 event put on by the Desert Viper Motorcycle Club. In
- 20 the past, they have not had to pay for law enforcement
- 21 services for that except for the last two years. We've
- 22 contracted with them, so we're not using any of the OHV
- 23 funds and the specifically trained deputy sheriffs to
- 24 patrol that event. A direct reflection of that, we
- 25 hear the pit bikes are a very popular right now, the

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 9

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 small little mini bikes that everybody rides. We had
- 2 17 of them stolen. The following year we only had one
- 3 bike stolen, and the last year we had zero.
- 4 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Good.
- 5 SHANNON DICUS: In continuing, under section
- 6 (e), use of volunteers and other low-cost labor. Page 164

	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x
7	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Just a second, please.
8	One question, staff has referenced page 16, and you
9	have referenced page 16, and when I go to the
10	application, there is no page 16, so. There are
11	numbers at the bottom, and there are numbers at the
12	top, the small print at top. The application starts at
13	281 of 388.
14	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: That 281 is the page
15	number of the books we put together that are comprised
16	of a bunch of different applications.
17	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: How does page 16
18	translate into the book I have for the 281 series?
19	OHMVR STAFF ROACH: It's at the bottom.
20	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: At the bottoms they're not
21	sequential. If you look at the bottom of these things,
22	there's six, seven, then it goes to 68.
23	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Those are just the parts
24	of the application that we felt necessary to put in
25	this. They should be sequential with some numbers 443
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 missing in between.

2 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: So where would 16 be

3 between 68 and seven?

4 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Page 294 on the top.

5 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Thank you very much.

6 That's what I was looking for. All right.

7 So let me ask staff again. So staff's point was

8 when they said in the analysis, the applicant does not

9 address this section, and then the applicant comes back Page 165

- 10 and says, I addressed it at page 16, how do we
- 11 reconcile those two comments? That would be my
- 12 question of staff.
- 13 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: When we scored the
- 14 applications, we were held to the information
- 15 contained, and there are page limits for each
- 16 application type, and we were held to using the
- 17 information submitted in the application for a specific
- 18 grant. I believe if we went to another application,
- 19 but a project type from the same applicant, that it
- 20 could give them an unfair advantage by exceeding the
- 21 page limits.
- 22 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I understand that. My
- 23 question is if in the staff analysis you say the
- 24 applicant did not address the item, the applicant comes
- 25 back and says at page 16 I said something, and here it 444

- 1 is in the box. Now I've filled out that original
- 2 application, I found the paragraph finally in the text,
- 3 why does the paragraph that they have in the text not
- 4 address the question?
- 5 CHIEF JENKINS: That's why he was trying to
- 6 explain that why we couldn't consider it while we were
- 7 scoring it on our teams because we were only scoring
- 8 each application as a stand alone application within
- 9 those page limitations. So when they were scoring this
- 10 particular project, they could not look outside the
- 11 page limitations at companion projects for the same
- 12 agency. The applicant here today is now presenting Page 166

- 13 that information to you as additional information, so
- 14 you're able to consider that here. We didn't have that
- 15 flexibility as we were going through the scoring
- 16 process.
- 17 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I see. I see, got it.
- 18 Thank you. Now, I understand.
- 19 Did you in your original application make a
- 20 reference to information in your enforcement grant or
- 21 did you just repeat it?
- 22 SHANNON DICUS: In many of the sections, because
- 23 of page limitations, we referred to the enforcement
- 24 grant. I mean we're reinventing the wheel that we
- 25 already put on the car.

445

- 1 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Okay.
- 2 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: That's pretty common.
- 3 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: That's how I fill out
- 4 applications, see page 32, attached.
- 5 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: In law enforcement
- 6 circles, that's pretty common when they're referring
- 7 back, especially to a face page. Rather than repeat
- 8 information that's already contained in a page or two
- 9 prior to there, they'll refer back to whatever number
- 10 it was.
- 11 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Right, I got it. So now
- 12 we have to unravel the construction here, okay.
- 13 SHANNON DICUS: Under criteria (e), the use of
- 14 volunteers or low-cost labor, we stated that we use
- 15 sheriff's reserves 60 percent of the time. We use your Page 167

- 16 sheriff's Arrow Squadron, which is a squadron that's on
- 17 the ground not paid for by the sheriff's department as
- 18 far as the vehicles are concerned, but we do pay for
- 19 their fuel. Their primary mission is if an aircraft
- 20 goes down, they try to find the beacon. But while they
- 21 are sitting there, we use them for OHV enforcement
- 22 also. Put them in the air, and they can assist us, and
- 23 again we pay for the fuel. We also use inmate labor to
- 24 clean and conduct minor equipment maintenance, again at
- 25 no cost.

446

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 Under criteria number three, I think I already
- 2 addressed this. Under criteria three, section (d),
- 3 unusual soil, topography and other resource conditions,
- 4 stated we did not address this item. The Victor Valley
- 5 Station again has jurisdiction over the Johnson Valley,
- 6 which houses two areas of environmental critical
- 7 concern. One at Soggy Dry Lake, the Criasoke Rings,
- 8 and again at Johnson Valley, the Yucca rings which are
- 9 bullitiny vegetative assemblages.
- 10 And that pretty much covers it. Also, I'd like
- 11 to point out that the Victor Valley Station has never
- 12 used the equipment grant process as, quote/unquote, a
- 13 cash cow. We also contribute to this. In the same
- 14 application, I stated that we would produce a van, a
- 15 cargo van to use as a tow vehicle. That was purchased
- 16 two months ago and is in the fleet and dedicated to OHV
- 17 enforcement. Motorcycles that we ride, they're ten
- 18 years old, but purchased that also out of our own Page 168

19	station	money
1 /	Station	monic y.

- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Any public
- 21 comments on this? Commissioners?
- 22 BRENT SCHORADT: I'm Brent Schoradt with the
- 23 California Wilderness Coalition, and we'd just like to
- 24 express our support for this grant, particularly the
- 25 fact, I think under category three, the testimony that 447

- 1 we've heard today in terms of patrolling the use area
- 2 of Johnson Valley, and also keeping vehicles out of
- 3 ACECs in the vicinity of Johnson Valley is a specific
- 4 need that's needed out there in Victor Valley. And I
- 5 think they got nine out of 30 on that, if you could
- 6 improve the score to 29 out of 30, since we did hear
- 7 that specific need that would improve the score to at
- 8 least 72 out of a 100. Thanks.
- 9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners?
- 10 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: If I might bring up
- 11 again something that I've talked about time and time
- 12 again, and that is the use of Aero Squadrons as a cost
- 13 effective means of dealing with wilderness intrusions
- 14 and dealing with just general safety issues. This is
- an example of an outstanding use of the Arrow Squadron,
- 16 and I think you've got San Bernardino County that's
- 17 putting all efforts forward to maximize the enforcement
- 18 effort out in Victor Valley and doing it at a very cost
- 19 effective rate. I mean I can't commend them high
- 20 enough. So I would like to see, as a result of their
- 21 partnerships and reduced alliance on OHV funds, I mean Page 169

- 22 raising monies out of venues to pay for law enforcement
- 23 services, we really need to be rewarding these guys.
- 24 And so I'd like to see under criteria two, subsection
- 25 (b), that portion increased five more points. In lieu 448

- 1 of funds under number (c), another five points for use
- 2 of --
- 3 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: That's 2(c)?
- 4 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'm sorry, 2(c). Did I
- 5 say 3(c)?
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You only have one more point
- 7 to work with there.
- 8 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I only got one more
- 9 point, I'll find it, believe me. Of course, the use of
- 10 volunteers is also in the forefront with law
- 11 enforcement, and these guys have exemplified that.
- 12 Again, Aero Squadron is free. I want to emphasize
- 13 that.
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Aaron, I think he was
- 15 shooting for 20 there, actually five more points in
- 16 those two categories.
- 17 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Did I make it?
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: If I was counting right, you
- 19 did, but I'll be corrected shortly, I'm sure.
- 20 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: And Brent brought up on
- 21 criteria three -- what was your suggestion, Brent?
- 22 BRENT SCHORADT: Specific need.
- 23 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: 29?
- 24 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Yes, it was 29 out of 30. Page 170

916-492-1222 Fax

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

assessment based on the testimony provided by the 1 2 sergeant. So what does that come out to? 3 OHMVR STAFF PEREZ: Sheriff Prizmich, could you 4 please repeat your score for criteria number one? 5 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I didn't change criteria 6 number one at all. Two would be 20, and three would be 7 29. CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So you should have 73 as your 8 9 final score. COMMISSIONER THOMAS: With the final criteria, I 10 11 would suggest ten out of ten based on the staffing 12 discussions we've heard in the testimony, that would be the fourth criteria, if the maker of the motion would 13 14 accept that? 15 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'll accept that. 16 OHMVR STAFF FREITAS: That's a total score of 17 83? 18 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Is that right, that's 83? 19 The final criteria was ten, right? That's a 20 staffing discussion that we had heard from the 21 sheriff's representative. 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So is that one of your 23 motions? 24 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: And I second the motion. 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So we're at 86. All those in 450

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

Page 171

877-453-1010

916-492-1010

1	favor?
2	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
3	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?
4	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Abstain.
5	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Abstain.
6	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So we have a four, and two
7	abstentions. The motion carries.
8	The scribe is moaning over here with her hands
9	aching, so we will break for about 12 minutes.
10	(Break taken in proceedings.)
11	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: We begin again.
12	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: Line 25, OR-818,
13	San Bernardino County Sheriff, Barstow requested amount
14	was \$148,500. They received a score of 55, and the
15	funding of 50 percent was \$74,250.
16	DOUG HUBBARD: Thank you, members of the
17	Commission. My name is Doug Hubbard. I'm a sergeant
18	with the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department,
19	Barstow Station. And when I get started here, you're
20	going to hear many of the same things from me as you
21	heard from my peer, Sergeant Shannon Dicus, with our
22	volunteers and some of the tools we use because we're
23	with the same organization. We use many of them, so
24	bear with me. I just wanted to touch on a couple of
25	thi ngs.
	451
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

On our equipment grant we received an overall
Page 172

- 2 score of 55 out of a 100, and I suspect you'll find tab
- 3 nine in your binders. At one of the other meetings, I
- 4 provided some information for your review, and I'm
- 5 going to briefly touch on just a few of those things.
- 6 In section one, we received a score of 20 out of 40,
- 7 and in subsection (a), it talked about the ability to
- 8 cover operational costs, and the rationale from the
- 9 Division, at that time I agreed with, their rationale
- 10 has the applicant has a very structured maintenance
- 11 plan, conducted regular maintenance on all equipment.
- 12 I just wanted to add into that, and I guess you could
- 13 consider it as new information. But our particular
- 14 station in Barstow, we have an automotive budget of a
- 15 \$190,000 per year overall for our entire motor budget.
- 16 That's a significant pool of money to provide
- 17 maintenance from and we do it regularly. So I just
- 18 wanted to throw that in there. That part of it wasn't
- 19 in the original grant. I did feel that even the
- 20 Division's rationale was acceptable to receive a higher
- 21 score in that category.
- 22 And in subsection (b) as well, the rationale
- 23 from the Division was that the applicant is committed
- to provide staffing without relying on OHV funds, and
- 25 staffing includes deputies and volunteers. I felt that 452

- 1 was a fair enough rationale to receive a score of 35
- 2 out of 40 at a minimum.
- In section two, we received a score of 16 out of
- 4 20. I just touched briefly on that, potentially a

- 5 score of 18 out of 20. And more specifically in
- 6 subsection (a), where it says, contributes to reduced
- 7 cost of maintaining roads, trails and areas, the
- 8 Division's rationale was that we didn't address that
- 9 item. And I spoke of it before in other applications.
- 10 But our overall efforts and longstanding
- 11 accomplishments, as outlined in the application as a
- 12 whole, we feel reduces the cost associated with
- 13 maintenance through our enforcement efforts, and I felt
- 14 I covered that.
- 15 And section (e) there, use of volunteers or
- 16 other low-cost labor, we had included some information
- 17 in the PAR of the application. But again as mentioned
- 18 by one of the other applicants, our Victor Valley, our
- 19 sister section, the reserve deputies and the Aero
- 20 Squadron and things like that, we use endlessly in
- 21 areas like Dumont Dunes. And more specifically the
- 22 Aero Squadron for us this past year developed a grid
- 23 program for a GPS system so that we can respond to
- 24 calls timely with a GPS system using a grid map. And
- 25 if you're familiar with Dumont Dunes -- I know John

453

- 1 Pelonio has been to Dumont, that is a significant thing
- 2 when you can narrow a section of Dumont Dunes down to a
- 3 grid. So we feel that's very significant use of
- 4 volunteer time.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Please summarize.
- 6 DOUG HUBBARD: Yes, I'm sorry. Section three,
- 7 we received a score of 13 out of 30, and that was

- 8 probably the biggest one from my perspective. And I
- 9 will I'll expedite here. But we cover an area of
- 10 10,000 square miles out of our jurisdiction. The
- 11 existing recreational use is probably the largest in
- 12 the country. From my jurisdiction for 10,000 square
- 13 miles, I don't know if there is anything larger. And,
- 14 again, the Atalanto Grand Prix, we also provide
- 15 assistance to the City of Atalanto for that. We see
- 16 hundreds of thousands of visitors to our jurisdiction,
- 17 and I felt that a higher score was warranted out of
- 18 that. That 13 out of 30, I felt was relatively low.
- 19 And lastly on question four, we received a six
- 20 out of ten. I truly felt that that warranted a score
- 21 of ten out of ten. We have a very, very dedicated
- 22 staff to our OHV team. We just increased the numbers
- 23 to eight deputies to provide additional resources to
- 24 our team. The equipment is critical to making that
- 25 happen for us. It's in use for five years on a grant

- 1 program, and it's just really getting old.
- 2 I think that about covers it. We just truly
- 3 encourage the Commission to consider rescoring our
- 4 grant to get us in the game so we can stay active for
- 5 the recreation enthusiasts of San Bernardino County.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
- 7 BRENT SCHORADT: Brent Schoradt with the
- 8 California Wilderness Coalition, and we support this
- 9 grant because the San Bernardino County Sheriff's
- 10 Department has done a great job of patrolling not just

- 11 Dumont Dunes and the use area down there, but also the
- 12 private property and the local community residents down
- 13 in Red Mountain. And I think just the specific need of
- 14 balancing both providing opportunities and patrolling
- 15 the use areas and also patrolling the private property
- 16 and the community areas, it's a specific need that
- 17 deserves to be funded. And we recommend 15 additional
- 18 points under category three, because of that specific
- 19 need, which would bring the score up to at least a 70
- 20 out of a 100. Thanks.
- 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. One more public
- 22 comment?
- 23 JEREMY VASQUEZ: My name Jeremy Vasquez. I'm a
- 24 deputy sheriff in San Bernardino County, currently
- assigned out of the Barstow Station. I'm currently a $^{\prime}$

- 1 reserve coordinator and member of the OHV team. Just
- 2 like to add that, as Sergeant Hubbard said, our
- 3 equipment is getting very old. This last year, we have
- 4 a toy box hauler, which is used as a command post, has
- 5 an office and cargo space for four quads and a sand
- 6 rail. This last year, because our equipment is getting
- 7 used so much in areas that are bumpy and it's getting
- 8 worn out, this last year, with the services of a local
- 9 citizen, was able to replace our rear door, which is
- 10 also the ramp on our trailer, this year which was a
- 11 necessity. Also, the quads that we currently have are
- 12 outdated and are now having a difficult time keeping up
- 13 with the newer technology such as the 450s and the

- 14 750s. So, like I said, I would just like to humbly ask
- 15 you guys to reconsider the scores on this grant. Thank
- 16 you.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners?
- 18 Mr. Prizmich.
- 19 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Sergeant, could I have
- 20 you come back up here again?
- 21 DOUG HUBBARD: Yes, sir.
- 22 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: On category one, item
- 23 (a), you mentioned -- I wasn't real clear on this. You
- 24 mentioned something about you had a maintenance budget
- of a \$190,000. Is that budget devoted to OHV?

456

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 DOUG HUBBARD: I wish.
- 2 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Is part of it devoted to
- 3 OHV repairs?
- 4 DOUG HUBBARD: Yes, and regularly used for that.
- 5 Our entire station automotive budget with 15 police
- 6 cars, tires and oil changes and things, but a large
- 7 portion -- a portion of that also provides the service
- 8 for the quads and the sand rail and tires and fuel,
- 9 mostly the fuel.
- 10 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Those of you who know
- 11 anything about off-road vehicles, they do take in terms
- 12 of maintenance quite a bit more maintenance than your
- 13 average car.
- Now, the individuals that are actually doing the
- 15 maintenance, the county workers that are providing
- 16 that, is that also provided free of charge to the...

	0007 04 05 010/ 04
17	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x DOUG HUBBARD: We limit unlike Victor Valley,
18	they may use more. We limit the inmate worker
19	productivity hours. They do wash the vehicles and
20	clean the vehicles. We have an automotive tech, a
21	county employee at our station.
22	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: That's actually what I'm
23	referring to.
24	DOUG HUBBARD: But our reserve deputies do most
25	of the work themselves, repair work. 457
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: So we're getting a
2	pretty good bang for the buck.
3	DOUG HUBBARD: Absolutely.
4	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: And you requested an
5	increase on criteria one to 35 points is what you're
6	requesting?
7	DOUG HUBBARD: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: And as far as the Aero
9	Squadron, you mentioned the grid pattern. How long did
10	it take for your Aero Squadron to accomplish that?
11	Could you hazard a guess?
12	DOUG HUBBARD: One of the volunteers, to my
13	understanding, he's worked on this for months. It took
14	a lot of programing, as I understand it. I'm not
15	familiar with how it works. But the ultimate outcome
16	of it was that our deputies have GPS units, handheld
17	GPS units. The information that he had produced in a
18	laptop computer or some sort of terminal that they use
19	in the airplane, mapping system, if you will, I think

- 20 is what he referred to it as, that information was then
- 21 downloaded to our handheld GPS units, that could be
- 22 attached to the vehicles.
- 23 Wilderness intrusion is a huge thing for the
- 24 Aero Squadron. They can cover a lot of very
- 25 treacherous terrain out in that area, look for

458

- 1 wilderness intrusion, report that grid pattern, if you
- 2 will, to our ground units who can immediately look at
- 3 their GPS unit or punch in what he tells you to within
- 4 10 feet, for example, and respond right to that area,
- 5 as well as medical emergencies. It was two parts. It
- 6 was the wilderness intrusion, primarily, and then the
- 7 emergency response to medical emergencies and things
- 8 like that. It's very difficult for the air unit to
- 9 tell us the third bush from the left, you know, in a
- 10 Dumont Dunes environment. So it was very, very
- 11 helpful.
- 12 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: In Amador County, it's
- 13 usually the oak tree on the left-hand side. And you're
- 14 requesting -- you think I'm kidding, too. And you're
- 15 requesting 18 points out of that item in criteria
- 16 number two?
- 17 DOUG HUBBARD: Yes.
- 18 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: And then finally in
- 19 criteria number three, you mentioned that you're one of
- 20 the largest, if not the largest, recreational areas in
- 21 the nation.
- 22 DOUG HUBBARD: Well, at least

- jurisdictional-wise we cover 10,000 square miles. I
- 24 don't know about legal opportunity, but certainly
- 25 illegally and illegal opportunity.

25

459

916-492-1222 Fax

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

1 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: It wouldn't be incorrect 2 to say you're one of the largest. 3 DOUG HUBBARD: Absolutely, Dumont Dunes is San Bernardino's version of Gramis. 4 5 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'd like to make a 6 motion. 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: PI ease. 8 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: With the exploration of 9 the testimony that I just went through, I'd like to 10 change criteria one to a Commission score of 35, so 11 increase it 15. Criteria number two, I'd like to 12 change that to a score of 18, so that would be an increase of two. And on criteria three, I'd like to 13 14 change that adding 15 points to the 13 that are already there, so make that 28, is that what it is? And I'd 15 like to increase the final item to 8. 16 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Final tally is? 18 OHMVR STAFF FREITAS: 89. 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So there is a motion. 20 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: That's my motion. 21 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Second. 22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion and second. All those 23 in favor? 24 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Wait a minute, I have one

question. Sorry, Doug, just one question.

Page 180

Can you

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

1	tell	me	what	ki nd	of	publ i c	noti fi cati on	you	use	wi th
---	------	----	------	-------	----	----------	-----------------	-----	-----	-------

- 2 this volunteer blimp.
- 3 DOUG HUBBARD: The blimp is actually ironically
- 4 very, very successful for us. At Dumont Dunes, and
- other events that are desert related, we put that blimp 5
- up. It's a lighted 25-foot helium blimp with a 18 --6
- 24-inch sheriff letters on the side of it. We started 7
- 8 using that a couple of years ago with the lighting
- 9 equipment that's in it. It has a 1,000 watt halogen
- 10 bulb that goes in it, and at night literally on a
- Dumont Dunes holiday weekend, we will get a dozen or 11
- 12 more enthusiasts who focus on that blimp when they need
- 13 help and they can find our command post. Quite
- 14 literally in the middle of nowhere, we've located
- 15 missing people who walked up to our command post
- 16 because they saw that lighted blimp 250 feet in the
- 17 ai r.
- VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: So it's not like your 18
- running scrolling letters across it, but it's just 19
- 20 lighted?
- DOUG HUBBARD: Yes, it's lighted with a halogen 21
- 22 bulb that goes inside of it and it's 200 feet. That
- 23 would be, though, the scrolling letters.
- 24 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: No, this is a person who
- 25 likes the surrounding wilderness. I'm not quite so

461

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x
1	sure I'm
2	DOUG HUBBARD: We use it for safety and really
3	lets the enthusiasts know where we are at.
4	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: 250 feet up is probably
5	not so bad. Much higher than that, I'm going to start
6	complaining about disturbing the surrounding wilderness
7	areas. Okay. Thank you.
8	DOUG HUBBARD: Thank you.
9	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Okay. We have a motion and a
10	second. All those in favor?
11	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
12	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
13	OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: The final equipment
14	application is line 29, OR-785, Yucca Valley Police
15	Department requested \$10,834; received a score of 53
16	for 50 percent funding or \$5,417. And in looking at
17	their supplemental application information, it looks
18	like quite a bit of the information they provided was
19	not provided in the original application.
20	RICHARD COLLINS: Richard Collins, County of
21	Yucca Valley Police Department. Good afternoon,
22	Commissioners. I'd like to provide some additional
23	information. Under 1(b), I would like to expand, the
24	applicant currently employs 50 sworn patrol deputies

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

462

1 educational purposes. The department incurs the costs

2 associated in training these personnel. Actual field

who can all be utilized for OHV enforcement,

25

3 enforcement relies on state OHV fund outside the normal Page 182

- 4 work group to utilize these personnel.
- 5 Under 2(a), consistent enforcement of OHV laws.
- 6 The OHV users are directed to using designated trails
- 7 and roadways thereby reducing damage and deterioration
- 8 of the roadways, trails, and other environmentally
- 9 sensitive areas.
- 10 Under 2(c), I would like to add that the
- 11 applicant would respond to emergencies and assist other
- 12 agencies such as BLM, CHP, code enforcement, and the
- 13 National Park Service. The funding from this grant
- 14 provides additional resources to respond to remote
- 15 areas of the Morongo Basin where OHV activities occur.
- 16 These law enforcement personnel are trained in all
- 17 aspects of emergency response. The Town of Yucca
- 18 Valley and the City of Twentynine Palms occasionally
- 19 have state SLESF grant funds available to assist with
- 20 illegal OHV enforcement activity.
- 21 Under 2(d), dual sport motorcycles are the most
- 22 efficient method of patrolling remote OHV use areas
- 23 while allowing travel over paved roadways to get from
- 24 one area to another.
- Under 2(e), I'd like to add the Morongo Basin

463

916-492-1222 Fax

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 Station currently has eight volunteer groups consisting
- 2 of in excess of 200 volunteers. This includes search
- 3 and rescue, mounted search and rescue and six citizens
- 4 on patrol units. These volunteers have donated more
- 5 than 9,000 hours of time during the past year.
- 6 Under 3(d), I'd like to add, OHV enthusiasts are Page 183

7	drawn	to	the	Morongo	Basi n	for	its	mountains,	deserts,
---	-------	----	-----	---------	--------	-----	-----	------------	----------

- 8 Take beds, ecological systems, Tava flows, marshlands,
- 9 varying elevation levels and other natural resources.
- 10 Weather conditions in the Morongo Basin provide year
- 11 round recreational activities for OHV use.
- 12 Additionally, I'd like to add, aside from the
- 13 submission of this, we recently had some very
- 14 substantial fires in the Pioneertown area, which
- 15 removed many of the natural barriers that kept people
- 16 from entering the preserve and other wilderness areas
- 17 located there. Could really use to have an additional
- 18 motorcycle, so we could send out two teams on holiday
- 19 weekends to cover more areas. We currently have one
- 20 motorcycle purchased with OHV funds, and two that we
- 21 have purchased with other funds. If we could get one
- 22 more, then that allows to have four to send out two
- 23 teams.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any questions, any public
- 25 comment?

464

- 1 BRENT SCHORADT: Brent Schoradt with the
- 2 California Wilderness Coalition, and I'd just like to
- 3 display our support for this grant, and I think the
- 4 fact that what we just heard there's only -- right now
- 5 they have three ATVs they can use for patrols. If they
- 6 get this fourth vehicle, they'll be able to split up
- 7 into two teams of two for the busy holiday weekends,
- 8 and I think it's important, not just for monitoring and
- 9 patrolling use areas, but also keeping vehicles out of Page 184

- 10 wilderness and off of private property, and the
- 11 checkerboard problem that we have down in the desert.
- So under category one, I think they discussed
- 13 the matching funds in the application, and the fact
- 14 that they're not relying on OHV funds for staffing.
- 15 And so I think we would recommend at least 15
- 16 additional points to bring that up to 35 out of 40.
- 17 And then under category three, I think you can't get
- 18 more specific than saying that you need one more
- 19 vehicle to have two teams of two, and essentially
- 20 double the capacity that they've had in the past.
- 21 Because I think the third vehicle is actually a new one
- 22 that they just purchased. So they're really adding
- 23 capacity there. And if you look at the size the grant,
- 24 around \$5,000, it's really a very specific request for
- 25 a very specific need. So we'd recommend an additional 465

- 1 12 points under category three, which would be a total
- 2 of 27 additional points for a new score of 80. Thanks
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners.
- 4 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: If I might, I like
- 5 Brent's recommendation and would like to -- and
- 6 acknowledge the information that was brought forth
- 7 here, and I'd like to increase -- based on that
- 8 testimony, I'd like to increase criteria number one to
- 9 35 points from the existing 20 right now. And on Item
- 10 No. 3, I'd like to increase that criteria number three,
- 11 I'd like to increase that to 20 points from 18.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: It's currently 11.
 Page 185

	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x
13	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No, that's two, but I
14	would like to increase, now that you mentioned it,
15	criteria number two from 11 to 18 based on the
16	testi mony here.
17	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Leave four at four for a
18	total of 67.
19	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I'll second that.
20	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded.
21	Any discussion?
22	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I think you should
23	increase the third criteria by four points, and I think
24	the evidence provided justifies an additional four, so
25	if the maker of the motion would accept that amendment. 466
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Which would make it 22.
2	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Make it 24, that would
3	gi ve us an 81.
4	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Yes.
5	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's agreeable to both the
6	motioner and the second?
7	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Yes.

9 captured that. We have a total of what again? 81,
10 thank you. All those in favor?
11 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
13 That is unanimous, take the message back to Yucca
14 Valley.
15 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: The lion and the lamb are Page 186

CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So with that adjustment, we

8

- 16 happy.
- 17 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: That takes care of all of
- 18 the equipment grants. I believe you would move on to
- 19 FOM next.
- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You're still with us.
- 21 OHMVR STAFF BELLUCCI: You'll have to ask the
- 22 Deputy Director?
- 23 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: He was reinstated this
- 24 morning.
- 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So he has another section to

- 1 go?
- DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: A couple of year's worth.
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: But he wants to ride, better
- 4 let him out of office. Okay. Keep going, Larry.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Actually, the facilities
- 7 and operation management will be handled by me, Ken
- 8 Glaspie.
- 9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That was my question. I
- 10 thought Larry might be excused, but okay.
- 11 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: The first application
- 12 we'll be looking at is on line 33, OR-781, California
- 13 City Police Department, Facilities Operations and
- 14 Maintenance. They requested \$14,506. They scored 29
- 15 points for zero percent funding determination. They
- 16 did submit additional information, and it was
- 17 considerably better than the first thing we got.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: You went to Ed Waldheim's Page 187

19 school, right?

1

- 20 ERIC HURTADO: Took advice from staff to submit
- 21 a better grant. Eric Hurtado, California City Police
- 22 Department. Basically this grant deals with the only
- 23 trash, restroom, shower facility in the OHV area.
- 24 We've seen a dramatic increase in day use, so they
- 25 don't have their facilities on board to last for the

- weekend. So they use the facilities at Boar Expo Park.
- 2 So this grant would cover the expenses to maintain that
- 3 facility, restroom supplies, and also trash dumpster
- 4 service, hauling away the trash. We've put out
- 5 donation boxes at our dump station hoping to keep up
- 6 with the cost of depositing the trash. We've sent out
- 7 flyers to the campsites hoping they would send their
- 8 trash back home with them. But conveniently the
- 9 command post and another key point has been the dump
- 10 station for the trash. We usually just have a couple
- 11 rollbacks there for them to deposit the trash, and it
- 12 doesn't cover the trash that's there, which will
- 13 probably cover about a third of this room by the end of
- 14 the weekend.
- 15 Specifically 1(a), we request reconsidering the
- 16 scoring to 30 points on this particular item. Going to
- 17 item 2(e), use of volunteers or low-cost labor, the
- 18 city has a position considered camp post, which a
- 19 person actual stays at the facility to do the upkeep
- 20 and maintenance for the facility. And also the desert
- 21 incident response team, if they have a facility to dump Page 188

- 22 rollbacks available, they pledge 250 hours of desert
- 23 cleanup. We have different organizations that are
- 24 willing to come out to help clean up the desert if they
- 25 just have get a place to deposit their trash that they 469

- 1 pick up.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Any other public
- 3 comments on this item? Any questions or comments from
- 4 the Commissioners?
- 5 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Just kind of a generic
- 6 one. You have a contractor who picks up all of the
- 7 trash, right?
- 8 ERIC HURTADO: Yes, there is a contract with the
- 9 local rubbish service to pick up the rollbacks from the
- 10 command post, yes, and haul it away and empty it.
- 11 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Do I hear a motion?
- 13 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'm not quite sure I
- 14 understand why the police department is involved in
- 15 this.
- 16 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I was asking the same
- 17 question in my mind.
- 18 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Is it an administrative
- 19 function that the city has laid on you?
- 20 ERIC HURTADO: Try and tackle a problem with
- 21 littering. A lot --
- 22 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Illegal problem of
- 23 littering.
- 24 ERIC HURTADO: I'm sorry, I didn't make that Page 189

25 clear. The problem with a lot of users will throw

470

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 their trash bags in the back of the trailers, and it's
- 2 a long ride through town and they end up on the side of
- 3 the road. So in order to address this problem and
- 4 prevent littering and give them a place to legally
- 5 deposit it, the city has kind of taxed the police
- 6 department to come up with a resolution to the problem.
- 7 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I don't want to create a
- 8 problem here, but had you ever approached the SO to
- 9 perhaps have their inmate workers deal with this or is
- 10 that something the SO doesn't normally do?
- 11 ERIC HURTADO: Right. In our area, there's one
- 12 sheriff substation that's quite a distance away. I
- 13 think they have one inmate worker weekly, and it's not
- 14 an available service to where we're at.
- 15 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Move the staff
- 16 recommendation.
- 17 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Second.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded,
- 19 staff recommendation. All those in favor?
- 20 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
- 22 Item 36.
- 23 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: The next application is
- 24 line 36, OR-1-CD-351, El Centro Field Office,
- 25 Facilities, Operations and Maintenance. They requested 471

- 1 \$654,006. They received a score of 74 points for 70
- 2 percent funding determination of \$457,804. There was
- 3 no additional information submitted with this
- 4 application.
- 5 NEIL HAMADA: Neil Hamada, Imperial Sand Dunes
- 6 Recreation Manager, and here to answer questions. We
- 7 were ready to be on Consent; however, this application
- 8 was pulled off by Commissioner Anderson.
- 9 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: That's correct. I guess
- 10 in looking over your entire application, I was under
- 11 the impression from some things that were said at the
- 12 last meeting that you collect a lot of user fees in the
- 13 Imperial Sand Dunes area.
- 14 NEIL HAMADA: Right.
- 15 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: And the funds that are
- 16 collected are expended by a committee that you have?
- 17 NEIL HAMADA: Technical review team, they're
- 18 advi sors, correct.
- 19 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. But they review how
- 20 the funds are expended?
- 21 NEIL HAMADA: That's correct.
- 22 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. And it didn't look
- 23 like under the application, at least under your
- 24 enforcement grant application, it didn't look like any
- of the money was going into enforcement. And I'd like 472

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 you to elaborate on whether or not any of those

- 2 \$3 million that you mentioned you were collecting,
- 3 whether any of that went into operations and
- 4 maintenance, and/or did I miss something in your
- 5 application? Was it in the application? I didn't see
- 6 anything that indicated the use of these monies.
- 7 NEIL HAMADA: In the project needs and benefits,
- 8 number 2(c), in the text it identifies that federal
- 9 dollars and fee dollars are used in the Dunes. About
- 10 \$3 million, as you mentioned from fees, which goes
- 11 towards EMS, law enforcement, and operations and
- 12 mai ntenance.
- 13 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. So how much of it
- 14 is going into operations and maintenance?
- 15 NEIL HAMADA: Majority of that has gone into
- 16 operations and maintenance. If you look at it in the
- 17 grant program, about three-quarters of a million was
- 18 going into holiday expenditures for labor, and another
- 19 couple hundred thousand for non-law enforcement labor.
- 20 The rest of that, the majority of it, was going into
- 21 what in the grants Division would be called operations
- 22 and maintenance. Some of those things would go towards
- 23 like a hundred thousand dollars in vehicle costs. We
- 24 have off-highway vehicles and sand buggies, which are
- 25 very expensive to maintain. We have five of those,

473

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 9

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 rescue and law enforcement and monitoring dune buggies.
- 2 Last year we installed \$300,000 worth of vault toilets,
- 3 that's in addition to the ones we have already on site.
- 4 Right now, we're in the process of building a million

- 5 dollar station in the southern portion of the Dunes to
- 6 facilitate a multiagency law enforcement area,
- 7 interpretive area, as well as EMS area for the local
- 8 ambul ance company.
- 9 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Okay. Then you do have
- 10 specific things you are using the money for, but I'm
- 11 looking at your grant application within the booklets,
- 12 page 176 of page 333 in volume nine, and wondering
- 13 under the match, there's zero dollars. How do we
- 14 interpret that? And I see the same thing under law
- 15 enforcement equipment agency contribution is zero. So
- 16 where are you laying out so that this Commission can
- 17 get a sense of where you're spending that? Because
- 18 beyond your oral description right now, it's not in
- 19 here.
- 20 NEIL HAMADA: If you look at the same sheet, the
- 21 quantity amounts for the first part, which is staff
- 22 labor, is 1,040 hours, which is half a year not an
- 23 entire year. So the rest of that amount would be under
- 24 agency contribution. However, you're right. It's not
- 25 listed. There are different ways.

474

- 1 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: There is no way for me to
- 2 know that that's a half a year. Okay. The lower ones
- 3 are for one contract for trash bins within the year,
- 4 but I'm not aware and there is no description here. It
- 5 might have been -- you need to be more explicit because
- 6 I'm wondering where that money is going, and I'm sure a
- 7 lot of users are, too, if they're not reading the

- 8 reports from the technical review team on where the
- 9 \$3 million is going that you've raised. I don't think
- 10 you're necessarily misspending it, but it's certainly
- 11 not clear within what you've submitted how much is
- 12 going into which category. That's why I took it off
- 13 the Consent Calendar. I don't have any particular
- 14 scoring adjustment that I want to make, but I wanted to
- 15 get that information on the record in terms of my
- 16 concerns about the fact that we're not getting detailed
- 17 information on where that money is going.
- And I don't know, given the page constraints
- 19 that you have for each of the grant applications, how
- 20 to tell you where to put it. But it certainly would be
- 21 useful if you incorporated it someplace in there so
- 22 that it would be easy to identify that 1,040 hours
- 23 is -- I don't know whether those are weekends and maybe
- 24 that's a whole year. I have no help there. So give me
- 25 some help in the future, okay?

475

- 1 NEIL HAMADA: Okay.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Need a motion.
- 3 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Given what we've just
- 4 heard, Commissioner Anderson, that criteria two would
- 5 be adjusted to the fact that there is no match.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Well, she brought the
- 7 question up. Yes, I'll come back to you guys, our
- 8 great public.
- 9 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: So Commissioner Anderson,
- 10 would you intend to adjust the criteria?

2007-01-25 OHV C1 x VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: No, I didn't intend to 11 12 adjust any criteria. 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Shall we hear from the great 14 public? Sorry, I didn't mean to ignore you there. DON AMADOR: Don Amador, Blue Ribbon Coalition. 15 16 I first want to say, I think Neil does a great job down 17 there and writes some great grants. But my comment is 18 not specifically for Imperial County in this area, but 19 for some of the other counties. I would just encourage 20 the Commission to review the in lieu funds. 21 understand it, a \$600,000 check was just deposited into 22 the bank account for Imperial County for OHV recreation 23 enforcement management, what have you, and I would just 24 like to see the Commission have access to these new numbers that are coming in, particularly into the rural 25

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

counties where OHV recreation is occurring. 1 2 And as a member of the public, I'd like to see Because from what I've seen, 3 that information, too. Imperial County and San Bernardino are going to be 4 getting some pretty big checks to be used for OHV 5 6 recreation law enforcement management, et cetera. 7 would like to see the Commission have access to that 8 new information to help in their decision-making 9 process. Thank you. 10 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: Chairman Brissenden, if L 11 Just for clarification purposes, this is all part 12 of the Fuel Tax issue that will be explained on

Page 195

Saturday and presented to the Commission in this issue

13

- We will have the documents for 14 of the in lieu fees.
- you. They are currently, I believe, available on the 15
- 16 website. The controller did this last week, with no
- 17 knowledge to us, but we wanted to make sure that this
- 18 will be part of the presentation so you'll be fully
- 19 informed.
- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That's why they're the
- 21 controller. So all of that information for specific
- 22 city and county in lieu funds will be illustrated and
- 23 avai I abl e?
- 24 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: Correct.
- 25 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.

477

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 Good afternoon, Commissioners, JOHN STEWART:
- 2 John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive
- 3 Clubs, United 4-Wheel Drive Association, and Tierra Del
- Sol 4-Wheel Drive Club. We support the staff 4
- recommendations on this deal, and I'd like to point out 5
- that some of the discussions so far right now center 6
- around the Glamis. The BLM El Centro Field Office 7
- covers more than just the Glamis area. It covers from 8
- 9 the Colorado River to the San Diego County border, and
- 10 from the Mexican border north, and it is probably the
- 11 most heavily-visited recreation area in the State of
- 12 California. On any given weekend to say that there are
- 13 a half a million people out there is probably an
- 14 underestimate as far as the people that come into the
- 15 area.
- This money and this funding for the El Centro 16

- 17 Field Office is critical in order to provide the
- 18 quality of recreation, in order to provide protection
- 19 for the resources out there. We endorse and support
- 20 the fact that BLM is out there struggling to do their
- 21 job, and we'd like to see them get as much money as
- 22 they can. They deserve it and need it, and it really
- 23 does help on the ground. And we know that the vehicles
- 24 that they use -- you know, there has been talk about
- 25 the maintenance issues. Yes, these vehicles are used 478

- 1 in extreme environments, yes, they fail more quickly,
- 2 much more quickly than you would find the average user
- 3 of the average vehicle that is driven just on the city
- 4 streets, although some of the city streets are getting
- 5 some pretty hefty potholes. But out in the desert
- 6 environment where you have the constant dirt, they need
- 7 a heavy-duty maintenance schedule, and they need a
- 8 consistent replacement cycle. We endorse this grant,
- 9 and we would actually like to see you increase the
- 10 funding on this grant. So thank you.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Yes, I'll make a motion,
- 13 but I would move the -- the existing staff
- 14 determination is 74. I think it should be reduced at
- 15 criteria number two by five, and particularly in light
- 16 of the information that the match was deficient and
- 17 unspecified on the contribution side and uncertain on
- 18 the receipt side. So I would move the matter with
- 19 criteria two being 22 out of 30, and, let's see, it's

2007-01-25 OHV C1 x one or more of the following, and I've explained by 20 21 So that would be a total of 69 for that rati onal e. 22 grant. 23 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Second. 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded, 25 score of 69. All those in favor? Oh, there's a 479 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 1 question first. 2 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I have a question. 3 I ask Kenny what your opinion is of that lack of 4 matching here? Do you feel like it's been matched, but 5 just not noted. OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: They're not required to 6 7 match at all. We do give additional points for agency contributions, but they're not required to. 8 9 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I understand that. I'm using criteria 2(d), which talks to in lieu funds, 10 grants, use fees, and matching funds. So it was that 11 12 analytical determination based on the testimony and the criteria 2(d) that was the basis of my motion. 13 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: If you're going to have 15 questions, please address them to everyone. COMMISSIONER THOMAS: That's the motion. 16 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So it's been moved and 18 seconded and almost voted on. Sorry for not 19 acknowledging that question. Those in favor? 20 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.) 21 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?

Page 198

No.

VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON:

22

23	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: No.
24	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion passes.
25	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Next application is line 480
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	41, OR-2-ME-57, Mendocino National Forest, Facilities,
2	Operations and Maintenance. They requested \$277,720.
3	They received
4	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Ken, we are missing one,
5	Los Padres.
6	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Okay. Item 40,
7	OR-2-LP-100, Los Padres National Forest, Facilities
8	Operations and Maintenance requested \$216,524. They
9	received a score of 62 for 60 percent determination,
10	\$129, 914.
11	JEFF BENSON: My name is Jeff Benson. I'm with
12	the Los Padres National Forest. We'd like an
13	adjustment of the score based on the following:
14	Item No. 1, we were scored at 40 points, and
15	we'd like to increase that by five points. And we feel
16	like we get that five points by this grant provides
17	so much for us as far as maintenance of our facilities
18	that we are really, really dependent upon it. The
19	grant provides toilet pumping, trash pumping in a large
20	number of sites affected by the OHV users, and it
21	includes graffiti removal, toilet pumping, vandalism
22	repair, signing. I really believe that we deserve a
23	score of 35 on Item No. 1.
24	The other area that I'm looking at is Item No.
25	3, application history. Once again, the Los Padres has
	Page 199

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010

877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1	had	OHV	grants	successfully,	more	than	40	grants	over

- 2 the last 20 years, and we've had good outcomes on
- 3 those, good for activity, we've passed all of the
- audits. So I believe that we should have an increase 4
- 5 in score from seven is all we got on there, add 15 more
- points for a score of 22 on Item No. 3. And I'm 6
- 7 requesting a total score of 82.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Public comment?
- 9 JOHN STEWART: Good afternoon, Commissioners,
- 10 John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive
- Clubs, United 4-Wheel Drive Associations. 11 We support
- 12 the request for Los Padres' increase. We believe that
- 13 it's not only rational, but it's reasonable, and it is
- 14 fair and equitable. The Los Padres Forest has been
- 15 working very diligently with the OHV community in order
- to -- and with the various Adopt-a-Trail programs and 16
- 17 with trail maintenance issues to keep the recreation
- opportunity there. As said earlier this morning, this 18
- area sits on the fringe within an hour or two drive of 19
- 20 one of the largest metropolitan areas within the United
- 21 States. If you're looking at efficient use of funds,
- 22 the sheer number of visitors into this area with the
- 23 funding is a good dollar per person ratio and is
- 24 something that, you know, keeping this as a
- 25 recreational opportunity is necessary. You know,

482

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 keeping the recreation program alive is necessary.
- 2 know, it provides a good boundary security for the
- various wilderness areas and the sensitive areas within 3
- 4 this region. So we endorse this requested amount of
- 5 the Los Padres. Thank you.
- TOM TAMMONE: Tom Tammone, I'd also like to be 6
- 7 put on record as supporting the score increase for
- 8 Los Padres National Forest. It is a unique riding
- 9 opportunity and one of the better in the area, and
- 10 definitely is an efficient use of funds. And I'd like
- 11 to see their scoring increase request filled.
- 12 you.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners?
- 14 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Adopt the staff
- 15 recommendations.
- COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: 16 Second.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded
- 18 for staff recommendations. All those in favor?
- 19 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
- 21 OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Next, line 41, OR-2-ME-57,
- Mendocino National Forest, Facilities, Operations and 22
- 23 Mai ntenance. They requested \$277,720. They received
- 24 63 points for 60 percent funding determination of
- 25 \$166, 632. They in addition submitted new information 483

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 that did touch on some of our concerns, and this grant

- 2 originally was written kind of all over the place, was
- hard to find a lot of information, and their new 3 Page 201

- 4 information kind of told us where some of that
- 5 information was hidden in the previous submission. And
- 6 they did refer to the PAR on this one with a good
- 7 figure for cost per visitor, and they addressed on Item
- 8 3(c), they addressed that fairly well this time, too.
- 9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So you're suggesting we have
- 10 a map as part of the criteria for next year as to where
- 11 to find it? Please, go ahead.
- 12 JEFF APPLEGATE: Yes, Chairman, Commission, I'm
- 13 Jeff Applegate for the Mendocino National Forest, a
- 14 resource specialist there. And I'd like to point out
- 15 the specific rationale for increased scoring references
- 16 that are 98 percent within the original application, if
- 17 you know where to find it. There are a couple of areas
- 18 where we added some numbers to emphasize what we were
- 19 trying to convey.
- 20 For the overall grant, we would like to see an
- 21 overall increase from 63 to 94. And to summarize,
- 22 hopefully you do have a copy of the factual findings
- 23 that we turned in, and if you'd like to follow along
- 24 you can, but these are a little bit different because
- 25 I'm highlighting. So to summarize, we'd like to

484

- 1 increase the score for Item No. 1 from 34 to 39 out of
- 2 40. In the application, we explained how the project
- 3 enhances or protects existing or new OHV opportunities
- 4 as follows: Funding assistance is essential to
- 5 continuing the level of visitor services, which
- 6 includes public information and education, care Page 202

- 7 policing contracts, fee compliance and visitor safety.
- 8 OHV opportunities have been sustained and incrementally
- 9 expanded with state OHV funds in our area since 1982.
- 10 Visitation has increased 18 percent in the last five
- 11 years and continues to skyrocket. This equals more
- 12 need for sanitation like garbage collection, septic
- 13 waste removal, facility maintenance, and utility costs.
- 14 On our forest, four to six AMA sanctioned OHV events
- 15 are hosted annually using our state-of-the-art staging
- 16 areas and extensive trail systems that have been
- 17 developed over those years with a lot of assistance
- 18 from state OHV funds.
- 19 We provide an extensive system of designated
- 20 routes and have since 1995. We have also enlisted our
- 21 stakeholders in OHV ad hoc committees, including local
- 22 landowners, law enforcement, environmental interests,
- and OHV users who have been essential to assisting us
- 24 in problem solving. We have wildlife closures,
- 25 restoration project areas, and cultural sites that are 485

- 1 protected by fencing, gates, barriers, and signage that
- 2 are maintained with FOM funding. Our extensive OHV
- 3 support infrastructure assures and sustains sanitation,
- 4 water quality, and safe well-made facilities. And that
- 5 was more pointed out in our project description than
- 6 maybe in the actual criteria. We thought that they
- 7 would be judged together. Deferred and regular
- 8 facility maintenance at the requested amount would
- 9 equal reduced facility depreciation in our area. Page 203

10	On number two we think there should be an
11	increased score from 27 to 30 out of 30, based on your
12	detailed description of efficient use of funds,
13	innovation, effective partnerships. We continue to
14	deliver a quality experience for a low cost of \$1.85
15	per visitor in the '05/'06 PAR. Agency contribution in
16	fiscal year '06/'07 was 15 percent, or \$41,635. We
17	recorded 2,880 hours of volunteer and contributable
18	labor in '05/'06. And under the Recreation Enhancement
19	Act we collected a total \$26,959 for fees at Grape
20	Line, Middle Creek and our dump station, which can be
21	95 percent returned to those facilities.
22	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Please summarize.
23	JEFF APPLEGATE: Yes, thank you. Finally, in
24	number three, we recommend increasing the score from
25	two to 25 out of 30, for providing a detailed history 486
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 of implementing similar projects. The Mendocino has

- 2 had grants awarded every year since 1982, which totals
- 3 over a \$11 million, which is one of the numbers we
- 4 added. Our success is a direct result of the
- 5 outstanding personnel assigned to OHV management and
- 6 their ownership in the program for the last 24 years.
- 7 The forest has recognized experts, master performers,
- 8 and OHV management experience. That totals 121 years
- 9 of combined experience. I would like to finish and
- 10 open it up to questions. Thank you.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Public would like to comment.
- DON AMADOR: Don Amador, Blue Ribbon Coalition.
 Page 204

- 13 I just wanted to give a quick personal experience from
- 14 this area. Just last week, we had Brian Hawthorn from
- 15 our Public Lands Department come out and do a trail
- 16 ride at Stony Fort. He had never been out to a
- 17 developed OHV site in California, was quite impressed;
- 18 but as Jeff has pointed out, maintenance in the
- 19 facility is critically important with the amount of use
- 20 it's getting. At least one of the toilets was close to
- 21 being filled up there. You know, they're often called
- 22 SSTs or sweet smelling toilets, but when they're full,
- 23 they're not very sweet smelling. I'm just telling you
- 24 it gets a lot of use, and the funds actually go to
- 25 providing real on-the-ground public services. So any 487

- 1 consideration of looking at this grant given some of
- 2 the new information, it would be appreciated.
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners.
- 4 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: If I can just make a
- 5 comment, I know that Mendocino and Jeff have been held
- 6 up for a long time as one of the stellar groups, and
- 7 I'm a little bit, I guess, surprised to see that they
- 8 didn't score higher than they did. I think with the
- 9 new information on criteria number three, at the very
- 10 least, that should be moved up to a 28. They certainly
- 11 have had more than adequate criteria three.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'll second that.
- 13 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Is that the full motion?
- 14 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Yes, that would be the
- 15 motion.

16 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So you have a total of 89? 17 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Criteria is three more? 18 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: It's at 28. 19 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's 26 more. 20 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Oh no, no, I was operating 21 off of --22 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: He boosted it 26 points. 23 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'm not going to support 24 that. 25 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: That's my motion. 488 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1222 Fax 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Do we have a second on that 1 2 motion? Dies for lack of a second. Do we have another 3 motion? 4 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'll support staff plus three on the criteria three, which is what I thought 5 they were asking for. 6 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: To boost it to five, is that 8 what you're saying? No, I'll go with staff. I 9 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: 10 misread this. Staff recommendation. CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So we have a motion for staff 11 12 recommendation, which leaves it at 63. Do I have a 13 second? COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: 14 Second. 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Mark seconded. All those in 16 favor? 17 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)

CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?

Page 206

18

	2007-01-25 OHV CT X
19	COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No.
20	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: No.
21	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion carries. Item 42.
22	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Line 42, OR-2-SW-35,
23	Pacific Southwest Region, Facilities, Operations and
24	Maintenance. They requested \$723,250. They received a
25	score of 35 points for zero funding determination. I 489
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax
1	don't have any new information from them.
2	KATHLEEN MICK: Good afternoon, Commissioners,
3	staff members, Kathleen Mick, U.S. Forest Service.
4	Mardi is about to hand you some clarified information,
5	as well as some new information that we are providing
6	on this project. You'll find on pages two through
7	four, I ask you not to get rid of that document as that
8	document also contains information for our projects
9	that would be heard later on. So if you'd hold on to
10	that, that would be appreciated.
11	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: You're saying there are
12	multiple projects in here?
13	KATHLEEN MICK: Yes, sir. I also wanted to make
14	note that of the fact that on page two you'll see that
15	we have listed a priority for our funding. As based on
16	information that had been given previously by the
17	Division counsel, we're not allowed to adjust anything
18	until we're actually awarded money, but that would show
19	you at least where our priority is.

21 revised score of 89. Starting first with criteria one, Page 207

With that said, we would ask for an agency

20

- 22 which would be a score of 39. You'll see there that
- 23 there is rationale in the first sentence of the
- 24 paragraph in criteria one, second sentence, third
- 25 sentence, as well as some clarification as requested by

- 1 the OHV Commission talking about different problems and
- 2 conditions that I deal with as coordinator or a person
- 3 in my position; would provides direct oversight to all
- 4 19 national forests, 18 in California and in the lands
- 5 of the Humboldt-Toiyabe. The centralized coordination
- 6 provides the ability for quick results. For instance,
- 7 you know, John Stewart called the other day, had a
- 8 couple of problems with some Southern California
- 9 forests, and I think the OHV community, whether or not
- 10 they support it, will find that the centralized
- 11 coordination, as well as the Division, helps to get
- 12 action to the director of the regional forester in a
- 13 timely manner.
- 14 DEPUTY DIR. GREENE: Excuse me, Ms. Mick, do you
- 15 have copies that? Did you make 13 copies?
- 16 KATHLEEN MICK: Yes, I just gave them to Mardi.
- 17 Chas, my assistant, had some more copies, but
- 18 she had to go, and she wasn't briefed to present this,
- 19 and she had to go pick up my daughter at school who is
- 20 sick. So I apologize for that.
- 21 On criteria two, we would request a score of 20
- 22 based on the clarification that's been provided. And
- 23 then for criteria three, a score of 30. We have a
- 24 history of good grant productivity ranging from Page 208

916-492-1222 Fax

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

- 1 for \$2 million has recently been audited. We spent all
- 2 but \$91, and right now there's currently \$15,000 that
- 3 we're negotiating because we actually may have made
- 4 some mistakes in the way that we charged vehicles.
- 5 overall got a listing of four, five, six grants ranging
- from OR-15 to OR-27, along with some rescope grants for 6
- 7 a total of \$10 million. We've had good audits on all
- of that and spent all of it, but \$1,190. So I think 8
- 9 that we've got a demonstration of a good track record
- 10 in that regard. So with that I'll just answer any
- 11 questi ons.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Do we have public
- 13 comment on that?
- 14 KAREN SCHAMBACH: Karen Schambach, Center for
- 15 Sierra in Nevada Conservation and PEER. I would at
- 16 least rescore this at 20 on number one, 20 out of the
- 17 40 based on -- some of these criteria really don't
- 18 apply to this position, but as Kathy pointed out, the
- 19 ability of not only off-roaders but the environmental
- 20 community, they have a central place to call, you know,
- 21 have questions answered or issues raised or resolved is
- 22 very valuable, so 20 there. 20 points under number
- 23 two, and we think a full score in number three because
- 24 the region does have a long history of completing their
- 25 grants. Thanks.

492

1	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you.
2	JOHN STEWART: Good afternoon, Commissioners,
3	John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive
4	Clubs, United 4-Wheel Drive Associations. I'm kind of
5	in a quandary with this grant. And I do appreciate the
6	fact that we have a central spot to call. That way we
7	know that we have somebody to call when problems do
8	arise. And knowing that with the various forests,
9	there is always a shuffling around of people, and so
10	it's difficult to get a straight answers at times. So
11	having this central point is important.
12	With this grant, especially when you look at
13	Item No. 2, partnerships to reduce reliance, we see no
14	other evidence that this is really coming up and
15	dealing with any kind of partnership issues. This is
16	purely a grant that is central to the region
17	headquarters. And to that extent, very little of this
18	money gets out on the ground. So while I'm not
19	really I don't like the 35, I just cannot quite
20	support the full amount of increase. I would go with
21	more like a well, 12 and eight, you know the 12
22	maybe go up to a 15, and then eight to a 15, but that's
23	about it. Thank you.
24	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any further public comment?
25	TOM TAMMONE: Yes, Tom Tammone. I would like to 493
	SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

agree with John Stewart with both of his statements,

- 2 and with his specific statements on the scoring
- 3 criteria. Central point is good. I was trying to get
- 4 some educational signing through the region, rather
- 5 than going through each district, but there are issues
- 6 with that, too. And it's important, but it's not going
- 7 to replace dealing directly with the districts, but I'd
- 8 like to echo John Stewart's remarks.
- 9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Other comments?
- 10 BRENT SCHORADT: Brent Schoradt with the
- 11 California Wilderness Coalition. I'd like to see an
- 12 increase in the score in category one. I think it says
- one or more of the following, and they've done a good
- 14 job of really describing what this coordinator would
- 15 do, the benefit of the coordinator. And really the way
- 16 that we view this coordinator position, is the person
- 17 who's really, you know, following through with all of
- 18 the forests. There are 18 different processes that are
- 19 all in various stages in terms of the route designation
- 20 process. So I think it's important to have someone in
- 21 the centralized office that can give direction on all
- 22 sorts of issues that are coming up across the forests,
- 23 and I think it will ultimately lead to more
- 24 efficiencies in terms of making sure that there is a
- 25 uniform standard that these forests are complying with.

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 And for category number two, we see there's

- 2 \$115,000 in agency contributions, which we think is
- 3 sufficient, so we give that one at least another eight
- 4 points to bring it up to 20. And then the applicant

- 5 obviously has a successful history of implementing
- 6 projects, so we would like to see another 20 in
- 7 category three.
- 8 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: What would be the totals
- 9 for your three categories in your recommendation?
- 10 BRENT SCHORADT: 75, I think I said 25, 20, and
- 11 20.
- 12 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: 25 for criteria one, 20
- 13 for criteria two, and 25 for criteria three?
- 14 BRENT SCHORADT: I'm sorry, 30 for criteria
- 15 three.
- 16 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: 30 for criteria three.
- 17 BRENT SCHORADT: 25, 20 and 30; 75.
- 18 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Those are your
- 19 recommendations on the -- and because these are one or
- 20 more -- well, criteria one is a one or more criteria.
- 21 Criteria two is one or more. Criteria three has three
- 22 subsets. Are you suggesting that each of the (a), (b),
- 23 and (c) would be allocated 10, 10, 10?
- 24 BRENT SCHORADT: Yes, exactly, based on the
- 25 testimony from the agency on what we know in terms of

- 1 their successful history of implementing projects.
- 2 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Okay. Thank you.
- 3 BRENT SCHORADT: Thank you.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any other comments?
- 5 DON AMADOR: Don Amador, Blue Ribbon Coalition.
- 6 As much as Blue Ribbon supports the work that the
- 7 regional office does for our trails, in this day and

- 8 age where we have units suffering at the project level
- 9 for getting cut a couple thousand here, a couple
- 10 thousand there, where we just explained where an extra
- 11 \$1,000 might be able to pump the toilets for the rest
- 12 the year, then you're considering almost three-quarters
- of a million dollars, and I don't know they need any
- 14 toilets pumped in the regional office from a
- 15 Facilities, Operations and Maintenance grant; certainly
- 16 not with OHV money.
- Now, historically when Crimsons was here, we've
- 18 been supportive of some funding for that position, but
- 19 certainly not three-quarters of a million dollars. For
- 20 the Commission to go from zero up to anything
- 21 substantive is really a stretch in my opinion. Thank
- 22 you.
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners?
- 24 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Yes, I have questions. Go
- 25 ahead. Do you want to do the motions, then I'll ask

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 the question.

- 2 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: So I have some comments
- 3 on this grant. I have some mixed feelings about it
- 4 because I do think that there is a lot of need out on
- 5 the ground, and I think that this is an awful lot of
- 6 money and these regional grants are getting larger and
- 7 larger every year, but that's really not the basis by
- 8 which we evaluate these applications. And when I look
- 9 at the basis by which we do evaluate them, I just can't
- 10 really understand the scores that they were given. So

- 11 I'm going to propose some new scores.
- 12 Under criteria one, enhances or protects
- 13 existing OHV opportunity or providing new opportunity
- 14 for protecting resources, solving operational
- maintenance, law enforcement, user conflict problems;
- 16 reducing avoiding, conflicts with non-motorized
- 17 recreation. That's exactly what this grant is designed
- 18 to do. I just have a hard time understanding how this
- 19 grant couldn't receive a higher score. I suggest a
- 20 score of 35.
- 21 Under the second item, efficient use of OHV
- 22 Trust funds, this is the one where I really have a
- 23 difficult time. Innovative design to reduce reliance
- on OHV Trust funds, this certainly isn't designed to do
- 25 that. So I will accept the staff recommendation there.

- 1 I think there is some partnership from the agency which
- 2 is great. I'd like to see more partnership from the
- 3 agency, but I understand their budget is suffering.
- 4 On item three under history of successful
- 5 implementation, I think clearly the regional office has
- 6 a very successful track record of implementing the
- 7 grants. We funded them for a route designation project
- 8 for the last four years now. They've done an
- 9 outstanding job. I do wonder about that \$91, but we
- 10 will let that one slide. So I have a hard time
- 11 going -- reducing this score at all. I would advocate
- 12 a score of 30 out of 30. I don't know what that total
- 13 adds up to.

2007-01-25 OHV C1 x OHMVR STAFF FREITAS: 14 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Advocate a score of 77, 15 16 and that's my motion. 17 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: I'll second that. CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Under discussion, any 18 19 comments, questions? 20 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: My only comment, I would 21 echo what Don Amador said about this is -- how many 22 forests have we got, 16, something like that? This 23 isn't getting out there. This is covering overhead at 24 the mothership level, and I think we need -- with 25 limited dollars, we need to get the money out in the SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax 1 field. So I would have supported staff's 2 recommendation. 3 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I would just want to say 4 that I echo that sentiment; although, evaluating the 5 criteria that we're evaluating these grants on, that's 6 not one of the criteria. I'm looking at the criteria that we're evaluating the grants on, and frankly I 7 8 think this grant scores high based on those criteria. 9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Mr. Thomas, you had a 10 questi on? 11 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Comment really. Anybody 12 who has worked with the national forest system in 13 Northern California and probably Southern California as 14 well, knows that it's a series of fiefdoms. Each 15 individual national forest with its own independent and 16 thoughtful at times and perhaps not at other times

- 17 management. It is the regional office that has given
- 18 us the glue that has held this program together on a
- 19 statewide basis. It's remarkable what a different
- 20 program we have today than what we had when I first
- 21 came on the Commission because of the regional decision
- 22 to get involve and to tell the forests, the fiefdoms
- 23 what to do. Now, there is an inherent tension between
- 24 central command telling powerful local and important
- 25 local entities what to do, and it plays out in the

499

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 ever-shifting power balance in bureaucracy between
- 2 centralizing and decentralizing. Any government
- 3 employee has watched centralization and
- 4 decentralization. That all being said, it's very
- 5 important that we fund centralized management so that
- 6 we can get a handle on this program as a whole.
- Now, under these criteria that we have, there's
- 8 not a criteria for really important centralized
- 9 management directives. It's not one of the criteria,
- 10 and we didn't adopt these criteria. So we're stuck
- 11 with the rules as they're provided.
- The other aspect of this program that bothers me
- 13 is that by giving a rating, we're going to partially
- 14 fund something, which means we won't necessarily, and
- 15 we may -- we may end up funding nothing because
- 16 depending on what happens later in this meeting. But
- 17 we also may end up funding only part of a coordinator.
- 18 And anybody that has worked with government knows that
- 19 if you have a quarter time or a half-time employee, you

- 20 get half-time service. And we don't need half-time
- 21 service. We need full-time central management
- 22 coordination now. So we're constrained in our
- 23 efficiency. Maybe we ought to have a criteria,
- 24 efficient use of Commission directives, but we don't
- 25 have one, and we're stuck with it. We're stuck with 500

- 1 this system.
- 2 So I'm going to support the motion. If staff or
- 3 someone were able to tell me how I can fund the
- 4 statewide coordinator and the assistant coordinator and
- 5 drop off the resource specialist, I would be happy to
- 6 do that. But I see no way today within our current
- 7 system. So I'll support the motion for that long and
- 8 complicated reason.
- 9 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Any other comments or
- 10 questions? I have a question for staff. This position
- 11 obviously was funded in past years. What are those
- 12 amounts? What were those amounts?
- 13 CHIEF JENKINS: Give us a moment to look it up,
- 14 but I don't believe -- we will have that in a minute.
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Ms. Mick might be able to
- 16 respond that. Take a deep breath. I hope your
- 17 daughter is doing well.
- 18 KATHLEEN MICK: She's all right. It's just
- 19 being a mother. You know how it is. Well, I guess you
- 20 don't know what it's like being a mother, but you know
- 21 what it's like to be a dad. But thanks just the same.
- 22 Last year we were funded by the Commission. But

- 23 based on money in the bucket, we fell below the line,
- 24 so we didn't actually get any money. But in the past
- 25 years it's been anywhere -- the funding has been from 501

- 1 \$200,000 to \$800,000 for the coordinator, most of
- 2 which -- the higher numbers were associated to some
- 3 specific projects that we were going to achieve, so
- 4 it's been in a range.
- 5 CHIEF JENKINS: Last year neither the BLM
- 6 statewide coordinator or the Forest Service state
- 7 coordinator was funded.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Last year.
- 9 CHIEF JENKINS: As in the most recent grant
- 10 cycl e.
- 11 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Well, some comments that
- 12 Commissioner Thomas made resonated with me, so I will
- 13 support this because we need to get through this very,
- 14 very important route designation process, with a sure
- 15 hand on the stick, so.
- With that said, if there are no other comments
- 17 or suggestions or ideas, we will go forward with the
- 18 vote. All those in favor?
- 19 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 20 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?
- 21 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: No.
- 22 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: No.
- 23 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion carries.
- 24 KATHLEEN MICK: Thank you. And I understand
- 25 that our other grants will be heard tomorrow?

1	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: As I see our charge for the			
2	rest of the day, I don't think we're going to get			
3	through more than line item 62, through the safety			
4	items. I'm hoping to get that far. So we will take			
5	pl anning tomorrow.			
6	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Next application, line			
7	number 43, Plumas National Forest, Facilities,			
8	Operations and Maintenance. They requested \$279,000.			
9	They got a 35 point score for a zero percent funding			
10	determination. This grant this applicant did turn			
11	in additional information. His, once again, was one			
12	very, very difficult to read the first time, and his			
13	new information is much easier to find what he's			
14	pointing out.			
15	FRED KRUEGER: Good afternoon, Commissioners,			
16	Chairman Brissenden. My name is Fred Krueger from the			
17	Plumas National Forest. I'd like to first start by			
18	thanking you for the reconsideration of this grant. We			
19	have a number of things going on in the Plumas, as I			
20	think you're aware of, and I think the first thing I			
21	want to note to you is that we have completed the			
22	Interim Order Step Two for the route designation, which			
23	restricts OHV to the route trails, which I noted			
24	earlier. That give us over 5,000 miles to ride on over			
25	approximately 6,000 acres, wonderful opportunity. 503			

- 1 We've already developed those maps with the Forest
- 2 Order on there according to the process and the route
- 3 designation process. I do have examples of those maps
- 4 with the Forest Order with me, and I'll give those to
- 5 Mardi to handout, as well. So from that alone, we need
- 6 to sign that on the ground and educate our users.
- 7 That's a large effort that would come from this grant
- 8 specifically, and that is the newest information.
- 9 Then the last part is in the performance of
- 10 three, and again we've noted that, and we noted that
- 11 past performance in there. So if those two items alone
- 12 could be considered, we, the Plumas National Forest out
- 13 of Quincy, California would definitely appreciate it
- 14 and answer any questions.
- 15 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Public comment.
- 16 SYLVIA MILLIGAN: Sylvia Milligan, with
- 17 Recreation Outdoors Coalition. I also want to say this
- 18 pumps the potties, and from a woman's point of view and
- 19 from the public's point of view, please pump the
- 20 potties. They also have a good match for their money.
- 21 I'd hate to see them lose that. They show 17,444, but
- in actuality it's over 58,000. They're getting the
- 23 influx of people from Sacramento and the valley. If we
- 24 don't get this FO&M money, give us enough money for a
- 25 big billboard that says, go follow the money, go to the 504

- 1 south state. Thank you, guys.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners.
- 3 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Move the staff Page 220

- 4 recommendation.
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Staff recommendation has been
- 6 moved. Dies for lack of a second.
- 7 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: We're starting at 35.
- 8 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Yes, you're starting at 35.
- 9 Great leap of something.
- 10 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Thirty gets you two out
- 11 of -- certainly for criteria three, if you add 30
- 12 points -- 28 points, make it less than perfect, that
- 13 would be consistent with the testimony and would
- 14 actually be accurate because apparently we have an
- 15 accurate record of completion, it's just that it wasn't
- 16 shown in the right boxes on the form. Apparently we
- 17 have an accurate satisfaction of criteria 3(a), (b),
- 18 and (c). It just wasn't shown on the form. So my
- 19 suggestion would be to add 28 points to that, and that
- 20 would give you 63 points. And that will be -- if the
- 21 maker of the motion would accept that. If not, I'll
- 22 try a substitute motion.
- 23 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: My motion died for lack
- 24 of second.
- 25 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: So I would move that 63.

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING

916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: Second.
- 2 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded.
- 3 All those in favor?
- 4 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 5 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.
- 6 Item 45.

7	OHMVR STAFF GLASPIE: Li ne 45, OR-2-SB-89, San				
8	Bernardino National Forest, Facilities, Operations and				
9	Maintenance, requested \$153,690; received a Division				
10	score of 63 points for a 60 percent funding				
11	determination of \$92,214. They, in addition, have				
12	submitted some additional information specifying this				
13	time really big on their volunteer contribution, same				
14	as their trail maintenance.				
15	CHRIS EVANS: Chris Evans, San Bernardino				
16	National Forest. The first thing that I would like to				
17	address with this particular application for O&M, maybe				
18	a point of clarification, this was a very difficult				
19	application for me to put together because we do so				
20	much out of O&M. It's not only the pumping of the				
21	toilets and the cleaning of the trailheads and				
22	everything else that goes on at the district level,				
23	this is also the primary application as far as support				
24	of the San Bernardino National Forest Association and				
25	the volunteer programs and partnerships that come along 506				
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax				

- 1 with that. Somewhere in the neighborhood of \$100,000
- 2 of the \$150,000 that was requested does go toward a
- 3 challenge cost share agreement that we have with the
- 4 association that supports their staff which helps us
- 5 manage the OHV volunteers and leads towards that big
- 6 number that we have for our volunteer contribution.
- 7 And I think that may have been a little bit difficult
- 8 to pick up in the application itself because it was
- 9 trying to pack a lot of information into kind of a Page 222

10 small space.

11 Going specifically to the criteria, we would

12 like to request that the application be rescored to a

13 score of 75. That's an addition of 12 points.

14 Specifically in category one, the biggest benefit that

15 we see in category one is really the relief of a huge

16 operational problem for us, in that through the support

17 of the OHV volunteer program, the cost share agreement

18 with the association, the total value of the program on

19 an annual basis is around \$900,000. The cash cost of

20 that is only around \$200,000. There is absolutely no

21 way that we as a forest could bring \$900,000 in tools,

22 material, and labor to the ground in order to support

23 this program, neither would we ask this Commission for

24 \$900,000 to manage the program either. It's through

25 leveraging the volunteer support that we get through 507

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

1 that challenge cost share agreement where all of that

2 additional benefit comes from. And this grant does

3 support the staff that manages those programs. That's

4 where we see the biggest addition to this application

5 in category one.

6 In category two, efficient use of OHV Trust

7 funds, it's going to go full circle once again back to

8 our volunteers and our partnerships. Specifically

9 under 2(c), use of partnerships, the staff indicated

10 that there was no detail in us addressing this. We'd

11 like to see an addition in points there due to the

12 partnership that was just described, the challenge cost Page 223

- 13 share with the association, their support of the OHV
- 14 volunteer program, also Adopt-a-Trail, as well.
- 15 Category three, the history of successful
- 16 implementation, we have a score of 12 out of 30, we'd
- 17 like to see an increase there, as well.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Please summarize.
- 19 CHRIS EVANS: Yes, completion of prior projects,
- 20 I think the Commission heard me speak to that earlier
- 21 on some of our other applications. We did have a
- 22 20-year history of audits that was determined to be
- 23 pretty clean on our part. In fact, we were able to
- 24 refute anything that we found that would have been owed
- 25 back to the state, and then also the recent history of 508

- 1 agreements completed within time frames and with the
- 2 high percentage of completions.
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Public comments?
- 4 BENJAMIN von DIELINGEN: Benjamin von Dielingen,
- 5 San Bernardino National Forest Association, OHV
- 6 Programs. I just want to support the suggestion of a
- 7 new score of 75 out of 100, particularly 1(a) with the
- 8 folks, all of the volunteer time. I don't know if you
- 9 caught the number, but our volunteers do contribute
- 10 about \$600,000 of in kind donation average, so it's a
- 11 huge return of investment, if you will, for the amount
- 12 given to the Forest Service program. Under 2(c) also,
- 13 as far as partnerships where it's addressed, between
- 14 5,000 hours from our volunteer program alone, that's
- 15 pretty substantial. That's hard to ignore a Page 224

- 16 partnership like that, as well as Adopt-a-Trail, as
- 17 well. So we have SPFA OHV volunteers which maintain a
- 18 little over 300 -- I'm not sure how many Adopt a Tral
- 19 volunteers. There is a good number of those clubs, as
- 20 well. So partnerships are alive and well with the
- 21 San Bernardino National Forest, and I'm one of them.
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 JOHN STEWART: Good afternoon, Commissioners,
- 24 John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive
- 25 Clubs, United 4-Wheel Associations, Tierra del Sol

509

SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010

916-492-1222 Fax

- 1 4-Wheel Drive Club. We support the increase scoring,
- 2 but again as with their other grant, I think the
- 3 San Bernardino crowd is being a little bit modest when
- 4 they look and talk to two criteria number two. Because
- 5 if you look at efficient use of funds, this is a prime
- 6 example. It is being held up by the Forest Service
- 7 nationwide as a good program, and it's being looked at
- 8 to be implemented throughout the state; therefore, it
- 9 is a significantly important program, and it does
- 10 provide a very efficient use of funds. So I would like
- 11 to see that actually up to a 30.
- 12 And as a history of successful applications,
- 13 they've been working this program off of grant funds
- 14 for a long time. So, you know, historically, they've
- 15 got it, it's set up, you know, Item No. 3 should be up
- 16 in the 30 itself. So we support this with the much
- 17 higher scoring. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners. Page 225

	2007 01 20 011 01 X				
19	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Move staff.				
20	COMMISSIONER SPITLER: Second.				
21	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved at staff				
22	level of recommendation and seconded. Those in favor?				
23	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)				
24	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed? Motion carries.				
25	We do have the assistant to the Chair here.				
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax				
1	Cheryl, do you need a break? And I just heard one				
2	Commissioner Judith Anderson also wanting to break.				
3	There are currently 17 more grant applications to be				
4	heard.				
5	I do have one special request under safety where				
6	a volunteer individual has to be back to work tomorrow				
7	morning and has a flight at 7:00. I will just				
8	suggest				
9	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: It's the Chair's				
10	prerogati ve.				
11	CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Exactly, and go to that one				
12	item, and then we'll leave the rest until tomorrow. If				
13	you're okay for about another ten minutes, we'll				
14	adjourn. We have a closed session at 8:30, and we hope				
15	to be out of that by 9:00 to start the review once				
16	agai n.				
17	So without further ado, I will go to line item;				
18	I believe it's 58, which is the Gold Rock Outdoor				
19	Schools, OHV Safety Education Program.				
20	I know I would probably curry favor by moving				
21	everyone around, but this one I'll do today. Page 226				

22	OHMVR STAFF ABARRA: Okay. Line number 58, Gold			
23	Rock Outdoor Schools. They requested \$109,300. They			
24	received a score of 66 at 60 percent for an amount of			
25	\$65,580. They did submit additional supplemental 511			
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax			
1	information. And if we would have received this			
2	information in the original application, it may have			
3	justified a higher score. Martha Abarra, Grant			
4	Admi ni strator.			
5	CINDY SABOLICH: Cindy Sabolich, Gold Rock			
6	Outdoor Schools. And John Stewart, thanks to you, I			
7	just had my coat on and was ready to head out. He was			
8	sweating, now I am. So here I am.			
9	So we are submitting additional information. We			
10	have sent 13 copies out to the Commission. My first			
11	important thing I want to do is bring you right to the			
12	very back. I want to start backwards. I don't know,			
13	maybe it's out in the desert we do it that way, I don't			
14	know, but here we go backwards.			
15	Number one point, the sole reason I'm here if			
16	you guys could give us at least one point, of course,			
17	we're asking for more than that, but qualifications and			
18	availability of staff to carry this out. Since we've			
19	written this project we have recruited a curriculum			
20	advisory board. In that board, they've come up with a			
21	strategic plan of an outstanding program that we've			
22	unveiled to several users here. It's a 12-point			
23	program that is called, "Responsible Rider." Instead			

24 of one course, one activity, one day, OHV safety Page 227 25 education requires a whole lot more than that. This is 512

- a compilation, a collection of all of the programs
 together in a systematic-based program similar to a Boy
 Scout's program with the gold helmet award being the
- 4 ultimate award in the end after they meet 12 criteria
- 5 in each of the four items, so 48 different ones.
- 6 Again, I'm sorry, was on my way out, but number
- 7 one, if we can have one more point there for our
- 8 qualifications of staff. In addition to our advisory
- 9 board, we've had to have a technical team for this
- 10 program as it's largely web based. The first thing
- 11 we're out there is to raise awareness and consciousness
- 12 that people need to take responsibility. They need to
- 13 register as a responsible rider. They need to take the
- 14 tread pledge, and they need to start being accountable
- 15 for their actions and get in a program, a progressive
- 16 based systematic program that will lead to the future
- 17 of OHV recreation. So we've had to add about eight
- 18 other people down there in that criteria.
- 19 The next criteria I would like to point out is
- 20 about two up on the sheet I handed you from that one.
- 21 And we've just recently completed last year's grant,
- 22 which is about six months early. We were granted on
- 23 the 23rd of January '06, \$80, 100, and throughout the
- 24 Christmas season to about January 3rd, we completed all
- 25 of our deliverables and exceeded the amount of students 513

- 1 that we described in the product description, and we're
- 2 able to start working on this project before we even
- 3 know that we're funded on it. So we think that we have
- 4 excellent use of funds on that. And I've described the
- 5 project and am open for public comment. I hope a lot
- 6 of people that we've spoken to are interested in
- 7 commenting. And I'll answer any questions.
- 8 JOHN STEWART: Good afternoon, Commissioners,
- 9 John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive
- 10 Clubs, United 4-Wheel Drive Association. This is one
- 11 of those projects that strikes to the very heart of the
- 12 efficient and effective use of OHV funds. This, of
- 13 anything, is one. This actually gets out and teaches
- 14 the responsibility and teaches ethics to the
- 15 youngsters, to our young riders coming up, to the
- 16 people that are at a real impressionable age. This is
- 17 the point where we need to reach them.
- 18 Looking at this, basically the scoring criteria
- 19 could, you know, actually had about five to six points
- 20 all the way down the board because this program
- 21 outlines a very good methodology for instilling ethics
- 22 issues into our young people. And not only does it
- 23 provide for good training methodology, but provides for
- 24 reinforcement of that training. And the outside, is
- 25 that once the training, then it also provides for a

SCRI BE REPORTI NG & LEGAL COPYI NG 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax

514

1 volunteer patrol to again go back and peer teaching

- 2 peer, in other words, once they do reach that gold
- 3 helmet, they become the elite of this group, and now
- 4 they can go back and actually start working with the
- 5 younger people. And this is where you start getting a
- 6 good resonance of the training, and you're getting the
- 7 people that are relating to ones within their age,
- 8 something we really desperately need within a good
- 9 safety training and awareness program.
- 10 I would really support the increased scoring,
- 11 like I said, five maybe six points all the way down the
- 12 board, because this program is one that really is worth
- 13 it, and it is a highly efficient and effective use of
- 14 OHV funds. Thank you.
- 15 TOM TAMMONE: Tom Tammone. Again, I agree with
- 16 John Stewart's comments. This responsible rider
- 17 program is in addition to everything that's been
- 18 involved in the grant. The way the regs are written
- 19 this year, they can do, and I'm supporting it. It
- 20 alone is definitely worth at least half the points that
- 21 they're increasing.
- They're asking for a 12 point addition for the
- 23 first criteria. The information they provided here
- 24 more than justifies that. They're asking for a nine
- 25 point increase in the second criteria. They're only

- 1 asking for two additional points in the third criteria,
- 2 and they're asking for three additional points in
- 3 the -- no, they're asking more than that. They're
- 4 asking for seven additional points in the last

- 5 criteria. Completion of prior projects, I don't know
- 6 why that wasn't captured. This program has been around
- 7 for a long time. It's a very stable program. It's one
- 8 of the stable programs everybody looks at, so I don't
- 9 see why it scored so low, but it's definitely worth 15
- 10 out of 15.
- 11 Another thing, this responsible rider program,
- 12 it's a web-based program. They've got an 800 number,
- 13 they've got a website, they've got website
- 14 registration. People have to register to be involved
- 15 in the program to get recognition. It's a good
- 16 program, and it has the potential to be actually global
- 17 since it's web based, but it even includes a snowmobile
- 18 criteria. So there's more to this than just riding
- 19 quads out in the desert. I definitely support the 96
- 20 points that they're asking for in the score adjustment.
- 21 It's well worth the money. Thank you.
- 22 BRUCE BRAZIL: Bruce Brazil, California Enduro
- 23 Riders Association. I sure wish I was able to come up
- 24 with some additional new facts to help you increase the
- 25 scores on this, but they said everything there is to be 516

- 1 said. You look at the category, OHV safety and
- 2 education, what else could they add to their program to
- 3 supply that for you? Thank you.
- 4 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners?
- 5 Ms. Anderson, you had a question?
- 6 VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: When this program first
- 7 started, wasn't it more hands-on?

8	2007-01-25 OHV C1 x CINDY SABOLICH: That's correct.			
9	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Can you describe what this			
10	transition is now?			
11	CINDY SABOLICH: Excellent question, thank you			
12	for giving me the opportunity.			
13	VICE-CHAIR ANDERSON: Is there still a hands-on			
14	component?			
15	CINDY SABOLICH: Absolutely, that's part of the			
16	whole responsibility issue, but I mentioned earlier, it			
17	cannot be a one course, a one hands-on. As you know as			
18	a teacher, it doesn't happen that way. As a parent you			
19	have to keep going on and on. And I'm helping quote a			
20	study with a Colorado OHV division, it shows that when			
21	parents and families are involved with children, that			
22	they also behave more responsibly. So this program has			
23	a huge team component as mentors, and I know somebody			
24	is going to ask me about the puppet and give me time to			
25	do that, too. I'll just keep holding it until somebody 517			
	SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax			
1	asks.			
2	Anyway, about 25 percent of it will be hands-on			
3	in one of the criteria, but, of course, the repetition			
4	on the trail patrol and the mentoring is a huge part of			
5	the riding end, but as far as hands-on training, it is			
6	now approximately 25 percent of the four categories of			
7	our grant funding.			
8	COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: So, Cindy, how many			
9	riders do you actually have on site in a year's period?			
10	CINDY SABOLICH: I think that I have if I			

- 11 haven't put that out for you, I had one here. Last
- 12 year, if we count the hands-on ATV and dirt bikes, who
- 13 are real regulated by their class sizes. We can only
- 14 do four students at a time. So for us it's more
- 15 beneficial to do a number of hours that we have
- 16 provided. So including ATV, dirt bike school, and
- 17 trail mentoring, this is not the DVDs we show the Tread
- 18 Lightly tread pledge, the meet and greet. We have
- 19 19,800 hours logged of safety education deliverables to
- 20 students.
- 21 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: How many students do
- 22 have you on site that are taking your class in a year?
- 23 CINDY SABOLICH: In a year, we have done in
- 24 collaboration with the State of Arizona, we've done
- 25 2,000 this year.

518

- 1 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Two thousand students on
- 2 site on Gold Rock Ranch?
- 3 CINDY SABOLICH: Correct.
- 4 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: So you have a lot of
- 5 additional money, other than that that's funding this?
- 6 CINDY SABOLICH: Absolutely, there is no way we
- 7 can do it on those.
- 8 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'm asking. I'm very
- 9 familiar with this program. And just recently
- 10 recommended it to -- there is a radio show host in
- 11 San Diego who knows something about off-road, just
- 12 bought five vehicles, a motor home, spent half a
- 13 million dollars. I said you got to go somewhere and

- 14 learn how to ride this stuff.
- 15 CINDY SABOLICH: And to help answer another one
- 16 of Judith's question, it doesn't limit there. We just
- 17 have to keep coming up with things because the go-carts
- 18 out there are big issues, along with side by side and
- 19 rhinos, so we have a lot of clinics going there. And
- 20 we hadn't had a system to integrate that hands-on
- 21 training to count our hours yet. So hopefully this
- 22 program and this year's funding will create a huge
- 23 database to be able to record and give you all kinds of
- 24 statistics. We need a tech dude for that. I am the
- 25 P.E. teacher.

519

- 1 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Thank you. Commissioners?
- 2 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I think it's a good
- 3 grant, and I think the staff has done a good job of
- 4 scoring it. I'll move the staff recommendation.
- 5 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Second.
- 6 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and seconded
- 7 at staff recommendation, which is a level of 66. Any
- 8 di scussi on?
- 9 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: I'm going to vote in
- 10 opposition to that, I'd like to go higher. So take the
- 11 vote.
- 12 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Either that, or you can try
- 13 an amendment.
- 14 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: Okay. I'll make an
- 15 amendment then. Based on their handout here, I would
- 16 like to propose that we raise the first category up to

- 17 28, the second one up to 33 based on their
- 18 recommendations, the third category 15 out of 15, and
- 19 the fourth one, the same, an additional seven points
- 20 for 15 out of 15. I ask if that would be accepted by
- 21 the maker of the motion.
- 22 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: I wouldn't accept it.
- 23 COMMISSIONER PRIZMICH: I'll second his.
- 24 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: It's been moved and second,
- 25 at amendment at the total of 91.

520

- 1 COMMISSIONER McMILLIN: 28, 33, 15 and 15.
- 2 OHMVR STAFF FREITAS: 91.
- 3 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: That would be 91. So the
- 4 amendment that is on the floor, all those in favor of
- 5 the amendment?
- 6 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 7 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?
- 8 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: No.
- 9 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: No.
- 10 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: So the amendment passes and
- 11 back to the original motion. All those in favor of the
- 12 amendment signify by saying aye.
- 13 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 14 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Opposed?
- 15 COMMISSIONER SPITLER: No.
- 16 COMMISSIONER THOMAS: No.
- 17 CHAIR BRISSENDEN: Motion carries.
- 18 CINDY SABOLICH: I think I'm saying thank you.
- 19 I got lost, but I think I'm saying thank you. It's not

2007-01-25 OHV C1 x 20 quite often you get a second chance at life, and that's 21 why I got an excellent program out to you guys. I hope 22 to exceed your expectations. Thank you. CHAIR BRISSENDEN: 23 Thank you. With that said, 24 we will continue with the thank yous. Staff, thank 25 you; and our great public, thanks for being here. 521 SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING 916-492-1010 877-453-1010 916-492-1222 Fax Sorry we didn't get to all of you, but we did schedule 1 2 two days of meeting on this one. So tomorrow morning 3 again we reconvene as the Commission at 8:30 for a closed session. We hope to open up the public session 4 at nine o'clock. Have a great evening. 5 (Meeting adjourned at 5:19 p.m.) 6 7 Respectfully submitted, 8 Cheryl Kyle, CSR No. 7014 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

	2007-01-25	OHV C1 x		
23				
24				
25				
			522	
SCRIBE REPORTING & LEGAL COPYING				
	916-492-1010	877-453-1010	916-492-1222 Fax	